1 NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney BRANDON D. FOX Assistant United States Attorney 3 Chief, Criminal Division MACK E. JENKINS (Cal. Bar No. 242101) ARON KETCHEL (Cal. Bar No. 250345) Assistant United States Attorneys 5 Public Corruption and Civil Rights Section 1500 United States Courthouse 6 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012 7 (213) 894-2091/1019 Telephone: Facsimile: (213) 894-7631 8 E-mail: mack.jenkins@usdoj.gov aron.ketchel@usdoj.gov 9 Attorneys for 1.0

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NON-PROSECUTION AGREEMENT OF RAY LAHOOD

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11

12

13

14

INTRODUCTION

- This Non-Prosecution Agreement (the "NPA") is entered into between the United States Attorney's Office for the Central District of California ("USAO") and Ray LaHood ("LaHood"). This NPA is limited to the USAO and cannot bind any other federal, state, local or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authorities.
- This NPA is entered into to resolve the USAO's criminal 2. investigation of LaHood's role and conduct relating to a \$50,000 financial transaction between LaHood and Toufic Baaklini in or around June 2012, which includes, but is not limited to, LaHood's failure to include this information in his Office of Government

Ethics ("OGE") Form 278 (Financial Disclosure Report) filings, and LaHood's allegedly false statements to federal agents regarding the financial transaction (the "Investigation").

II. ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSIBILITY

2.0

3. LaHood acknowledges and agrees that he is responsible under United States law for the acts set forth in the Statement of Facts (attached as Exhibit A), and that the facts described in the Statement of Facts are true and correct. Should the USAO pursue the prosecution following LaHood's breach of the NPA pursuant to Paragraph 12-14, LaHood stipulates to the admissibility of the Statement of Facts in any proceeding, including any trial, guilty plea, or sentencing proceeding, and agrees not to contradict anything in the Statement of Facts at any such proceeding. LaHood's entry into this NPA does not constitute an admission that he is guilty of any crime described in the Statement of Facts.

III. EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

4. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution of all required certifications by LaHood, LaHood's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney (the "Initial Effective Date").

IV. RELEVANT CONSIDERATIONS

5. The USAO enters into this NPA based on the individual facts and circumstances presented by this case and by LaHood. Among the factors considered were the following: (a) LaHood's willingness to acknowledge and accept responsibility for the actions that are set forth in the Statement of Facts; (b) LaHood's cooperation with the United States government; (c) the nature and seriousness of the offense conduct; (d) LaHood's substantial mitigating factors; and (e) the legal and factual defenses presented on behalf of LaHood.

V. CONDITIONS OF NON-PROSECUTION

- 6. LaHood agrees to comply with the following material conditions:
- a. To pay a fine to the United States in the amount of \$40,000. The fine shall be paid in full within 120 days of the Initial Effective Date of the NPA. The fine will be paid by certified check, business check, or money order made payable to "Clerk, U.S. District Court" and will list Non-Prosecution Agreement of Ray LaHood in the "memo" line. The payment can be provided to the USAOfor conveyance to the district court or delivered directly to the United States District Court Fiscal Department, 255 East Temple Street, Room 1178, Los Angeles, CA 90012, with proof of same provided to the USAO;
- b. To repay the outstanding \$50,000 loan to Toufic Baaklini. The repayment shall be made in full within 120 days of the Initial Effective Date of the NPA. Proof of the repayment shall be provided to the USAO immediately following the repayment;
- c. To participate in an in-person interview with representatives from the USAO, Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI"), and other government representatives selected at the discretion of the USAO (the "Interview"). At the request of LaHood, the Interview will take place in Washington D.C. at the offices of LaHood's counsel. The Interview will occur on a date agreed to by the parties within 120 days of the date that the NPA becomes effective; and
- d. To fully cooperate, both individually and through counsel, with the USAO, and, as directed by the USAO, the FBI, by responding truthfully and completely to all questions put to LaHood

during the Interview. This cooperation shall include the production of documents and communications, as requested by the USAO. Nothing in this NPA shall be construed, however, to require LaHood to produce any information, testimony, or tangible evidence that is protected by any privilege. LaHood reserves his rights to all applicable privileges.

VI. CONDITIONAL RELEASE FROM LIABILITY

- 7. Nothing in this NPA shall preclude or limit the USAO or any government entity from bringing a criminal prosecution against LaHood for making false statements, obstruction of justice, perjury, subornation of perjury, witness tampering, or aiding and abetting or conspiring to commit such offenses, based on LaHood's conduct in performing obligations under this NPA. Further, the USAO may use any information related to the conduct described in the Statement of Facts against LaHood: (a) in a prosecution for perjury or obstruction of justice; or (b) in a prosecution for making a false statement.
- 8. This NPA does not provide any protection against prosecution by the USAO for conduct that is not expressly referenced in the Statement of Facts.
- 9. This NPA does not provide any protection against prosecution by the USAO for any future conduct by LaHood.
- 10. Nothing in this NPA in any way limits the USAO's ability to use any information related to the conduct described in the Statement of Facts in any prosecution of any individual other than LaHood.

VII. NON-PROSECUTION

11. In consideration of LaHood's agreement to the terms set forth in Paragraphs 3 and 6 above, the USAO agrees not to prosecute LaHood for the conduct set forth in the Statement of Facts, subject to the breach provisions in Paragraph 12-14.

VIII. BREACH OF THE AGREEMENT

- 12. LaHood agrees that if he (a) knowingly and deliberately provides false or misleading information in connection with this NPA; (b) fails to fulfill any of the obligations set forth in Paragraphs 3, 6, and 16 of this NPA; or (c) otherwise fails specifically to perform or to fulfill completely any of LaHood's obligations under this NPA, the USAO may, in its discretion, subject to the procedural requirements of Paragraph 13 below, seek from the Court a finding that LaHood has breached this NPA. Upon such a finding by the Court, LaHood shall thereafter be subject to prosecution for any federal criminal violation of which the USAO has knowledge, including, but not limited to, conduct described in the Statement of Facts.
- 13. In the event the USAO determines that LaHood has breached this NPA, the USAO agrees to provide LaHood with written notice of such breach. Within 30 days of receipt of such notice, LaHood shall have the opportunity to respond to the USAO in writing to explain the nature and circumstances of the conduct underlying the alleged breach, as well as the actions LaHood has taken to address and remediate the situation, which explanation the USAO shall consider in determining whether to seek from the Court a finding that LaHood has breached this NPA. A final determination that a breach has occurred may be made only upon a finding by the Court that LaHood

knowingly breached the NPA, after notice to LaHood and LaHood's counsel.

1

2

3

5

7

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In the event that the Court determines that LaHood has breached this NPA and the USAO determines to pursue prosecution of LaHood, then: (a) all statements made by or on behalf of LaHood to the USAO or to the Court, including the Statement of Facts, and any evidence derived from such statements, and testimony shall be admissible against LaHood in any criminal prosecution brought by the USAO against LaHood; (b) in the event that the USAO offers the Statement of Facts in any such proceeding, LaHood agrees that he will not challenge the admissibility or accuracy of the Statement of Facts, but reserves the right to make any other argument relating to the Statement of Facts; (c) LaHood waives, gives up, and shall not assert any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, or any other federal rule that any statements made by or on behalf of LaHood prior or subsequent to this NPA, including the Statement of Facts or statements made during the Interview, or any evidence derived therefrom, should be suppressed or is inadmissible; (d) LaHood agrees that any applicable statute of limitations is tolled between the date of his signing of this NPA and the date 60 days after the Court's finding that LaHood has breached this agreement; (e) LaHood waives and gives up all defenses based on any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim, with respect to such action, except to the extent such defenses existed as of the date of LaHood's signing of this NPA; and (f) LaHood agrees that any such charge may be pursued by the USAO in the United States District Court for the District of California and

waives any challenge to venue in such court. Nothing herein shall preclude LaHood from asserting a defense based on the expiration of the statute of limitations prior to or on the date the NPA is signed to the extent LaHood did not previously waive any such applicable statute of limitations period pursuant to a tolling agreement and extensions previously entered into by the parties.

IX. PUBLIC STATEMENTS

- 15. LaHood and the USAO agree that the NPA may be publically disclosed.
- 16. Any public disclosure of the NPA will not be made before January 1, 2020, at the earliest.
- 17. LaHood expressly agrees that he shall not, either himself or through present or future attorneys, officers, directors, employees, agents, or any other person authorized to speak for LaHood, make any public statement, in litigation or otherwise, contradicting the facts set forth in the Statement of Facts.

X. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

18. Any notice or report to the USAO under this NPA shall be given by personal delivery, overnight delivery by a recognized delivery service, or registered or certified mail, addressed to:

Mack E. Jenkins

Chief, Public Corruption and Civil Rights Section United States Attorney's Office, Central District of California 312 N. Spring Street, 15th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90012

Notice shall be effective upon actual receipt by the USAO.

19. This NPA may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be considered effective as an original signature.

Further, all facsimile and digital images of signatures shall be treated as originals for all purposes.

20. The USAO and LaHood agree that exclusive jurisdiction and venue for any dispute arising under this NPA is in the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

///

21. This NPA sets forth all the terms of the agreement between LaHood and the USAO. LaHood understands and agrees that, except as set forth in this NPA, there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the USAO and LaHood or his attorneys and that no amendments, modifications or additions to this NPA shall be valid unless they are in writing and signed by the USAO, the attorneys for LaHood, and LaHood.

AGREED AND ACCEPTED.

Dated: |2 4 1 9

Respectfully submitted,

NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney

BRANDON D. FOX Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division

MACK/E. JENKINS ARON KETCHEL

Assistant/United States Attorneys

Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

AY LAMOOD AY

Date

HENRY F. SCHUELKE III

12.4.19

LAWRENCE H. WECHSLER ARIEL S. GLASNER BLANK ROME, LLP Date

Counsel for RAY LAHOOD

28

24

25

26

Acknowledgment by Ray LaHood

I have read this NPA (to include the Statement of Facts), in its entirety. I have had enough time to review and consider this NPA and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it I understand the terms of this NPA, and I with my attorneys. voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence with my attorney, and my attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible criminal charges that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, and of the consequences of entering into this NPA. No promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those contained in the NPA. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into the NPA. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorneys in this matter, and I am entering into the NPA because I wish to take advantage of the promises and representations set forth in this NPA, and not for any other reason.

RAY LAHOOD

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Date

Acknowledgment by Counsel

I am Ray LaHood's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this NPA (to include the Statement of Facts) with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of his rights, of possible criminal charges that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, and of the consequences of entering into this NPA. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to my client other than those contained in this NPA; no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into the NPA; my client's decision to enter into the NPA is an informed and voluntary one and is in my client's best interest.

HENRY F. SCHUELKE III LAWRENCE H. WECHSLER

ARIEL S. GLASNER BLANK ROME, LLP

Counsel for RAY LAHOOD

EXHIBIT A: STATEMENT OF FACTS

LaHood states and agrees that the following facts are true and correct and could be proven beyond a reasonable doubt:

- From on or about January 23, 2009, until on or about July
 LaHood served as the United States Secretary of
 Transportation.
- 2. In July 2009, LaHood served as a keynote speaker at the Annual Maronite Convention in Los Angeles, California (the "Convention").
- 3. Individual A, a priest, was also in attendance at the Convention. During the Convention, Individual A invited LaHood to a private gathering at the California home of Gilbert Chagoury ("Chagoury"), a wealthy international businessman. Chagoury is a foreign national and not a citizen of the United States. At the gathering, LaHood met Chagoury and also met Toufic Baaklini ("Baaklini"), who served as one of Chagoury's representatives in the United States.
- 4. In 2011 and 2012, LaHood was suffering significant financial difficulties in part due to problems from home remediation and sought funds to conduct home repairs. LaHood met with Individual A in May 2012 to request that Individual A refer LaHood to an individual who would provide LaHood with a \$50,000 loan to help LaHood cover his home repair costs. At their meeting, Individual A suggested to LaHood that Individual A would call Chagoury to ask him to provide a loan to LaHood.
- 5. Approximately two days after their meeting, Individual A called LaHood by telephone and told him that he had secured a source of funds for LaHood. Individual A told LaHood that upon Individual

A's request, Baaklini would facilitate the loan to LaHood of \$50,000. Individual A instructed LaHood to call Baaklini to discuss the terms of the loan. Baaklini told LaHood that because of Individual A's request, he was prepared to provide LaHood with a loan for \$50,000 and that he had consulted a lawyer who advised that a loan to a public official like Mr. LaHood could be permissible.

- 6. On June 1, 2012, Baaklini and LaHood met in Washington,
 D.C. and Baaklini provided LaHood with a personal check for \$50,000
 (the "\$50,000 Check"). In the Memo portion of the check, Baaklini wrote "Loan."
- 7. Although it was not expressly discussed with Baaklini, based on the circumstances of LaHood seeking these funds, LaHood understood that Chagoury provided Baaklini with the funds for the \$50,000 Check for LaHood.
- 8. LaHood negotiated the \$50,000 Check and used the funds for home repairs.
- 9. During his tenure as the United States Secretary of Transportation, Mr. LaHood was obligated on an annual basis to submit an Executive Branch Personnel Public Financial Disclosure Report (OGE Form 278) to the United States Office of Government Ethics (OGE). According to the OGE, the OGE Form 278 was designed to ensure transparency for Executive Branch Personnel. Specifically, the OGE website notes that:

Transparency is a critical part of government ethics, and Congress has determined that the citizens should know their leaders' financial interests. To facilitate such transparency, Congress enacted the financial disclosure provisions of the Ethics in Government Act. The Act imposes detailed requirements for public financial disclosure by senior United States Government officials.

- 10. Among other financial disclosures, Form 278 requires the filer to disclose any liabilities over \$10,000 owed to any one creditor at any time during the reporting period. Form 278 further requires the filer to certify by signature that "the statements I have made on this form and all attached schedules are true, complete and correct to the best of my knowledge."
- 11. LaHood knew that he was required to disclose the \$50,000 Check on his annual Form 278.
- 12. On May 15, 2013, Mr. LaHood submitted his Form 278 for the calendar year 2012 (the "2012 Form 278"). LaHood reported various liabilities owed on his 2012 Form 278. However, LaHood willfully did not disclose the \$50,000 Check on the 2012 Form 278. Further LaHood falsely certified that the information contained on the 2012 Form 278 was complete and correct; LaHood knew this certification to be false because he willfully failed to disclose the \$50,000 Check.
- 13. Following the completion of his tenure as United States Secretary of Transportation in July 2013, Mr. LaHood was required to submit a final Form 278 for the period of 2013 during which he served as a member of the Executive Branch. Accordingly, on July 29, 2013, Mr. LaHood submitted a Form 278 for the reporting period from January 1, 2013, through his termination date of July 1, 2013 (the "2013 Form 278"). LaHood reported various liabilities owed on his 2013 Form 278. However, LaHood willfully again did not disclose the \$50,000 Check on the 2013 Form 278. Further, LaHood falsely certified that the information contained on the 2013 Form 278 was complete and correct; Mr. LaHood knew this certification to be false because he willfully failed to disclose the \$50,000 Check.

15. In June 2017, FBI Special Agents interviewed LaHood.

LaHood initially denied receiving a loan from Baaklini. After he was shown a copy of the \$50,000 Check, LaHood acknowledged receiving the \$50,000 Check from Baaklini. LaHood stated that he received the money from Baaklini as a loan but could not recall any terms of the loan. LaHood acknowledged that he had not repaid Baaklini any amount of the money and Baaklini had not asked to be repaid in the five years since Baaklini provided the \$50,000 Check. In addition, LaHood did not inform the agents that he understood that Chagoury was the source of all the funds for the \$50,000 Check.

LAHOOL

21°