TRACY L. WILKISON FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 1 Acting United States Attorney BRANDON D. FOX 3/31/2021 Assistant United States Attorney 3 Chief, Criminal Division CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA MACK E. JENKINS (Cal. Bar No. 242101) DEPUTY Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Public Corruption and Civil Rights Section 5 ARON KETCHEL (Cal. Bar No. 250345) Assistant United States Attorney 6 Public Corruption and Civil Rights Section 1500 United States Courthouse 7 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012 8 Telephone: (213) 894-2091/1019 Facsimile: (213) 894-7631 9 E-mail: mack.jenkins@usdoj.gov aron.ketchel@usdoj.gov 10 Attorneys for Applicant 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. 2:21-cr-00159-PSG 15 Plaintiff, 16 DEFERRED PROSECUTION **AGREEMENT** V. 17 JOSEPH ARSAN, 18 Defendant. 19 20

### I. INTRODUCTION

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1. This Deferred Prosecution Agreement (the "DPA") is entered into between the United States Attorney's Office for the Central District of California ("USAO") and defendant Joseph Arsan ("defendant Arsan"). This DPA is entered into only on behalf of the USAO and cannot bind any other federal, state, local or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authorities. The USAO is not aware of any pending investigations of or actions

against defendant Arsan, and any and all conduct beyond that described in Paragraph 3 below that could give rise to any investigations of or actions against defendant Arsan.

2. This DPA is entered into to resolve the USAO's criminal investigation of defendant Arsan's tax violations in tax years 2012-2016, as well as defendant Arsan's role and conduct regarding alleged violations of federal election contribution laws between June 2012 and September 2014 (the "Investigation").

## II. CRIMINAL INFORMATION AND ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSIBILITY

- 3. Defendant Arsan consents and agrees to the USAO filing in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, an Information in the form, or in the substantially similar form, attached as Exhibit A that charges defendant Arsan, in Count One, with assisting federal election campaign contributions exceeding \$25,000 to be made in a single year by a foreign national, in violation of 52 U.S.C. §§ 30121(a)(1)(A), 30109(d)(1)(A), and, in Count Two, assisting federal election campaign contributions to be made in the name of another (conduit contributions), in violation of 52 U.S.C. §§ 30122, 30109(d)(1)(D). In connection with his agreement to the filing of the Information, defendant Arsan, having been fully advised by his counsel, knowingly and voluntarily:
- a. Waives his right to indictment on these charges, as well as all rights to a speedy trial pursuant to the Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161, and Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 48(b), and agrees to reaffirm these waivers at his initial appearance before the court on the Information, if an initial appearance is necessary pursuant to Paragraph 3(f);

b. Waives, relinquishes, and gives up: (i) any right that defendant Arsan might have not to be prosecuted for the offenses charged in the Information because of the expiration of the statute of limitations for those offenses prior to the filing of the Information; and (ii) any defense, claim, or argument defendant Arsan could raise or assert that prosecution of the offenses charged in the Information is barred by the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations, pre-indictment delay, post-indictment delay, or any speedy trial violation;

2.0

- c. Waives, relinquishes, and gives up any right to challenge the form of the charges alleged in the Information, including without limitation that the charges are duplicitous;
- d. Waives, for purposes of the charges in the Information and any other charges that may be filed against defendant Arsan following a finding by the Court of breach under Paragraph 18 below (a "Breach") and arising out of the conduct described in the Statement of Facts attached as Exhibit B ("Statement of Facts"), any objection with respect to venue in the Central District of California;
- e. Following a Breach, defendant Arsan agrees to accept service, through counsel reflected in this agreement, of a summons to make an initial appearance on the Information before the criminal duty United States Magistrate Judge, Central District of California, located in the Roybal Federal Building and United States Courthouse, 3rd Floor, 255 E. Temple Street, Los Angeles, California, on a date and time to be agreed upon by the parties to this agreement, but no earlier than 30 days following a Breach and no later than 90 days after a Breach (absent a Breach, defendant Arsan is not required to

accept service of a summons to appear on the Information in any court of the United States); and

- f. Following a Breach, defendant Arsan agrees to make an initial appearance on the Information as specified in the summons.
- Defendant Arsan acknowledges and agrees that he is responsible under United States law for the acts charged in the Information and set forth in the Statement of Facts, and that the facts described in the Statement of Facts are true and correct. Should the USAO pursue the prosecution that is deferred by this DPA following a Breach, defendant Arsan stipulates to the admissibility of the Statement of Facts in any proceeding, including any trial, guilty plea, or sentencing proceeding involving the charges in the Information or based on the Statement of Facts, and agrees not to contradict anything in the Statement of Facts at any such proceeding. Defendant Arsan's entry into this DPA does not constitute an admission that he is guilty of the offenses charged in the Information. In the event that the USAO offers the Statement of Facts in any such proceedings, defendant Arsan agrees that he will not challenge the admissibility or accuracy of the Statement of Facts, but reserves the right to make any other argument relating to the Statement of Facts.

# III. EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

5. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution of all required certifications by defendant Arsan, defendant Arsan's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney (the "Initial Effective Date").

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

#### IV. TERM OF THE DPA

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This DPA is effective for a period beginning on the Initial Effective Date and ending one year from the Initial Effective Date (the "Term"). Defendant Arsan agrees, however, that, in the event of a Breach by defendant, then an extension or extensions of the Term of up to six months may be imposed by the USAO for each breach, without prejudice to the USAO's right to proceed as provided in Paragraphs 18-21 below. Any extension of the Term extends all terms of this DPA, including the terms and conditions of the requirements in Paragraphs 8-10, for an equivalent period. Notwithstanding the foregoing, defendant Arsan's cooperation obligations as described in Paragraph 9 of this Agreement shall continue until the conclusion of any criminal investigation or prosecution (through the entry of final judgment) of any individual relating to the Statement of Facts, which will only occur upon a letter from the USAO confirming this obligation has expired.

## V. RELEVANT CONSIDERATIONS

7. The USAO enters into this DPA based on the individual facts and circumstances presented by this case and by defendant Arsan. Among the factors considered were the following:

(a) defendant Arsan's agreement to resolve other financial obligations involving the United States during the Term; (b) defendant Arsan's timely willingness to acknowledge and accept responsibility for the actions charged in the Information and set forth in the Statement of Facts; (c) defendant's agreement to cooperate with the government, including submitting to interviews with the government and provision of documents, during the Term; (d)

defendant Arsan's residence outside of the United States, which makes compulsory legal compliance and obtaining evidence located outside of the United States more challenging for the government; (e) defendant Arsan's personal mitigating factors; (f) the nature and seriousness of the offense conduct; and (g) the legal and factual defenses presented on behalf of defendant Arsan.

## VI. MATERIAL CONDITIONS OF DEFERRED PROSECUTION

- 8. During the Term, defendant Arsan agrees to comply with the following conditions:
- a. Resolve any outstanding legal matters involving the United States Internal Revenue Service;
- b. Defendant Arsan agrees to assist the United States by providing an interview(or interviews) or testimony, as provided in Paragraph 9 below, and by responding truthfully and completely to the questions that may be put to him during that interview(s), as well as any testimony that may be required under that Paragraph;
- c. Not to violate any United States law (federal, state or local), with the exception of minor offenses that would be excluded for sentencing purposes under United States Sentencing Guidelines § 4A1.2(c); and
- d. To advise the USAO within 48 hours if arrested for a violation of United States criminal law, other than in connection with minor offenses that would be excluded for sentencing purposes under United States Sentencing Guidelines § 4A1.2(c).
  - 9. If requested by the USAO:
- a. to participate in an in-person, recorded interview(s) or testimony with representatives from the USAO, Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI"), and other government representatives selected

at the discretion of the USAO, at the USAO's office in Los Angeles, California. Any such interview will occur on a date agreed to by the parties through counsel indicated in this agreement. Any travel expenses incurred by defendant Arsan for this interview will be the responsibility of defendant Arsan. Subject to any applicable privilege, during the course of the interview(s) or shortly thereafter, defendant Arsan shall produce to the government any requested documents, including communications, that are in his possession, custody, or control, regardless of where such documents are held, that may be necessary to refresh his recollection, test the accuracy or veracity of his statements during the interview, or to otherwise support the information provided by defendant Arsan during the interview. No later than fourteen days in advance of each interview, the government will provide defendant Arsan's counsel a non-exhaustive written list of agenda items for each interview and a list of anticipated attendees.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- b. In the event that the USAO requires any testimony from defendant Arsan following his interview(s), defendant Arsan will, if requested by the government, provide such testimony in the Central District of California. If the government agrees the testimony can be provided outside of the United States, it will be at a mutually agreeable location, and defendant Arsan will provide the testimony in accordance with Rule of Criminal Procedure 15 as well as the applicable laws, rules, and regulations of the country and jurisdiction where such testimony is to be provided.
- 10. Thirty days prior to the end of the Term, defendant Arsan will provide to the USAO a certification signed by himself and counsel stating that he has met the conditions set forth in

Paragraph 8 of this DPA. Such certification will be deemed a material statement and representation by defendant Arsan to the executive branch of the United States for purposes of 18 U.S.C. § 1001 (false statement to federal agency) and 18 U.S.C. § 1505 (obstruction of federal proceeding), and it will be deemed to have been made in the Central District of California.

#### VII. CONDITIONAL RELEASE FROM LIABILITY

- 11. Nothing in this DPA shall preclude or limit the USAO or any government entity from bringing a criminal prosecution against defendant Arsan for making false statements, obstruction of justice, perjury, subornation of perjury, witness tampering, or aiding and abetting or conspiring to commit such offenses, based on defendant Arsan's conduct in performing obligations under this DPA, including information provided pursuant to defendant Arsan's interview(s) or testimony. Further, the USAO may use any information related to the conduct described in the Statement of Facts against defendant Arsan:

  (a) in a prosecution for perjury or obstruction of justice; or (b) in a prosecution for making a false statement.
- 12. The USAO agrees that, absent a Breach, it will not prosecute defendant Arsan for any conduct, other than the charges in the Information (which are addressed in Paragraph 17 below) or related to the conduct described in the Statement of Facts. This DPA does not provide any protection against prosecution by the USAO for conduct that is not expressly referenced in the Information, the Statement of Facts, or this DPA.
- 13. This DPA does not provide any protection against prosecution by the USAO for any future conduct by defendant Arsan.

- 14. Nothing in this DPA in any way limits the USAO's ability to use any information related to the conduct described in the Information or the Statement of Facts in any prosecution or other action not specifically precluded by this DPA.
- 15. Absent a Breach, with respect to any prosecution that may be brought against defendant Arsan by the USAO, the USAO will not offer in evidence in its case-in-chief any statements made by defendant Arsan during the interview(s) or the statements in the Statement of Facts.
- 16. Notwithstanding paragraph 15 above, the USAO may: (a) use all information derived directly or indirectly from defendant Arsan's interview(s) for the purpose of obtaining and pursuing leads to other evidence, which evidence may be used for any purpose, including any prosecution of defendant Arsan; and (b) use statements made by defendant Arsan pursuant to his interview(s) and all evidence obtained directly or indirectly from those statements for the purpose of cross-examination should defendant Arsan testify, or to refute or counter at any stage of a criminal proceeding any evidence, argument, statement or representation offered by or on behalf of defendant Arsan in connection with any proceeding.

## VIII. DEFERRED PROSECUTION

2.0

2.2

17. In consideration of defendant Arsan's agreement to the terms set forth in paragraphs 3, 4, 8, 9, and 10 above, the USAO agrees that any prosecution of defendant Arsan for the conduct set forth in the Information and Statement of Facts will, subject to the breach provisions in Paragraph 18-21, be deferred for the Term.

Absent a Breach, the USAO will move the Court to dismiss the Information with prejudice on or before the termination of the Term.

#### IX. BREACH OF THE AGREEMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Defendant Arsan agrees that if, during the Term, he (a) knowingly and deliberately provides in connection with this DPA false or misleading information regarding a fact deemed material by the USAO; (b) knowingly fails to fulfill the obligations set forth in Paragraphs 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, and 23 of this DPA; or (c) otherwise fails specifically to perform or to fulfill completely any of defendant Arsan's obligations under this DPA, the USAO may, in its discretion, subject to the procedural requirements of Paragraph 19 below, seek from the Court a finding that defendant has knowingly breached a provision of this DPA. Counsel for defendant Arsan will be provided notice of any motion to the Court seeking a finding of breach and have an opportunity to respond to such a motion. Upon such a finding by the Court, defendant Arsan shall thereafter be subject to prosecution for any federal criminal violation of which the USAO has knowledge, including, but not limited to, the charges in the Information described in paragraph 3.
- 19. In the event the USAO determines that defendant Arsan has knowingly breached a provision of this DPA deemed material by the USAO, the USAO agrees to provide defendant Arsan with written notice of the conduct constituting such breach through counsel reflected in this agreement. Within thirty days of receipt of such notice, defendant Arsan shall have the opportunity to respond to the USAO in writing to explain the nature and circumstances of the conduct underlying the alleged breach, as well as the actions defendant Arsan has taken to address and remediate the situation, which explanation the USAO shall consider in determining whether to seek from the Court a finding that defendant Arsan has breached this DPA.

A final determination that a material breach has occurred may be made only upon a finding by the Court, based on a preponderance of evidence, that defendant Arsan knowingly breached a provision of the DPA deemed material by the USAO, after notice to defendant Arsan and his counsel and an opportunity to be heard by the Court.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In the event that the Court determines that defendant 20. Arsan has breached this DPA and the USAO determines to pursue prosecution of defendant Arsan for the charges in the Information, then: (a) all statements made by or on behalf of defendant Arsan to the USAO or to the Court, including the Statement of Facts and during defendant Arsan's interview(s), and any evidence derived from such statements, shall be admissible against defendant Arsan in any criminal prosecution brought by the United States against defendant Arsan; (b) defendant Arsan waives, gives up, and shall not assert any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, or any other federal rule that any statements made by or on behalf of defendant Arsan prior or subsequent to this DPA, including the Statement of Facts or statements made during defendant Arsan's interview(s), or any evidence derived therefrom, should be suppressed or is inadmissible, in a prosecution by the United States against defendant Arsan; (c) defendant Arsan agrees that any applicable statute of limitations for the charges in the Information is tolled between the date of his signing of this DPA and the date 120 days after the Court's finding that defendant Arsan has breached this agreement; and (d) defendant Arsan remains bound by all other waivers expressly made as part of this agreement.

21. Defendant Arsan acknowledges that the USAO has made no representations, assurances, or promises concerning what sentence may be imposed by the Court if defendant Arsan breaches this DPA, the USAO follows through with prosecution, and this matter proceeds to judgement. Defendant Arsan further acknowledges that any such sentence is solely within the discretion of the Court and that nothing in this DPA binds or restricts the Court in the exercise of such discretion.

#### X. PUBLIC FILINGS AND STATEMENTS

2.0

- 22. Defendant Arsan and the USAO agree that the Information and DPA (and its exhibits) shall be filed in the United States

  District Court for the Central District of California before the expiration of the Term. At least five court days prior to the USAO filing the Information and DPA, the USAO will provide counsel reflected in this agreement notice of its intent to file the Information and DPA.
- 23. Defendant Arsan expressly agrees that he shall not, either himself or through present or future attorneys, agents, or any other person authorized to speak for defendant Arsan, make any public statement, in litigation or otherwise, contradicting the facts set forth in the Statement of Facts. Any material contradictory statement by defendant Arsan, or directed or knowingly caused by him, regarding a fact in the Statement of Facts shall, subject to cure rights of defendant Arsan described below, constitute a Breach of this DPA, and the USAO may thereafter seek a finding from the Court of Breach. Defendant Arsan may avoid a Breach of this DPA by publicly repudiating such statement(s) within five business days after notice from the USAO of the identified contradictory

statement. If the Court finds a knowing Breach, the USAO may then seek prosecution as set forth in Paragraphs 18-21 of this DPA. Defendant Arsan shall be permitted to raise defenses and to assert affirmative claims in other proceedings relating to the matters set forth in the Statement of Facts provided that such defenses and claims do not materially contradict, in whole or in part, a statement contained in the Statement of Facts or made during defendant's interview(s).

#### XI. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

24. Any notice or report to the USAO under this DPA shall be provided (1) via email to mack.jenkins@usdoj.gov and aron.ketchel@usdoj.gov and personal delivery, overnight delivery by a recognized delivery service, or registered or certified mail, addressed to:

Mack E. Jenkins or Chief, Public Corruption and Civil Rights Section United States Attorney's Office, Central District of California 312 N. Spring Street, 15th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90012

2.0

Notice shall be effective upon confirmed receipt by the USAO.

- 25. This DPA may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be considered effective as an original signature. Further, all digital images of signatures shall be treated as originals for all purposes.
- 26. This DPA is covered by the laws of the United States. The USAO and defendant Arsan agree that exclusive jurisdiction and venue for any dispute arising under this DPA is in the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

1	27. This DPA sets forth all	the terms of the agreement between	
2	defendant Arsan and the USAO. De	efendant Arsan understands and	
3	agrees that, except as set forth	in this DPA and its exhibits, there	
4	are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the USAO and		
5	defendant Arsan or his attorneys and that no amendments,		
6	modifications or additions to this DPA or its exhibits shall be		
7	valid unless they are in writing and signed by the USAO, an attorney		
8	for defendant Arsan, and defendant Arsan.		
9	AGREED AND ACCEPTED		
10	Dated: November 10, 2020 R	espectfully submitted,	
11		ICOLA T. HANNA	
12		nited States Attorney	
13	A	RANDON D. FOX ssistant United States Attorney hief, Criminal Division	
14	Mack Cankins		
15	M. M.	ACK E. JENKINS	
16		RON KETCHEL ssistant United States Attorneys	
17	A	ttorneys for Plaintiff	
18	U	NITED STATES OF AMERICA	
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li></ul>	- Marin	11/10/2020	
21	JOSEPH ARSAN Defendant	Date / / CO	
22	Mark Mark		
23	Willa C- Algo	11/10/20	
24	DAVID SCHEPER Scheper Kim & Harris LLP	Date	
25	EVAN J. DAVIS  Hochman Salkin Toscher Perez P.C.		
26	Counsel for JOSEPH ARSAN		

# Acknowledgment by Joseph Arsan

I have read this DPA and its exhibits in their entirety. I have had enough time to review and consider this DPA and its exhibits and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorneys. I understand the terms of this DPA, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence with my attorney, and my attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible criminal charges that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, and of the consequences of entering into this DPA. No promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those contained in the DPA and its exhibits. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into the DPA. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorneys in this matter, and I am entering into the DPA because I wish to take advantage of the promises and representations set forth in this DPA and its exhibits, and not for any other reason.

JOSEPH ARSAN Defendant

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11 /10/2020

# Acknowledgment by Counsel

I am Joseph Arsan's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this DPA with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of his rights, of possible criminal charges that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, and of the consequences of entering into this DPA. To my knowledge (1) no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to my client other than those contained in this DPA and its exhibits; (2) no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into the DPA; and (3) my client's decision to enter into the DPA is an informed and voluntary one.

Scheper Kim & Harris LLP

Counsel for JOSEPH ARSAN

11/10/20

Date

# EXHIBIT A

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 9
10
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                        CR No.
11
              Plaintiff,
                                        INFORMATION
12
                                        [52 U.S.C. \S\S 30121(a)(1)(A),
              v.
                                        30109(d)(1)(A): Campaign
    JOSEPH ARSAN,
                                        Contribution by Foreign National;
13
                                        52 U.S.C. §§ 30122,
14
              Defendant.
                                        30109(d)(1)(D): Conduit Campaign
                                        Contribution
15
16
         The Acting United States Attorney charges:
17
                                   COUNT ONE
         [52 U.S.C. §§ 30121(a)(1)(A), 30109(d)(1)(A); 18 U.S.C. § 2]
18
19
         In the following calendar years, in Los Angeles County, within
20
    the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant JOSEPH
21
    ARSAN, while aiding and abetting those known and unknown to the
22
    Acting United States Attorney, including co-conspirator Gilbert Ramez
23
    Chagoury, a foreign national, knowingly and willfully violated the
    Federal Election Campaign Act by assisting Chagoury's following
24
25
    federal election campaign contributions exceeding $25,000 in a single
26
    calendar year:
27
    ///
```

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

Calendar Year	Campaign	Total Amount of Contributions
2012	Federal Candidate A Fund	\$100,000
2014	Federal Candidate B Fund	\$20,000
2014	Federal Candidate C Fund	\$30,000

# COUNT TWO

_	COUNT TWO
2	[52 U.S.C. §§ 30122, 30109(d)(1)(D); 18 U.S.C. § 2]
3	On or about the following dates, in Los Angeles County, within
4	the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant JOSEPH
5	ARSAN, while aiding and abetting those known and unknown to the
6	Acting United States Attorney, knowingly and willfully violated the
7	Federal Election Campaign Act by assisting co-conspirator Gilbert
8	Ramez Chagoury's following federal election campaign contributions in
9	the name of another exceeding \$25,000 in a single calendar year.
10	More specifically, defendant ARSAN knowingly and willfully aided
11	Chagoury to contribute to the following federal campaign committees
12	and, in turn, provided Chagoury's funds to individuals who made the
13	following contributions to federal campaign committees, which were
14	fully reimbursed with Chagoury's funds:
15	///
16	///
17	///
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

	1
	Τ
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

27

28

Dato	Date Campaign Conduit		
Date	Campaign		Amount
		Contributor	
9/4/12	Federal Candidate A Fund	EA	\$45 <b>,</b> 000
9/4/12	Federal Candidate A Fund	EA	\$5 <b>,</b> 000
9/6/12	Federal Candidate A Fund	JF	\$25 <b>,</b> 000
9/6/12	Federal Candidate A Fund	MF	\$25 <b>,</b> 000
9/3/14	Federal Candidate B Fund	OA	\$2 <b>,</b> 600
9/3/14	Federal Candidate B Fund	NA	\$2 <b>,</b> 600
9/3/14	Federal Candidate B Fund	EA	\$2 <b>,</b> 600
9/3/14	Federal Candidate B Fund	LZ	\$2 <b>,</b> 200
9/28/14	Federal Candidate C Fund	EA	\$15 <b>,</b> 000
9/28/14	Federal Candidate C Fund	MA	\$15,000

TRACY L. WILKISON
Acting United States Attorney

BRANDON D. FOX

Brandon to

Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division

MACK E. JENKINS

Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Public Corruption and Civil Rights Section

ARON KETCHEL

Assistant United States Attorney Public Corruption and Civil Rights Section

# EXHIBIT B: STATEMENT OF FACTS

Beginning in or around June 2012 and continuing through in or around March 2016, JOSEPH ARSAN ("ARSAN"), Gilbert Chagoury ("Chagoury"), Individual H, Toufic Baaklini, Individual I, and others violated United States laws by (i) assisting the making of federal election campaign contributions exceeding \$25,000 in a single year by a foreign national, in violation of 52 U.S.C. \$\$ 30121(a)(1)(A), 30109(d)(1)(A); and (ii) making federal election campaign contributions in the name of another (conduit contributions), in violation of 52 U.S.C. \$\$ 30122, 30109(d)(1)(D).

ARSAN is a physician who worked as an assistant to Chagoury. At all times relevant to this factual statement, Chagoury was a "foreign national" and thus prohibited from making donations and contributions directly or indirectly in support of any candidate for elected office in the United States at the federal, state, or local level.

In the summer of 2012, Chagoury agreed to use \$100,000 of his money to contribute through other individuals to a fundraising committee of a then-Presidential candidate (the "Candidate A Fund"). Chagoury discussed and agreed with Individual H that Individual H would arrange to have a total of \$100,000 contributed to the Candidate A Fund and that Chagoury would reimburse the \$100,000 contributed to the Candidate A Fund. Individual H made a \$45,000 contribution to the Candidate A Fund on September 4, 2012, and a \$5,000 contribution to the Candidate A Fund on September 6, 2012. ARSAN, at Chagoury's direction, then arranged to reimburse Individual H with \$50,000 of Chagoury's funds in October 2012. Individual H also recruited Individual I to make a contribution to

the Candidate A Fund. Individual I arranged for a \$50,000 contribution to be made to the Candidate A Fund on September 4, 2012. ARSAN, at Chagoury's direction, then arranged to reimburse Individual I with \$50,000 of Chagoury's funds in or around September 2012.

2.0

In August 2014, Chagoury arranged for \$20,000 of his money to be contributed through other individuals to the re-election campaign of then-U.S. Representative ("Candidate B"). Individual H arranged for multiple individuals to contribute to Candidate B's re-election campaign in and around August 2014. Individual H communicated by email with ARSAN in September 2014 regarding the reimbursement for the contributions. On or around September 25, 2014, ARSAN, at Chagoury's direction, wired \$20,000 to Individual H and, at Chagoury's direction, indicated on the wire information form that the funds were for an "engagement gift," when ARSAN knew or should have known that the funds were sent to reimburse Individual H for Individual H and others making political contributions to Candidate B's political campaign, and not an engagement gift.

In September 2014, Chagoury arranged for \$30,000 of his money to be contributed through other individuals to the fundraising committee for the then-U.S. Representative (the "Candidate C Fund"). Chagoury met with Individual H at a special interest group conference in Washington, D.C. in September 2014, and asked Individual H to (i) host a political fundraiser for Candidate C in Los Angeles, California; and (ii) to contribute \$30,000 to the Candidate C Fund, which Chagoury stated he would reimburse to Individual H. On September 28, 2014, Individual H contributed \$30,000 to the Candidate C Fund. On October 21, 2014, ARSAN, at

Chagoury's direction, wired \$30,000 to Individual H fand, at Chagoury's direction, indicated on the wire information form that the funds were for a "wedding gift," when ARSAN knew or should have known that the funds were sent to reimburse Individual H for making a political contribution to the Candidate C Fund, and not for a wedding gift.

During the course of events described above, ARSAN knew that it was illegal for Chagoury to contribute to candidates for elective office in the U.S. and ARSAN further knew that it was illegal to make or facilitate making contributions to candidates for elective office in the name of other individuals.