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Preface

This document provides country of origin information (COI) and guidance to Home Office decision makers on handling particular types of protection and human rights claims. This includes whether claims are likely to justify the granting of asylum, humanitarian protection or discretionary leave and whether – in the event of a claim being refused – it is likely to be certifiable as 'clearly unfounded' under s94 of the Nationality, Immigration and Asylum Act 2002.

Decision makers must consider claims on an individual basis, taking into account the case specific facts and all relevant evidence, including: the guidance contained with this document; the available COI; any applicable caselaw; and the Home Office casework guidance in relation to relevant policies.

Country Information

The COI within this document has been compiled from a wide range of external information sources (usually) published in English. Consideration has been given to the relevance, reliability, accuracy, objectivity, currency, transparency and traceability of the information and wherever possible attempts have been made to corroborate the information used across independent sources, to ensure accuracy. All sources cited have been referenced in footnotes. It has been researched and presented with reference to the Common EU [European Union] Guidelines for Processing Country of Origin Information (COI), dated April 2008, and the European Asylum Support Office’s research guidelines, Country of Origin Information report methodology, dated July 2012.

Feedback

Our goal is to continuously improve the guidance and information we provide. Therefore, if you would like to comment on this document, please e-mail us.

Independent Advisory Group on Country Information

The Independent Advisory Group on Country Information (IAGCI) was set up in March 2009 by the Independent Chief Inspector of Borders and Immigration to make recommendations to him about the content of the Home Office's COI material. The IAGCI welcomes feedback on the Home Office’s COI material. It is not the function of the IAGCI to endorse any Home Office material, procedures or policy. IAGCI may be contacted at:

Independent Chief Inspector of Borders and Immigration,
5th Floor, Globe House, 89 Eccleston Square, London, SW1V 1PN.
Email: chiefinspectorukba@icinspector.gsi.gov.uk

Information about the IAGCI’s work and a list of the COI documents which have been reviewed by the IAGCI can be found on the Independent Chief Inspector’s website at http://icinspector.independent.gov.uk/country-information-reviews/
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1. Introduction

1.1 Basis of Claim

1.1.1 Fear of being trafficked or re-trafficked; or fear of persecution or serious harm from those who trafficked them if returned to Ukraine.

1.2 Other Points to Note

1.2.1 Where a claim falls to be refused, it must be considered for certification under section 94 of the Nationality, Immigration and Asylum Act 2002 as Ukraine is listed as a designated state.

2. Consideration of Issues

2.1 Is the person accepted as being a victim of trafficking?

2.1.1 Only trained specialists in the UK’s designated competent authorities can decide whether or not there are reasonable grounds to accept the person as a victim of trafficking. Therefore, if it has not already been done, decision makers dealing with the asylum claim must make an appropriate referral to the National Referral Mechanism (NRM). The case will then be routed to a ‘competent authority’ (see also the Asylum Instruction on Considering Protection (Asylum) Claims and Assessing Credibility; and the Victims of human trafficking: competent authority guidance).

2.2 Do victims of trafficking constitute a particular social group (PSG)?

2.2.1 Victims of trafficking from Ukraine form a particular social group (PSG) within the meaning of the 1951 UN Refugee Convention. This is because they share an immutable (or innate) characteristic – their experience as a victim of trafficking - that cannot be changed; and have a distinct identity in their home society.

2.2.2 Although victims of trafficking from Ukraine form a PSG, this does not mean that establishing such membership will be sufficient to make out a case to be recognised as a refugee. The question to be addressed in each case will be whether the particular person will face a real risk of persecution on account of their status as a victim of trafficking.

2.2.3 For further guidance on particular social groups, see section 7.6 of the Asylum Instruction on Assessing Credibility and Refugee Status.
2.3 Is the person at risk of being trafficked or re-trafficked?

2.3.1 Ukrainian victims of trafficking are subjected to sex trafficking and forced labor in Ukraine as well as in Russia, Poland, Turkey, the United States, and other parts of Europe, Central Asia, and the Middle East. Ukrainian men, women and children may be subjected to sex trafficking within the country (see [Prevalence of human trafficking](#)).

2.3.2 Human trafficking in Ukraine affects both men and women. The gender ratio among victims of trafficking assisted by IOM Ukraine in 2014 was 58 per cent men and 42 per cent women. IOM assisted 903 victims in 2014 (see [Prevalence of human trafficking](#)).

2.3.3 According to Ukrainian government statistics the population of Ukraine (excluding Crimea) is roughly 40 million. Whilst there were a significant number of incidents of trafficking in Ukraine, the number when compared with the overall size of the population suggests that there is not a general risk of persons being trafficked. However, some populations are at increased risk of trafficking such as: children in state-orphanages and vulnerable adults in state institutions; persons displaced due to the ongoing conflict in Eastern Ukraine; members of the Roma community living in Ukraine; and women from some rural populations in Western Ukraine. The onus is on the person to demonstrate that he or she would be personally at risk of being trafficked or re-trafficked.

2.3.4 For further information on assessing risk, see section 6 of the [Asylum Instruction on Assessing Credibility and Refugee Status](#).
agencies such as the law enforcement bodies and corruption (see Law on human trafficking).

2.4.3 Furthermore, there are non-governmental organisations in Ukraine which are active in human trafficking matters who can potentially assist the person to avail themselves of the protection of the state (see Assistance for victims of trafficking).

2.4.4 Where the person’s fear is of ill treatment/persecution at the hands of non state agents - or rogue state agents - then effective state protection may be available depending on the individual circumstances of the case. Decision makers must consider each case on its facts. The onus is on the person to demonstrate why they would not be able to seek and obtain state protection.

2.4.5 The situation is however different in Crimea where, after it’s annexation by Russia in 2014, the existing laws of Russia came into force. Similarly under Russian influence, women and children in the so-called Luhansk and Donetsk People’s Republics are unable to access the legal protections provided in Ukrainian law (see country information and guidance on Ukraine: Crimea, Donetsk and Luhansk).

2.4.6 For further information on assessing the availability or not of state protection, see section 8.1 of the Asylum Instruction on Assessing Credibility and Refugee Status.

2.5 Are those at risk able to internally relocate to escape the risk?

2.5.1 Victims of trafficking may be able to internally relocate to escape localised threats from members of their family, but vulnerable adults, children and single women with no support networks, may be vulnerable and subjected to destitution. However this may be mitigated where shelters and assistance are available from either government or civil society organisations, but each case would have to be assessed individually (see Assistance for victims of trafficking).

2.5.2 For guidance on relocation from Crimea, Luhansk or Donetsk see country information and guidance: Ukraine: Crimea, Donetsk and Luhansk.

2.5.3 Decision makers must give careful consideration to the relevance and reasonableness of internal relocation on a case-by-case basis taking full account of the individual circumstances of the particular person.

2.5.4 The onus is on the person to demonstrate why they believe they would be unable to relocate to a specific town/city to mitigate any risk.

2.5.5 For further information on considering internal relocation and the factors to be taken into account, see section 8.2 of the Asylum Instruction on Assessing Credibility and Refugee Status.

2.6 If refused, is the claim likely to be certifiable as ‘clearly unfounded’?

2.6.1 Where a claim falls to be refused, it is likely to be certifiable as ‘clearly unfounded’ under section 94 of the Nationality, Immigration and Asylum Act 2002 because effective state protection is generally available.
2.6.2 For further information on certification, see the Appeals Instruction on Certification of Protection and Human Rights claims under Section 94 of the Nationality, Immigration and Asylum Act 2002 (clearly unfounded claims).

3. **Policy summary**

3.1.1 Human trafficking in Ukraine affects both men and women. Victims of trafficking are subjected to sex trafficking and forced labor in Ukraine as well as in Russia, Poland, Turkey, the United States, and other parts of Europe, Central Asia, and the Middle East.

3.1.2 Whilst there are a significant number of incidents of trafficking in Ukraine, the number when compared with the overall size of the population suggests that there is not a general risk of persons being trafficked.

3.1.3 Ukraine has comprehensive anti-trafficking legislation. The criminal code prohibits all forms of trafficking and prescribes penalties from three to 15 years’ imprisonment. Prosecutions are brought and the penalties handed down are sufficiently stringent and commensurate with those prescribed for other serious crimes.

3.1.4 The authorities in Ukraine are in general willing and able to provide effective protection.

3.1.5 The situation is however different in Crimea and the so-called Luhansk and Donetsk People’s Republics, where victims of trafficking in those areas would be unable to avail themselves of the protection of the authorities.

3.1.6 Except in the case of those from Crimea, Luhansk and Donetsk, where a claim falls to be refused it is likely to be certifiable as ‘clearly unfounded’.
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4. **Law on human trafficking**

4.1.1 In September 2014, the Council of Europe published a report on Ukraine by GRETA (the Council of Europe’s Group of Experts on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings):

‘In its report, GRETA welcomes the steps taken by Ukraine to develop the legal and institutional framework for combating trafficking in human beings, including the adoption of a comprehensive anti-trafficking law, national anti-trafficking programmes and a formal procedure for the identification and referral to assistance of victims of trafficking. The Ukrainian authorities have also set up anti-trafficking co-ordination structures at central and local level and specialised police units.

‘However, a number of challenges remain to be tackled through legislative, policy or practical measures... GRETA calls on the Ukrainian authorities to provide in law for a recovery and reflection period for victims of trafficking...’¹

4.1.2 The US Department of State’s Trafficking in Persons report 2015 stated: ‘Article 149 of the criminal code prohibits all forms of trafficking and prescribes penalties from three to 15 years’ imprisonment. These penalties are sufficiently stringent and commensurate with those prescribed for other serious crimes, such as rape.’²

4.1.3 The GRETA report noted that ‘Ukrainian legislation does not establish as a criminal offence the use of services of a victim of THB [trafficking in human beings] with the knowledge that a person is a victim.’³

4.1.4 The OECD Development Centre’s 2014 edition of the Social Institutions and Gender Index stated: ‘In November 2010, Ukraine ratified the Council of Europe Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings.’⁴
5. **Prevalence of human trafficking**

5.1 **Contributing factors**

5.1.1 In its 7th Report on the human rights situation in Ukraine, dated 15 November 2014, OHCHR reported: ‘The armed hostilities, the deterioration of the economic situation, including growing unemployment, significant wage arrears, and the large numbers of IDPs create an environment that is propitious to increased trafficking in human beings.’

5.1.2 The Organisation for Security and Co-operation in Europe published the following in June 2015:

‘Since the start of the crisis in and around Ukraine, more than 1.3 million people have been displaced, a human tragedy with widespread social and economic implications, as families and individuals are uprooted and impoverished. Unfortunately, human trafficking is often rife in such situations, as breakdown in the rule of law and weakened border controls make people more vulnerable to exploitation by trafficking networks… officials in Kharkiv reported that there have been 14 cases of trafficking for sexual exploitation this year involving 21 people, and some of these are IDPs from Luhansk and Donetsk.’

5.1.3 In its 10th report on the human rights situation in Ukraine covering the period of 16 February to 15 May 2015, OHCHR summarized:

‘In the difficult economic conditions there is an increased risk of trafficking in persons. In 2015, the hotline of NGO La Strada registered an increased number of calls related to trafficking. Compared to 2014, more people, predominantly women, sought consultation regarding employment, studies, and going abroad to marry. An Odessa-based women’s NGO informed the HRMMU [Human Rights Mission in Ukraine] that it had documented nearly 60 cases of trafficking in 2014. Meanwhile in 2015, the International Organisation for Migration (IOM) has already identified 230 victims of trafficking.

‘The main destinations are the Russian Federation and Poland. Victims are most often from rural areas and low income groups, either young women crimped for sexual exploitation or older persons, mostly women, forced to become street beggars.’

---


5.1.4 OHCHR, in its 9th report on the human rights situation in Ukraine covering the period of 1 December 2014 to 1 February 2015, reported that:

‘Women, including those internally displaced, may be at heightened risk of being exposed to trafficking, sexual violence and resorting to prostitution as a means of survival. In the reporting period, the HRMU [Human Rights Mission in Ukraine] became aware of cases of trafficking involving IDPs. On 19 January a man in Lviv was arrested on suspicion of allegedly recruiting four women, one Lviv resident and three IDPs, for sex work in Israel. One of the IDPs stated that she was desperate for any income, as it was impossible to find employment and she had to provide for her two children. The case highlights the need for all actors working with IDPs to be alert to the threat of trafficking facing displaced women. The International Organization for Migration was providing services for two IDP women from Luhansk region who were allegedly in the process of being trafficked to Turkey for sex work.’

5.2 Statistics

5.2.1 Walk Free Foundation’s Global Slavery Index 2014, published in November 2014, ranked countries by prevalence of the population in modern slavery, placing Ukraine at number 106 out of 167 countries. 0.248 per cent of the population in Ukraine was found to be in modern slavery, which equates to 112,600 people out of a population of roughly 45.5 million.

5.2.2 In its 2014 report, the Equal Rights Trust noted that:

‘The trafficking of persons in Ukraine is a significant human rights issue, with the country being source, transit and destination country for men, women and children. The International Organization for Migration (IOM) has worked with victims of trafficking in Ukraine since 2000; in the absence of a centralised national data collection system used by the authorities, the IOM’s statistics are the most widely used, including by government. Between 2000 and March 2015, the IOM assisted 11,086 victims of trafficking in Ukraine, although the total number of victims is likely to be significantly higher: the IOM estimates that, since independence in 1991, over 120,000 men, women and children have been victims of human trafficking. Since 2004, the gender of the victims has been recorded and monitored and over two thirds of the victims have been women. Where the purpose of trafficking is sexual, the overwhelming majority of victims are women: 99% of the victims assisted since 2007 were women (2,190 compared to 25 men).’

---


10 Equal Rights Trust. ‘In the Crosscurrents: Addressing Discrimination and Inequality in Ukraine,’
5.2.3 In its 7th Report on the human rights situation in Ukraine, of 15 November 2014 OHCHR reported:

‘The International Organization for Migration (IOM) in Ukraine reported about the identification of 642 victims of trafficking (282 women and 360 men) in January-September 2014 throughout Ukraine, which is fewer than the number reported by IOM in the respective period of last year. This, however, may indicate gaps in the identification mechanism of victims, as the responsible ministries, as well as key NGOs, have concentrated their efforts on addressing other immediate and acute issues arising from the conflict.’\textsuperscript{11}

5.2.4 The 2014 report by the Council of Europe’s Group of Experts on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings (GRETA) notes that:

‘Ukraine is primarily a country of origin of victims of trafficking in human beings (THB), but also to some extent a country of destination and transit…

According to IOM statistics, the number of identified victims of THB was 1 085 in 2010, 823 in 2011, 945 in 2012 and 929 in 2013. The vast majority of the victims were Ukrainian nationals (1 077 in 2010, 804 in 2011, 889 in 2012 and 900 in 2013), the Russian Federation, Poland and Turkey being the main countries of destination. While in 2010 and 2011 the majority of the identified victims were women (respectively 64% and 58%), in 2012 and 2013 the number of male victims prevailed (respectively 56% and 55%). As regards children, there were 123 identified victims in 2010, 70 in 2011, 63 in 2012 and 23 in 2013.’\textsuperscript{12}

5.3 Forms of exploitation

5.3.1 The 2014 report by the Council of Europe’s Group of Experts on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings (GRETA) notes that:

‘Since 2010, a significant increase in THB for the purpose of labour exploitation has been observed. This type of exploitation affected 56% of the identified victims in 2010, 70% in 2011, 80% in 2012 and 89% in 2013. Trafficking for the purpose of sexual exploitation accounted for 36% of the identified victims in 2010, 24% in 2011, 13% in 2012 and 8% in 2013. As


regards other forms of exploitation, 10 persons were trafficked for the purpose of organ removal in 2010 and four in 2012.\textsuperscript{13}

5.3.2 The International Organisation for Migration stated the following in July 2015: ‘Ninety-one per cent of victims assisted by IOM Ukraine in 2014 suffered from labour exploitation. The gender ratio among victims of trafficking assisted by IOM Ukraine in 2014 was 58 per cent men and 42 per cent women.’\textsuperscript{14}

5.3.3 The US Department of State’s report, ‘Trafficking in Persons 2015,’ stated: ‘Ukrainian victims are subjected to sex trafficking and forced labor in Ukraine as well as in Russia, Poland, Turkey, the United States, and other parts of Europe, Central Asia, and the Middle East. Ukrainian women and children are subjected to sex trafficking within the country. Some Ukrainian children and vulnerable adults are subjected to forced begging. A small number of foreign nationals, including those from Moldova, Russia, Vietnam, Uzbekistan, Pakistan, Cameroon, and Azerbaijan, are subjected to forced labor in Ukraine in a variety of sectors including construction, agriculture, manufacturing, domestic work, the lumber industry, nursing, and street begging.’\textsuperscript{15}

5.3.4 See also section on Trafficking in children.

5.4 Internal trafficking

5.4.1 The 2014 report by the Council of Europe’s Group of Experts on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings (GRETA) noted that: ‘There is a shared opinion among national and international experts working in Ukraine that internal trafficking for labour exploitation, forced begging and forced criminality is considerably higher than the figures suggest.’\textsuperscript{16} GRETA provided the following statistics for victims of internal trafficking: 114 identified victims in 2010, 79 in 2011, 139 in 2012.\textsuperscript{17}

\textsuperscript{17} Group of Experts on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings (GRETA). ‘Report concerning the implementation of the Council of Europe Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings by Ukraine’ (paras 10 and 11), published 19 September 2014.
5.4.2 The 2014 report by the Council of Europe’s Group of Experts on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings (GRETA) notes that:

‘At present the anti-trafficking response of Ukraine does not focus sufficiently on internal THB. A number of cases involving women trafficked within Ukraine for sexual exploitation have been documented by NGOs. There are also reports of THB for labour exploitation involving Ukrainian nationals in clothing production sweatshops in Odessa oblast and illegal coal mining in Donetsk oblast (the so-called “kopanki”). The Ukrainian authorities have confirmed that there have been cases of women from rural areas being trafficked to cities for the purpose of sexual or labour exploitation, as well as cases of sale of children, mostly in Western Ukraine (Zakarpattia, Lviv and Chernivtsi oblast). La Strada Ukraine and IOM have conducted a campaign addressing demand leading to internal trafficking. However, this form of THB is not addressed in a comprehensive manner in the national programme for 2013-2015...’

‘Ukraine’s anti-trafficking measures show a lack of attention to the Roma community as a group vulnerable to trafficking, while GRETA was informed that the situation of Roma in Ukraine raises particular concerns as regards human trafficking.’

5.4.3 In its 2014 report, the Equal Rights Trust noted that:

‘While victims from various countries are trafficked into or through Ukraine, victims who are themselves Ukrainian are trafficked not only to other countries, mostly in Europe and Asia, but within Ukraine itself. The women most vulnerable to being trafficked are young, single women with low or very low living standards. They often have limited access to employment opportunities and are invariably targeted by recruiters who are themselves Ukrainian through fraud, coercion, and debt bondage.’

5.5 Trafficking in children

5.5.1 The European Commission published a document in March 2015, which stated: ‘The conflict in the east of the country had a heavy impact on children’s rights. Many children and their parents were forced to leave their native towns and places of residence, and many became victims of the war...’


‘Report concerning the implementation of the Council of Europe Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings by Ukraine’ (paras 61-63), published 19 September 2014.


and subject to kidnapping, sexual and other forms of exploitation and trafficking.\textsuperscript{20}

5.5.2 The US Department of State noted the following in its ‘Trafficking in Persons Report 2015,’ published in July 2015:

‘The approximately 82,000-200,000 children institutionalized in state-run orphanages are especially vulnerable to being subjected to trafficking. Officials of several state-run institutions and orphanages are allegedly complicit or willfully negligent to the sex and labor trafficking of girls and boys under their care. Russia’s aggression that has fueled the conflict in eastern Ukraine has displaced over 1.3 million people, and this population is especially vulnerable to exploitation. There have been reports of kidnapping of women and girls from conflict-affected areas for the purposes of sex and labor trafficking.\textsuperscript{21}

5.5.3 Huffington Post reported the following in February 2015:

‘Poor and disabled children, locked away and out of sight from families and their communities, are sitting ducks for traffickers and pedophiles. And nefarious staff are often the beneficiaries of perverse transactions where captive children are the commodity….DRI [Disability Rights International] found that children are at risk of being trafficked for sex, labor, pornography and organs in a country that is a known hub for human trafficking…

‘Inside orphanages, DRI found rampant sexual violence, abuse and rape were commonplace. Children are often recruited directly from orphanages for sex and labor according to anti-trafficking organizations in Ukraine. “There’s huge sexual abuse within the orphanages… So this is a push factor that gets children involved in sexual exploitation, even before they grow up. They’re already used to sexual abuse,” said a Ukraine counter-trafficking expert.

‘Producers of child pornography go directly to orphanages to seek out their prey. And institutionalized children with disabilities are particularly susceptible to becoming trafficked for their organs. One grandmother of a newborn with Down Syndrome was told by doctors that they could sell the baby for organs and get money.

‘Maria - who grew up in an orphanage in Odessa - fled at the age of 15, when she feared for her life. "Children would go into the woods behind the building and disappear," she told DRI. "Every year 10 to 12 children went


missing. We thought it was rapists and murderers. Some children were found dead but nothing was done. The staff never asked 'why'?

'In notorious orphanage number five, a "sauna/massage parlor" was run out of the basement of the facility where the children were bought and sold for sex. The operation was shut down in 2012 but the orphanage remains open, with many of the victims still living there.

'Condemned to a life of isolation and neglect, children with disabilities are transferred to adult psychiatric facilities or nursing homes when they are about 16 years old, where they will stay until they die. But those with little or no disabilities "graduate" and are ill-equipped to face life on their own. They are particularly vulnerable to be trafficked right off the street and often there are traffickers waiting for them outside the orphanage doors when they leave.

'DRI interviewed former orphanage residents, many living on the streets and in sewers in Odessa and Kiev. They spoke of the sexual and physical violence, beatings and forced labor they had been subjected to as children living in orphanages... Orphan graduates complained of having to work in the fields, up to 12 hours a day, on orphanage-owned farms. Some said they were beaten if they refused to do assigned work and others were sent home with staff to cook and clean in their homes - all without pay.

'The revenue stream generated from isolated orphans even extends to so-called volun-tourism and orphan vacations - highly suspect and unregulated programs whereby well-intentioned westerners pay to volunteer in orphanages for a few weeks or take a child into their home for a vacation from the orphanage. Unencumbered access to already emotionally frail children further exposes them to traffickers and pedophiles. DRI interviewed one U.S. family who paid $2,900 to host two, young Ukrainian orphan girls, without any home visit or background check.'

5.5.4 Following a three-year investigation into the treatment of children in orphanages across Ukraine, Disability Rights noted in its 2015 report 'No Way Home' that: 'Without adequate government oversight, children detained in institutions are at-risk of sexual abuse, trafficking for sex, pornography, or sale of bodily organs.' The report continued:

'Anti-trafficking organizations have reported to DRI that children are systematically trafficked within or outside of institutions for forced labor, sex, or bodily organs. DRI’s investigation confirmed these concerns. Numerous former residents of orphanages reported that they observed the sexual exploitation of children inside facilities and after their release. In almost every institution visited by DRI investigators, we observed children and young

adults forced to work without pay. At an orphanage for children with disabilities in the Vinnitsya oblast, for example, residents told DRI investigators that every child is forced to work and staff beat them if they do not comply. …

‘With no family or social ties in the community and few if any skills to face the world, those who graduate from orphanages at age sixteen are highly susceptible to being trafficked, entering the sex industry, or living on the streets.

‘… Based on our findings, DRI is concerned that Ukraine’s system of orphanages and institutions serves as a recruitment tool or “direct feeder” to find vulnerable children for sexual exploitation, organ harvesting, child pornography and forced labor. According to anti-trafficking organizations, sexual abuse in orphanages usually begins around the age of 13 and is most often instigated by staff of institutions.\textsuperscript{24}

5.5.5 The 2014 report by the Council of Europe’s Group of Experts on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings (GRETA) noted that:

‘According to a representative of the Parliamentary Commissioner for Human Rights, the current numbers on violence and trafficking in children do not reflect the actual situation in Ukraine for a number of reasons. First, there is insufficient awareness among the general public as regards trafficking in children. The lack of staff in law enforcement bodies and social services capable of identifying child victims is another important factor allowing for trafficking in children to go unnoticed. In addition, co-ordination and co-operation among the bodies which come across children in difficult circumstances is not efficient. A survey carried out by the Presidential Administration Office of the Ombudsman for Children in 2012 suggests that more than 56% of children were not aware of their rights as regards protection against sexual exploitation and trafficking.\textsuperscript{25}

5.5.6 See also section on Assistance for victims of trafficking for information about help available specifically for children.

6. Prosecutions and government initiatives

6.1 Introduction

6.1.1 The US Department of State’s report, ‘Trafficking in Persons 2015,’ published in July 2015, stated:


The Government of Ukraine does not fully comply with the minimum standards for the elimination of trafficking; however, it is making significant efforts to do so. Despite these measures, the government did not demonstrate overall increasing anti-trafficking efforts compared to the previous reporting period; therefore, Ukraine is placed on Tier 2 Watch List for a third consecutive Year [i.e. it is a country whose government does not fully comply with the minimum standards of the Trafficking Victims’ Protection Act’s but it is making significant efforts to bring itself into compliance with those standards]. Ukraine was granted a waiver from an otherwise required downgrade to Tier 3 because its government has a written plan that, if implemented, would constitute making significant efforts to bring itself into compliance with the minimum standards for the elimination of trafficking and it has committed to devoting sufficient resources to implement that plan.

During the reporting period, the government assisted foreign counterparts on transnational trafficking investigations and partnered with international experts to provide specialized training to over 750 public officials on victim identification and assistance. However, the government’s anti-trafficking capacity was constrained by the need to dedicate resources to improving the security situation caused by Russian aggression. Government efforts were also constrained by poor coordination at the national level, a lack of understanding in government agencies about the issue, and corruption, which undermined governance and the rule of law. The government relied on foreign donors and NGOs to fund and provide the majority of victim services. Victim identification remained inadequate, and only a small portion of victims assisted by NGOs were referred to care or certified by the government. The number of trafficking prosecutions and convictions declined sharply, continuing a multi-year decline, and the majority of convicted traffickers were not sentenced to prison.

6.1.2 The US Department of State made the following recommendations for Ukraine in its report, ‘Trafficking in persons 2015,’ published in July 2015:

Vigorously investigate trafficking offenses and prosecute and convict traffickers and officials complicit in trafficking; provide victims with assistance under the trafficking law or fund NGOs providing services and shelter; provide funding adequate to fully implement the national action plan; increase training for officials on victim identification, particularly in the proactive screening of vulnerable populations, such as individuals in prostitution and internally displaced persons (IDPs); sensitize judges to the severity of this crime to ensure convictions result in proportionate and dissuasive sentences; increase training for law enforcement, prosecutors, and judges in the investigation and prosecution of trafficking cases; certify more victims to ensure they are afforded their rights under the trafficking law; harmonize migration employment and trafficking laws to clarify foreign trafficking victims are eligible for temporary residency and for employment

authorization; and provide victims with protective measures allowed under the witness protection law.\textsuperscript{27}

6.2 Government protection and compensation for victims

6.2.1 The US Department of State’s report stated:

‘The government did not demonstrate progress in protection efforts. In 2014, IOM assisted 903 victims, compared with 929 in 2013. The government identified 91 victims in 2014, the third consecutive year in which authorities identified fewer victims. The percentage of victims referred to NGOs by law enforcement and other authorities continued to decrease. Observers reported police did know how to screen individuals in prostitution for signs of trafficking. The government approved 27 out of 48 applications for official victim status under the 2011 trafficking law; GRETA (the Council of Europe’s Group of Experts on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings) reported victim status was sometimes denied due to applications lacking particular documents, such as police reports, though this was not a requirement under the law. GRETA emphasized the contrast in the number of victims assisted by IOM [International Organisation for Migration] versus the number certified by the government and observed the government’s current procedure to identify victims relies on the victims—who are often exiting traumatic situations—to self-report and provide evidence of their victimization.’\textsuperscript{28}

6.2.2 The US Department of State’s Trafficking in Persons 2015 report, published in July 2015 stated:

‘In 2014, 121 victims participated in the prosecution of alleged traffickers. Courts have the authority to order compensation for victims that sought restitution, but the administration of these decisions was hampered by unavailability of assets, corruption, and low effectiveness of the enforcement process. Various protective measures were available for victims who testified at trial, but in practice these measures were rarely applied, and trafficking victims serving as witnesses were often not treated in a victim-sensitive manner. …’\textsuperscript{29}

6.2.3 The GRETA report of September 2014 stated:

‘Despite the introduction of a procedure for the identification of victims of trafficking in 2012, the number of people granted victim status by the Ministry of Social Policy is low and GRETA is concerned that the procedure relies on victims themselves applying for identification. Efforts to proactively identify victims of trafficking need to be significantly increased. GRETA stresses that


securing adequate public funding for victim assistance and protection measures is indispensable for the sustainability of the victim assistance system. Additional measures are also needed to ensure that victims of trafficking have effective access to compensation, including through the setting up of a State compensation scheme.

‘Further, GRETA calls on the Ukrainian authorities to provide in law for a recovery and reflection period for victims of trafficking and to ensure that they can benefit from the right to obtain a renewable residence permit. Moreover, GRETA urges the Ukrainian authorities to ensure that human trafficking offences are effectively investigated and prosecuted through developing the training and specialisation of investigators, judges and prosecutors, and making full use of the measures available for protecting victims and witnesses.’

6.2.4 In relation to redress and compensation for trafficking, the GRETA report noted:

‘Despite the existence of legal possibilities, victims of trafficking in Ukraine do not have effective access to compensation. GRETA asks the authorities to enable victims of trafficking to exercise their right to compensation by ensuring their effective access to legal aid and by including compensation into training programmes for law enforcement officials and the judiciary. Further, GRETA urges the Ukrainian authorities to set up a State compensation scheme accessible to victims of trafficking ... Further, GRETA asks the authorities to make full use of the measures available to protect victims and witnesses of trafficking, including children, and to take additional measures to ensure that victims of trafficking are adequately informed of their rights and existing remedies.’

6.2.5 The GRETA report concluded:

‘[I]n practice obtaining compensation for victims of trafficking is difficult to achieve, because convictions are appealed in higher instance courts, thus suspending the decision on compensation until the final judgment is delivered by courts of appeal. The Ukrainian authorities have not informed GRETA of any successful compensation claims by victims of trafficking. According to a study of court judgments in 61 cases of THB in 2011-2012 in 15 oblast of Ukraine carried out by the All-Ukrainian NGO Coalition, only five victims filed civil claims for compensation. Although the courts awarded compensation in some cases, it was never paid to the victims concerned.'


There is no efficient mechanism in place to ensure the enforcement within reasonable time of court decisions concerning compensation obtained through civil proceedings.

‘There is currently no possibility for victims of THB to obtain compensation from the State. In GRETA’s view, the one-time financial grant referred to in paragraph 150 (approximately 87 euros at the time of writing the report) cannot be considered as compensation.’  

6.3 Law enforcement

6.3.1 The US Department of State’s Trafficking in Persons 2015 report, published in July 2015, stated:

‘The government demonstrated weakened law enforcement efforts in pursuing trafficking cases, given its focus of resources on fighting Russian aggression… Law enforcement investigated 109 trafficking offenses in 2014, compared with 130 in 2013. Authorities initiated prosecutions of 42 defendants under Article 149, compared with 91 cases in 2013. The government convicted 57 traffickers in 2014 under Article 149, a decline from 109 in 2013. Of the 36 convicted traffickers who had been sentenced at the close of 2014, 15 were sentenced to prison terms ranging from one to 10 years, and the government confiscated the assets of seven defendants. Authorities collaborated with foreign governments on transnational investigations. The government, in conjunction with international funding and partners, provided training to 277 judges, prosecutors, and law enforcement officers. The government did not report any investigations, prosecutions, or convictions of government officials complicit in human trafficking offenses, despite reports of government corruption and official complicity in the sex and labor trafficking of children housed in state-run institutions and orphanages.’

6.3.2 In relation to prosecutions for trafficking, the 2014 report by the Council of Europe’s Group of Experts on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings (GRETA) noted that:

‘According to the Ukrainian authorities, the Ministry of the Interior initiated 257 investigations into trafficking offences in 2010, 197 in 2011, 155 in 2012 and 130 in 2013. The number of convictions was 85 in 2010, 106 in 2011 and 46 in 2012. In 2013, first instance courts considered 50 criminal cases under Article 149 of the CC [Criminal Code]. According to judgments which entered into force, 65 persons were convicted under Article 149. All

32 Group of Experts on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings (GRETA). ‘Report concerning the implementation of the Council of Europe Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings by Ukraine,’ paras 177 and 178, published 19 September 2014.  

sentences were enforced, ranging from one to 10 years’ imprisonment. A total of 31 persons convicted of THB [trafficking in human beings] offences were released on probation.

‘The results of monitoring by the All-Ukrainian NGO coalition of case-law of Ukrainian courts concerning Article 149 of the CC showed that a considerable number of convictions in THB cases have involved imprisonment sentences below the minimum envisaged by the legislation. As explained by the Ukrainian authorities in their comments on the draft GRETA report, this is due to a possibility envisaged by Article 69, paragraph 1, of the CC, which allows in the presence of multiple mitigating circumstances to apply a sanction of a lesser severity than the minimum envisaged by the article establishing the offence in question. Further, pursuant to Article 75 of the CC, the court, having regard to the gravity of an offence, the character of the culprit and other circumstances of the crime, may discharge the person on probation. The Ukrainian authorities have provided examples of THB cases where courts took into account multiple mitigating circumstances, such as absence of previous convictions, first criminal indictment, and the fact that the defendants admitted their guilt and sincerely repented the commission of the offence, and the victims not having any claims against the defendants. In these cases the courts either issued more lenient sentences than envisaged by Article 149 or put the convicted persons on probation.‘

6.3.3 In relation to identification of victims of labour trafficking, GRETA reported:

‘GRETA was informed that the Labour Inspectorate does not have legal competence or practical capacity to detect and formally identify victims of THB. There are a total of 27 territorial labour inspectorates employing about 850 labour inspectors. The powers of labour inspectors extend to all sectors of the economy and entitle them to carry out both planned and unplanned inspections, but they do not have access to domestic households, unless the person working in that household is employed through a formal contract.’

6.4 Preventative measures

6.4.1 The US Department of State’s Trafficking in Persons 2015 report, published in July 2015 stated:

‘The government continued some prevention activities. The government had a national action plan for 2012-2015 and spent 250,100 hryvnia ($11,600)

---


from state and local budgets for awareness campaigns, which particularly targeted young individuals seeking employment abroad. The Ministry of Social Policy continued in its role as national anti-trafficking coordinator and published a report on its activities in 2014. The government did not incorporate the labor inspectorate into its anti-trafficking measures, though worksite inspections could reveal situations of trafficking. The government, in continued cooperation with IOM, conducted counter-trafficking pre-deployment trainings for Ukrainian troops assigned to multinational missions. The government provided antitrafficking training for its diplomatic personnel. The government did not demonstrate specific efforts to reduce the demand for commercial sex acts and forced labor.\(^{36}\)

6.4.2 The European Commission published a document in March 2015, which stated:

‘Continued progress was made on preventing and fighting trafficking in human beings. The size of the trafficking in human beings department within the police, set up in 2013, increased, to a total of 470 people. As a consequence, 88 cases of trafficking in human beings were under investigation in 2014, of which 68 were taken to court. Collaboration between government and NGOs continued to develop. A memorandum of understanding was signed to facilitate the government and NGOs working together on issues relating to trafficking in human beings. Funding for NGOs dealing with trafficking in human beings remained limited. The EU-Institute of Migration MIGRECO project added three more regions to the national referral mechanism.’\(^{37}\)

6.4.3 GRETA described government initiatives to combat trafficking:

‘An Interdepartmental Council was set up to supervise the implementation of the national programme, but GRETA notes with concern that it has not met since 2010. The day-to-day co-ordination of anti-trafficking action is entrusted to the Ministry of Social Policy where a Division for Combating Trafficking in Human Beings was set up. Specialised police units to combat human trafficking have also been established throughout the country. GRETA urges the Ukrainian authorities to enhance co-ordination of anti-trafficking activities by ensuring the effective and regular functioning of the Interdepartmental Council and the co-ordinating councils set up at oblast level. GRETA also asks the authorities to further develop co-ordination with civil society actors engaged in anti-trafficking action and to increase the


involvement of NGOs and trade unions in the planning and implementation of national policy.‘\(^{38}\)

6.4.4 The Council of Europe published the following in September 2014:

‘… a number of challenges remain to be tackled through legislative, policy or practical measures. GRETA notes that additional measures should be taken to raise public awareness about human trafficking and address the root causes of trafficking. [GRETA’s] report also draws attention to the need to address emerging trends, such as the increase in trafficking for the purpose of labour exploitation, internal trafficking and trafficking of foreign nationals to Ukraine. GRETA is deeply concerned by the negative consequences of the current crisis in Ukraine on anti-trafficking activities and the growing number of internally displaced persons, including women, children and persons with disabilities, who represent a group vulnerable to human trafficking.‘\(^{39}\)

7. **Assistance for victims of trafficking**

7.1.1 The 2014 GRETA report noted that:

‘… NGOs in Ukraine play a crucial role in anti-trafficking action. La Strada Ukraine has full membership of the Interdepartmental Council. According to NGO representatives, co-operation with the Ministry of Social Policy is rather good. However, this co-operation should be further formalised, especially when it comes to providing services to victims of THB. The involvement of NGOs in the work of the interdepartmental councils at the oblast level is reportedly not always done in a satisfactory manner. Further, obtaining funds from the oblast budgets for training and prevention activities carried out by NGOs is apparently difficult.‘\(^{40}\)

7.1.2 The US Department of State’s report, ‘Trafficking in Persons 2015,’ published in July 2015, stated:

‘International donors continued to provide the majority of funding for anti-trafficking activities and assistance to victims. The government disbursed 80,000 hryvnia ($3,700) from the central budget for anti-trafficking measures


in 2014; the budget, formulated in 2012, had planned for 845,427 hryvnia ($39,100). In April 2013, the government adopted procedures allowing NGOs to request financial support, although it had not announced a competition for funds by the end of the reporting period. The government provided each of the 27 officially recognized victims with financial assistance in the amount of 1,176 hryvnia ($54).

‘The trafficking law entitles victims to receive free temporary housing at a government shelter, psychological assistance, medical services, employment counseling, and vocational training. The government operated social service centers responsible for assessing victims’ needs and drafting rehabilitation plans. Victims who required shelter could stay at government-run centers for socio-psychological assistance for up to 90 days and receive psychological and medical support, lodging, food, and legal assistance. Women and men were accommodated in separate rooms. GRETA reported the provision of assistance was problematic due to funding shortfalls and shortage of trained staff. Non-governmental partners delivered assistance not provided by public social services. The majority of victims requiring shelter were housed at a rehabilitation center run by IOM... The government, in coordination with IOM, trained over 2,500 officials on victim identification and assistance in 2014, compared with 750 officials in 2013.’

7.1.3 The US Department of Labor’s report, ‘2014 Findings on the Worst Forms of Child Labor,’ published on 30 September 2015, noted, ‘shelter and rehabilitation centers for children, including street children and victims of human trafficking, are insufficient to fully address the extent of the problem.’

7.1.4 The same report commented on provision for children:

‘There are a variety of service providers for victims of human trafficking in Ukraine. However, the MSP’s [Ministry of Social Policy's] delay in conveying the status of “victim of trafficking” to all children who have been trafficked prevents children without this status from accessing free government services. Research found that this delay may often result from the insufficient provision of documentation to the MSP.

‘Although the MSP provides services for children in shelters and social-psychological rehabilitation centers, the current availability of shelters and trained personnel is insufficient to fully address the extent of the problem. The Centers for Social Services for Family, Youth, and Children have experienced a large turnover of staff due to an excessive workload and low pay. In addition, budget cuts enacted in 2014 due to the overall budget crisis in Ukraine resulted in the layoff of 12,000 social workers. This may restrict...


the Centers in their ability to efficiently address the main needs of trafficking victims. Likewise, high turnover in the regions, where local agencies are responsible for identifying trafficking victims under the NRM, has hampered the provision of services to victims.\(^43\)

7.1.5 The NGO La Strada provided the following assistance to victims of trafficking in Ukraine:

- ‘Offering social assistance to trafficked persons (medical, psychological and legal support, short-term shelter, accompanying and referring clients)
- ‘Organising and providing social assistance and consultations to victims of domestic violence, sexual harassment at work place and other forms of abuse
- ‘Organising and providing assistance to children who suffered from human trafficking, sexual exploitation and violence
- ‘Assisting in searching for missing Ukrainian citizens who have disappeared abroad and assisting them in returning to Ukraine
- ‘Cooperating with diplomatic authorities of foreign countries in Ukraine and diplomatic authorities of Ukraine abroad to provide social assistance
- ‘Strengthening the cooperation with international, governmental and non-governmental organisations providing assistance to trafficked people
- ‘Preparing, publishing and distributing information materials for trafficked persons and those who have suffered from violence.\(^44\)

7.1.6 The website for La Strada mentioned that the NGO also operated a hotline, describing this work as follows:

- ‘Running the National Toll Free Hotline on prevention of trafficking in persons and National Toll Free Hot Line on prevention of violence and protection of children's rights.
- Providing consultation (by phone and online) on, for example, work or study abroad, marrying foreigners, safe migration, violence issues and children’s rights protection, etc.
- Providing psychological assistance for trafficked persons and survivors of violence.
- Running and updating a database for consulting on the problem of trafficking in persons, domestic violence, children’s rights.
- Organising and conducting round table discussions, seminars and conferences for hotline consultants, and conducting trainings on organising and running hotlines.


• Preparing and publishing informational and methodological materials for hot line counselling.\textsuperscript{45}

7.1.7 The International Organisation for Migration described their Counter-Trafficking Programme for Ukraine, which was updated in July 2015:

‘The IOM Ukraine Counter-Trafficking Programme was launched in 1998 to support government and civil society in their efforts to combat human trafficking and to ensure victims’ access to assistance and justice. Since 2000, in partnership with a coalition of specialized NGOs throughout Ukraine, IOM has provided comprehensive reintegration assistance to over 11,000 victims of trafficking, which included legal consultation and representation in criminal and civil court, medical care, psychological counselling, shelter, vocational training and other needs-based support.

‘IOM operates a Medical Rehabilitation Centre, the only one of its kind in Ukraine, where comprehensive and free of charge medical care and psychological assistance are provided to beneficiaries in a safe and confidential manner. Since 2002, more than 2,500 victims have benefited from the Centre’s services.

‘More than 300 victims of trafficking benefited from IOM’s Micro-Enterprise Development Programme, setting up 235 micro-enterprises and creating approximately 300 new jobs.

‘To ensure the sustainability of victim reintegration programmes in Ukraine, IOM supports capacity building and geographical expansion of the government-owned National Referral Mechanism for Victims of Trafficking, which was launched in Ukraine in 2012.

‘IOM implements a variety of human trafficking prevention activities targeting the general population, vulnerable and at-risk groups, youth, internally displaced persons, potential victims, and also state and non-government professionals who might be in contact with potential victims. These include introduction of counter-trafficking curriculum in educational institutions, anti-trafficking rally and awareness raising activities, outreach through the website stoptrafficking.org.ua and social media, dissemination of information materials in the employment centres, embassies and consulates of the most popular destination countries for Ukrainian labour migrants. IOM also enables access to confidential and free of charge advice through the National Counter-Trafficking and Migrant Advice Hotline 527, which provides over 20,000 consultations annually.

‘In order to enhance the access of victims of trafficking to justice, IOM provides comprehensive capacity building support to the police, investigators, prosecutors and judges. This includes thematic trainings, networking with countries of transit and destination, provision of equipment, strengthening victim/witness protection mechanisms, among others. IOM also partners with the State Migration Service and State Border Guard

Service of Ukraine to enhance the identification of potential trafficking victims and to improve cooperation and coordination with the specialized police units.\textsuperscript{46}
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