

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

- - - - -x  
:  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :  
:  
- v. - :  
:  
KIRILL RAPOPORT, :  
:  
Defendant. :  
:  
- - - - -x

**SUPERSEDING  
INFORMATION**

S1 13 Cr. 268 (JKF)

COUNT ONE

(Illegal Sports Gambling Business)

The United States Attorney charges:

1. From at least in or about January 2012 up to and including on or about April 11, 2013, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, KIRILL RAPOPORT, the defendant, knowingly and willfully did conduct, finance, manage, supervise, direct, and own all and part of an illegal gambling business, as that term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1955(b)(1), (2), and (3), and aid and abet the same, to wit, RAPOPORT, operated an illegal poker business that violated New York Penal Law Section 225.05, involved five or more persons who conducted, financed, managed, supervised, directed, and owned all or part of such business, and had been and remained in substantially continuous operation for a period in excess of thirty days and had a gross revenue of \$2,000 in any single day.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1955 and 2.)

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

2. As a result of committing the offense alleged in Count One of this Information, KIRILL RAPOPORT, the defendant, shall forfeit to the United States pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461, any and all property constituting, and derived from, proceeds obtained directly and indirectly as a result of such violation.

3. As a result of the offense alleged in Count One of this Information, KIRILL RAPOPORT, the defendant, shall forfeit to the United States pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 1955(d), any property, including money, used in such violation.

Substitute Asset Provision

4. If any of the above-described forfeitable property, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:

a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;

b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third person;

c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;

d. has been substantially diminished in value;

or

e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be subdivided without difficulty, it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any other property of said defendant up to the value of the above-described forfeitable property.

(Title 18, United States Code, Section 981 and 1955; and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461.)

---

PREET BHARARA  
United States Attorney

---

---

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

---

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

- v. -

KIRILL RAPOPORT,

Defendant.

---

---

SUPERSEDING INFORMATION

S1 13 Cr. 268 (JKF)

(18 U.S.C. §§ 1955 and 2.)

PREET BHARARA

---

United States Attorney.

---

---