1	NICOLA T. HANNA
2	United States Attorney BRANDON D. FOX
3	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division
4	MACK E. JENKINS (Cal. Bar No. 242101) ARON KETCHEL (Cal. Bar No. 250345)
5	Assistant United States Attorneys Public Corruption and Civil Rights Section
6	1500 United States Courthouse 312 North Spring Street
7	Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-2091/1019 Estatimila: (213) 804-7621
8	Facsimile: (213) 894-7631 E-mail: mack.jenkins@usdoj.gov aron.ketchel@usdoj.gov
9	Attorneys for
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	NON-PROSECUTION
14	AGREEMENT OF RAY LAHOOD
15	
16	
17	I. INTRODUCTION
18	1. This Non-Prosecution Agreement (the "NPA") is entered into
19	between the United States Attorney's Office for the Central District
20	of California ("USAO") and Ray LaHood ("LaHood"). This NPA is
21	limited to the USAO and cannot bind any other federal, state, local
22	or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory
23	authorities.
24	2. This NPA is entered into to resolve the USAO's criminal
25	investigation of LaHood's role and conduct relating to a \$50,000
26	financial transaction between LaHood and Toufic Baaklini in or
27	around June 2012, which includes, but is not limited to, LaHood's
28	failure to include this information in his Office of Government

1 Ethics ("OGE") Form 278 (Financial Disclosure Report) filings, and 2 LaHood's allegedly false statements to federal agents regarding the 3 financial transaction (the "Investigation").

II. ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSIBILITY

4

20

LaHood acknowledges and agrees that he is responsible 5 3. under United States law for the acts set forth in the Statement of 6 Facts (attached as Exhibit A), and that the facts described in the 7 Statement of Facts are true and correct. Should the USAO pursue the 8 prosecution following LaHood's breach of the NPA pursuant to 9 Paragraph 12-14, LaHood stipulates to the admissibility of the 10 Statement of Facts in any proceeding, including any trial, guilty 11 plea, or sentencing proceeding, and agrees not to contradict 12 anything in the Statement of Facts at any such proceeding. LaHood's 13 entry into this NPA does not constitute an admission that he is 14 quilty of any crime described in the Statement of Facts. 15

16 || III. EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

4. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution
of all required certifications by LaHood, LaHood's counsel, and an
Assistant United States Attorney (the "Initial Effective Date").

IV. RELEVANT CONSIDERATIONS

The USAO enters into this NPA based on the individual 5. 21 facts and circumstances presented by this case and by LaHood. Among 22 the factors considered were the following: (a) LaHood's willingness 23 to acknowledge and accept responsibility for the actions that are 24 set forth in the Statement of Facts; (b) LaHood's cooperation with 25 the United States government; (c) the nature and seriousness of the 26 offense conduct; (d) LaHood's substantial mitigating factors; and 27 (e) the legal and factual defenses presented on behalf of LaHood. 28

1 ||

V. CONDITIONS OF NON-PROSECUTION

LaHood agrees to comply with the following material
 conditions:

To pay a fine to the United States in the amount of 4 a. \$40,000. The fine shall be paid in full within 120 days of the 5 Initial Effective Date of the NPA. The fine will be paid by 6 7 certified check, business check, or money order made payable to "Clerk, U.S. District Court" and will list Non-Prosecution 8 9 Agreement of Ray LaHood in the "memo" line. The payment can be provided to the USAOfor conveyance to the district court or 10 delivered directly to the United States District Court - Fiscal 11 Department, 255 East Temple Street, Room 1178, Los Angeles, CA 12 90012, with proof of same provided to the USAO; 13

b. To repay the outstanding \$50,000 loan to Toufic
Baaklini. The repayment shall be made in full within 120 days of
the Initial Effective Date of the NPA. Proof of the repayment shall
be provided to the USAO immediately following the repayment;

To participate in an in-person interview with 18 с. representatives from the USAO, Federal Bureau of Investigation 19 ("FBI"), and other government representatives selected at the 20 discretion of the USAO (the "Interview"). At the request of LaHood, 21 the Interview will take place in Washington D.C. at the offices of 22 23 LaHood's counsel. The Interview will occur on a date agreed to by the parties within 120 days of the date that the NPA becomes 24 effective; and 25

d. To fully cooperate, both individually and through counsel, with the USAO, and, as directed by the USAO, the FBI, by responding truthfully and completely to all questions put to LaHood

during the Interview. This cooperation shall include the production of documents and communications, as requested by the USAO. Nothing in this NPA shall be construed, however, to require LaHood to produce any information, testimony, or tangible evidence that is protected by any privilege. LaHood reserves his rights to all applicable privileges.

VI. CONDITIONAL RELEASE FROM LIABILITY

Nothing in this NPA shall preclude or limit the USAO or 7. 8 any government entity from bringing a criminal prosecution against 9 LaHood for making false statements, obstruction of justice, perjury, 10 subornation of perjury, witness tampering, or aiding and abetting or 11 conspiring to commit such offenses, based on LaHood's conduct in 12 performing obligations under this NPA. Further, the USAO may use 13 any information related to the conduct described in the Statement of 14 Facts against LaHood: (a) in a prosecution for perjury or 15 obstruction of justice; or (b) in a prosecution for making a false 16 17 statement.

8. This NPA does not provide any protection against
 prosecution by the USAO for conduct that is not expressly referenced
 in the Statement of Facts.

9. This NPA does not provide any protection against
prosecution by the USAO for any future conduct by LaHood.

10. Nothing in this NPA in any way limits the USAO's ability
to use any information related to the conduct described in the
Statement of Facts in any prosecution of any individual other than
LaHood.

27

7

1

VII. NON-PROSECUTION

11. In consideration of LaHood's agreement to the terms set
forth in Paragraphs 3 and 6 above, the USAO agrees not to prosecute
LaHood for the conduct set forth in the Statement of Facts, subject
to the breach provisions in Paragraph 12-14.

6

VIII. BREACH OF THE AGREEMENT

7 12. LaHood agrees that if he (a) knowingly and deliberately provides false or misleading information in connection with this 8 NPA; (b) fails to fulfill any of the obligations set forth in 9 Paragraphs 3, 6, and 16 of this NPA; or (c) otherwise fails 10 specifically to perform or to fulfill completely any of LaHood's 11 obligations under this NPA, the USAO may, in its discretion, subject 12 to the procedural requirements of Paragraph 13 below, seek from the 13 Court a finding that LaHood has breached this NPA. Upon such a 14 15 finding by the Court, LaHood shall thereafter be subject to prosecution for any federal criminal violation of which the USAO has 16 knowledge, including, but not limited to, conduct described in the 17 Statement of Facts. 18

In the event the USAO determines that LaHood has breached 19 13. this NPA, the USAO agrees to provide LaHood with written notice of 20 such breach. Within 30 days of receipt of such notice, LaHood shall 21 have the opportunity to respond to the USAO in writing to explain 22 the nature and circumstances of the conduct underlying the alleged 23 breach, as well as the actions LaHood has taken to address and 24 remediate the situation, which explanation the USAO shall consider 25 in determining whether to seek from the Court a finding that LaHood 26 has breached this NPA. A final determination that a breach has 27 occurred may be made only upon a finding by the Court that LaHood 28

knowingly breached the NPA, after notice to LaHood and LaHood's
 counsel.

In the event that the Court determines that LaHood has 14. 3 breached this NPA and the USAO determines to pursue prosecution of 4 LaHood, then: (a) all statements made by or on behalf of LaHood to 5 the USAO or to the Court, including the Statement of Facts, and any 6 evidence derived from such statements, and testimony shall be 7 admissible against LaHood in any criminal prosecution brought by the 8 USAO against LaHood; (b) in the event that the USAO offers the 9 Statement of Facts in any such proceeding, LaHood agrees that he 10 11 will not challenge the admissibility or accuracy of the Statement of Facts, but reserves the right to make any other argument relating to 12 the Statement of Facts; (c) LaHood waives, gives up, and shall not 13 assert any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, 14 Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 410 of 15 16 the Federal Rules of Evidence, or any other federal rule that any statements made by or on behalf of LaHood prior or subsequent to 17 this NPA, including the Statement of Facts or statements made during 18 the Interview, or any evidence derived therefrom, should be 19 suppressed or is inadmissible; (d) LaHood agrees that any applicable 20 statute of limitations is tolled between the date of his signing of 21 22 this NPA and the date 60 days after the Court's finding that LaHood has breached this agreement; (e) LaHood waives and gives up all 23 defenses based on any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy 24 trial claim, with respect to such action, except to the extent such 25 defenses existed as of the date of LaHood's signing of this NPA; and 26 (f) LaHood agrees that any such charge may be pursued by the USAO in 27 the United States District Court for the District of California and 28

б

1 waives any challenge to venue in such court. Nothing herein shall 2 preclude LaHood from asserting a defense based on the expiration of 3 the statute of limitations prior to or on the date the NPA is signed 4 to the extent LaHood did not previously waive any such applicable 5 statute of limitations period pursuant to a tolling agreement and 6 extensions previously entered into by the parties.

7 IX. PUBLIC STATEMENTS

8 15. LaHood and the USAO agree that the NPA may be publically9 disclosed.

10 16. Any public disclosure of the NPA will not be made before 11 January 1, 2020, at the earliest.

12 17. LaHood expressly agrees that he shall not, either himself
13 or through present or future attorneys, officers, directors,
14 employees, agents, or any other person authorized to speak for
15 LaHood, make any public statement, in litigation or otherwise,
16 contradicting the facts set forth in the Statement of Facts.

17 X. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

18. Any notice or report to the USAO under this NPA shall be
given by personal delivery, overnight delivery by a recognized
delivery service, or registered or certified mail, addressed to:

21 22 23 Mack E. Jenkins Chief, Public Corruption and Civil Rights Section United States Attorney's Office, Central District of California 312 N. Spring Street, 15th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90012

²⁴ Notice shall be effective upon actual receipt by the USAO.

This NPA may be executed in one or more counterparts, each
 of which shall be considered effective as an original signature.
 Further, all facsimile and digital images of signatures shall be
 treated as originals for all purposes.

The USAO and LaHood agree that exclusive jurisdiction and 20. venue for any dispute arising under this NPA is in the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

1 21. This NPA sets forth all the terms of the agreement between 2 LaHood and the USAO. LaHood understands and agrees that, except as 3 set forth in this NPA, there are no promises, understandings, or 4 agreements between the USAO and LaHood or his attorneys and that no 5 amendments, modifications or additions to this NPA shall be valid 6 unless they are in writing and signed by the USAO, the attorneys for 7 LaHood, and LaHood.

AGREED AND ACCEPTED.

8



Respectfully submitted,

NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney

BRANDON D. FOX Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division

MACK/E. JENKINS ARON KETCHEL Assistant/United States Attorneys

Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Date

12.4.19

Date

Acknowledgment by Ray LaHood

. *

1	Acknowledgment by Ray LaHood
	I have read this NPA (to include the Statement of Facts), in
2	its entirety. I have had enough time to review and consider this
3	NPA and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it
4	with my attorneys. I understand the terms of this NPA, and I
5	voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence
6	with my attorney, and my attorney has advised me of my rights, of
7	possible criminal charges that might be filed, of possible defenses
8 9	that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, and of the
10	consequences of entering into this NPA. No promises, inducements,
11	or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those
12	contained in the NPA. No one has threatened or forced me in any way
13	to enter into the NPA. I am satisfied with the representation of my
14	attorneys in this matter, and I am entering into the NPA because I
15	wish to take advantage of the promises and representations set forth
16	in this NPA, and not for any other reason.
17	
18	RAY LAHOOD Date Date
19	RAY LAHOOD Date '''
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	10

Acknowledgment by Counsel

Ì

1

.

`

I

l

1	Acknowledgment by Counsel
1 2	I am Ray LaHood's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly
2 3	discussed every part of this NPA (to include the Statement of Facts)
4	with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of his
4 5	rights, of possible criminal charges that might be filed, of
5	possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at
7	trial, and of the consequences of entering into this NPA. To my
8	knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind
9	have been made to my client other than those contained in this NPA;
10	no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into
11	the NPA; my client's decision to enter into the NPA is an informed
12	and voluntary one and is in my client's best interest.
13	
14	KICour 12.4.19
15	HENRY F. SCHUELKE III Date LAWRENCE H. WECHSLER
16	ARIEL S. GLASNER BLANK ROME, LLP
17	Counsel for RAY LAHOOD
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	·
28	
	11

EXHIBIT A: STATEMENT OF FACTS

LaHood states and agrees that the following facts are true and correct and could be proven beyond a reasonable doubt:

1

4

5

6

From on or about January 23, 2009, until on or about July
 1, 2013, LaHood served as the United States Secretary of
 Transportation.

7 2. In July 2009, LaHood served as a keynote speaker at the 8 Annual Maronite Convention in Los Angeles, California (the 9 "Convention").

з. Individual A, a priest, was also in attendance at the 10 Convention. During the Convention, Individual A invited LaHood to a 11 12 private gathering at the California home of Gilbert Chagoury ("Chagoury"), a wealthy international businessman. Chagoury is a 13 foreign national and not a citizen of the United States. At the 14 gathering, LaHood met Chagoury and also met Toufic Baaklini 15 16 ("Baaklini"), who served as one of Chagoury's representatives in the 17 United States.

In 2011 and 2012, LaHood was suffering significant 18 4. financial difficulties in part due to problems from home remediation 19 and sought funds to conduct home repairs. LaHood met with 20 Individual A in May 2012 to request that Individual A refer LaHood 21 to an individual who would provide LaHood with a \$50,000 loan to 22 23 help LaHood cover his home repair costs. At their meeting, Individual A suggested to LaHood that Individual A would call 24 Chagoury to ask him to provide a loan to LaHood. 25

5. Approximately two days after their meeting, Individual A called LaHood by telephone and told him that he had secured a source of funds for LaHood. Individual A told LaHood that upon Individual

1 A's request, Baaklini would facilitate the loan to LaHood of 2 \$50,000. Individual A instructed LaHood to call Baaklini to discuss 3 the terms of the loan. Baaklini told LaHood that because of 4 Individual A's request, he was prepared to provide LaHood with a 5 loan for \$50,000 and that he had consulted a lawyer who advised that 6 a loan to a public official like Mr. LaHood could be permissible.

7 6. On June 1, 2012, Baaklini and LaHood met in Washington,
8 D.C. and Baaklini provided LaHood with a personal check for \$50,000
9 (the "\$50,000 Check"). In the Memo portion of the check, Baaklini
10 wrote "Loan."

7. Although it was not expressly discussed with Baaklini,
based on the circumstances of LaHood seeking these funds, LaHood
understood that Chagoury provided Baaklini with the funds for the
\$50,000 Check for LaHood.

15 8. LaHood negotiated the \$50,000 Check and used the funds for16 home repairs.

9. During his tenure as the United States Secretary of
 Transportation, Mr. LaHood was obligated on an annual basis to
 submit an Executive Branch Personnel Public Financial Disclosure
 Report (OGE Form 278) to the United States Office of Government
 Ethics (OGE). According to the OGE, the OGE Form 278 was designed
 to ensure transparency for Executive Branch Personnel.
 Specifically, the OGE website notes that:

Transparency is a critical part of government ethics, and Congress has determined that the citizens should know their leaders' financial interests. To facilitate such transparency, Congress enacted the financial disclosure provisions of the Ethics in Government Act. The Act imposes detailed requirements for public financial disclosure by senior United States Government officials.

24

25

26

27

28

10. Among other financial disclosures, Form 278 requires the
 filer to disclose any liabilities over \$10,000 owed to any one
 creditor at any time during the reporting period. Form 278 further
 requires the filer to certify by signature that "the statements I
 have made on this form and all attached schedules are true, complete
 and correct to the best of my knowledge."

7 11. LaHood knew that he was required to disclose the \$50,000
8 Check on his annual Form 278.

9 12. On May 15, 2013, Mr. LaHood submitted his Form 278 for the
10 calendar year 2012 (the "2012 Form 278"). LaHood reported various
11 liabilities owed on his 2012 Form 278. However, LaHood willfully
12 did not disclose the \$50,000 Check on the 2012 Form 278. Further
13 LaHood falsely certified that the information contained on the 2012
14 Form 278 was complete and correct; LaHood knew this certification to
15 be false because he willfully failed to disclose the \$50,000 Check.

13. Following the completion of his tenure as United States 16 Secretary of Transportation in July 2013, Mr. LaHood was required to 17 submit a final Form 278 for the period of 2013 during which he 18 served as a member of the Executive Branch. Accordingly, on July 19 29, 2013, Mr. LaHood submitted a Form 278 for the reporting period 20 from January 1, 2013, through his termination date of July 1, 2013 21 (the "2013 Form 278"). LaHood reported various liabilities owed on 22 his 2013 Form 278. However, LaHood willfully again did not disclose 23 the \$50,000 Check on the 2013 Form 278. Further, LaHood falsely 24 certified that the information contained on the 2013 Form 278 was 25 complete and correct; Mr. LaHood knew this certification to be false 26 because he willfully failed to disclose the \$50,000 Check. 27

28

14. LaHood failed to disclose the \$50,000 Check in his 2012
 Form 278 and his 2013 Form 278 because he had reason to believe that
 Chagoury was the ultimate source of the \$50,000 Check and because he
 did not want publicly to be associated with this Chagoury who, in
 2009, was reported to have been on the U.S. "No Fly List."

In June 2017, FBI Special Agents interviewed LaHood. 15. LaHood initially denied receiving a loan from Baaklini. After he was shown a copy of the \$50,000 Check, LaHood acknowledged receiving the \$50,000 Check from Baaklini. LaHood stated that he received the money from Baaklini as a loan but could not recall any terms of the loan. LaHood acknowledged that he had not repaid Baaklini any amount of the money and Baaklini had not asked to be repaid in the five years since Baaklini provided the \$50,000 Check. In addition, LaHood did not inform the agents that he understood that Chagoury was the source of all the funds for the \$50,000 Check.

LAHOOL