

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	:	CRIMINAL NO.	
v.	:	DATE FILED:	Filed Under Seal
WILLIAM DORSEY, a/k/a "Will," a/k/a "Sabor,"	:	VIOLATIONS:	21 U.S.C. § 846 (conspiracy to distribute 100 grams or more of heroin – 1 count)
FARUD GIGETTS, a/k/a "Farud Giggetts," a/k/a "Farud Grasty"	:	Notice of forfeiture	

INDICTMENT

COUNT ONE

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES THAT:

1. From on or about December 3, 2013, through on or about December 18, 2013, in Chester, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and elsewhere, defendants

WILLIAM DORSEY,
a/k/a "Will,"
a/k/a "Sabor," and
FARUD GIGETTS,
a/k/a "Farud Giggetts,"
a/k/a "Farud Grasty"

conspired and agreed together, and with others known and unknown to the grand jury, to knowingly and intentionally distribute 100 grams or more of a mixture and substance containing a detectable amount of heroin, a Schedule I controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(B).

MANNER AND MEANS

It was part of the conspiracy that:

2. Defendants WILLIAM DORSEY and FARUD GIGETTS agreed to work

together to sell heroin.

3. Defendants WILLIAM DORSEY and FARUD GIGETTS exchanged telephone calls and text messages in order to discuss the processing, packaging and selling of heroin.

4. Defendants FARUD GIGETTS and WILLIAM DORSEY used their heroin customers to test heroin samples prepared by defendant DORSEY to determine the quality.

5. Defendants FARUD GIGETTS and WILLIAM DORSEY discussed the prices to charge heroin customers who ordered bundles of heroin.

OVERT ACTS

In furtherance of the conspiracy and to accomplish its object, defendants WILLIAM DORSEY and FARUD GIGETTS committed the following overt acts, among others, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and elsewhere:

On or about December 6, 2013:

1. Defendant WILLIAM DORSEY used the telephone to inform defendant FARUD GIGETTS that he had good heroin for sale.

2. Defendant FARUD GIGETTS called defendant WILLIAM DORSEY to discuss the location of a store where he could purchase supplies for processing heroin.

3. Defendants FARUD GIGETTS and WILLIAM DORSEY used the telephone to discuss using heroin addicts to test the heroin for its quality.

4. Defendants FARUD GIGETTS and WILLIAM DORSEY discussed the quality of the heroin that defendant DORSEY had.

5. Defendant WILLIAM DORSEY called defendant FARUD GIGETTS to obtain a food processor used to process heroin.

6. Defendant FARUD GIGETTS called defendant WILLIAM DORSEY to tell him that he found someone with a food processor for defendant DORSEY to use for processing the heroin.

On or about December 7, 2013:

7. Defendant FARUD GIGETTS called defendant WILLIAM DORSEY to discuss defendant GIGETTS getting another food processor for defendant DORSEY.

8. Defendant FARUD GIGETTS told defendant WILLIAM DORSEY that he was going to try to find someone to pool his money with to purchase heroin from defendant DORSEY.

9. Defendant FARUD GIGETTS ordered two packets of heroin to give to his heroin customers to test for quality.

10. Defendant FARUD GIGETTS told defendant WILLIAM DORSEY that one of his heroin customers did not like the quality of the heroin provided in the samples.

11. Defendant WILLIAM DORSEY called defendant FARUD GIGETTS to tell him that his customers gave the heroin an "8" rating.

12. Defendant FARUD GIGETTS told defendant WILLIAM DORSEY that he would help him sell the bundles of heroin defendant DORSEY had.

On or about December 9, 2013:

13. Defendants FARUD GIGETTS and WILLIAM DORSEY discussed differing opinions of their heroin testers on the quality of defendant DORSEY's heroin.

14. Defendants FARUD GIGETTS and WILLIAM DORSEY discussed ways in which they could both make money selling heroin.

On or about December 10, 2013:

15. Defendants FARUD GIGETTS and WILLIAM DORSEY discussed selling bundles of heroin to a customer at a price in which they could both make a profit.

On or about December 11, 2013:

16. Defendant WILLIAM DORSEY asked defendant FARUD GIGETTS to find a heroin addict to test the quality of heroin that defendant DORSEY had processed.

17. Defendant FARUD GIGETTS called defendant WILLIAM DORSEY to obtain heroin for a drug customer.

18. Defendants FARUD GIGETTS and WILLIAM DORSEY discussed the price of bundles of heroin for one of defendant GIGETTS' customers.

On or about December 15, 2013:

19. Defendant WILLIAM DORSEY called defendant FARUD GIGETTS to find out whether defendant GIGETTS had heard back from his customer about buying bundles of heroin.

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846.

NOTICE OF FORFEITURE

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

1. As a result of the violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846 set forth in this indictment, defendants

**WILLIAM DORSEY,
a/k/a "Will,"
a/k/a "Sabor," and
FARUD GIGGETTS,
a/k/a "Farud Giggetts,"
a/k/a "Farud Grasty"**

shall forfeit to the United States of America:

- (a) any property used or intended to be used, in any manner or part, to commit, or to facilitate the commission of such offenses; and
- (b) any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly from the commission of such offense.

2. If any of the property subject to forfeiture, as a result of any act or omission of the defendants:

- (a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- (b) has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
- (c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
- (d) has been substantially diminished in value; or
- (e) has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any other property of the defendants up to the value of the property subject to forfeiture.

All pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853.

A TRUE BILL:

GRAND JURY FOREPERSON

Christine & Ames Fox

**ZANE DAVID MEMEGER
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY**