	Case 2:13-cr-00321-JAM Docu	ment 56 Filed 01/05/16 Page 1 of 5
1 2 3 4	AUDREY B. HEMESATH WILLIAM S. WONG Assistant U.S. Attorneys 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	UNITED STATES,) CASE NO. 2:13-CR-321 JAM
9	Plaintiff,	
10	v.) SENTENCING MEMORANDUM OF THE) UNITED STATES) Date: January 12, 2016) Time: 9:00 a.m.
11	BENJAMIN CUNHA,	
12	Defendant.	
13) Court: Hon. John A. Mendez
14		

I. INTRODUCTION

The United States and the defendant agreed to a range of 84 to 90 months pursuant to a plea agreement under Rule 11(c)(1)(C) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The United States seeks a sentence at the top of that range, 90 months, based on the government's assessment that the defendant did not provide substantial assistance to the government. Plea Agreement, ECF No. 46 at 5:23-28. The government requests that the Court accept Mr. Cunha's guilty plea under the terms of the written plea agreement. ECF No. 46.

The PSR recommends a sentence at the mandatory minimum of 60 months. PSR ¶ 81. For the following reasons, the government submits that a 60-month sentence is too low, and the bargained-for 90 months is appropriate in light of the defendant's history as a serial arsonist, the seriousness of the offense, the need to protect the public, and the need to deter criminal conduct.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

II. BACKGROUND

From August 2005 through September 2007, Cal Fire investigated a series of fires in El Dorado and Amador counties. PSR ¶ 4. Two of the fires burned onto federal land. Benjamin Cunha, a local firefighter, admitted to starting the fires. PSR ¶ 18. Cunha indicated that his motivation was to earn overtime and to impress his peers. PSR ¶ 18. Cunha was prosecuted in El Dorado county and pleaded guilty to state charges. PSR ¶ 4. The federal government was not a party to Cunha's 2008 plea agreement. As part of his state plea agreement, Cunha agreed to cooperate, and he provided local law enforcement with the details of at least 30 fires he admitted to starting in the El Dorado/Amador area.¹ PSR ¶ 4-5. Cunha was sentenced to 365 days of jail, which he was allowed to serve in a program that allowed him to leave the jail each day for work and return for sleep. Cunha was also sentenced to 72 months of probation. PSR ¶ 34. The terms of probation in the summer of 2012. PSR ¶ 34.

The next summer, July and August of 2013, authorities investigated two new suspected arson fires in the El Dorado/Amador area. ECF No. 46 at 5:17-20. Law enforcement determined that at least one of the fires was started using a time-delay incendiary device similar to the time-delay incendiary devices Cunha had admitted to using in the 2007-2008 series of El Dorado/Amador county fires. Cunha was a primary suspect in the

^{Cunha received transactional immunity from state prosecutors for the information he provided to local law enforcement. PSR ¶ 4. However, the uncompelled grant of transactional immunity by the state prosecutors does not bind federal authorities. United States v. Camp, 72 F.3d 759 (9th Cir. 1995) (statement of defendant under state grant of transactional immunity could be used to increase sentence in federal case); United States v. Anderson, 79 F.3d 1522, 1525-31 (9th Cir. 1996) (statement defendant who voluntarily cooperated and testified in state proceeding admissible in federal case because testimony was not compelled by state court under threat of contempt); United States v. Camp, 72 F.3d 759 (9th Cir. 1995) ("We decide whether at sentencing a federal court may consider information revealed by a defendant in exchange for state transactional immunity. We hold that it may and affirm.").}

2013 fires. Rather than continue the investigation of the 2013 fires, and to curb the risk of any additional fires in the meantime, Cunha was charged in the current indictment for the two 2007 fires that the government alleged burned onto federal land. ECF No. 1 (Count 1, Mine Fire; Count Two, Palmer Fire). Cunha had admitted to setting both those fires in a 2008 videotaped interview with local law enforcement. PSR ¶ 5. As part of the bargain struck in the written plea agreement in this case, this office agrees not to prosecute the two 2013 fires. ECF No. 46 at 5:17-20.

Cunha was charged in the federal indictment with two counts of violating 18 U.S.C. § 844(f)(1), each imposing a mandatory minimum sentence of 5 years. To avoid a minimum 10-year sentence after trial, Cunha bargained for a mid-range sentence of 7.5 years, and the government agreed to dismiss the second count. ECF No. 46 at 5:12-16; 5:23-28. The plea agreement contains the possibility that the government would recommend 84 months if Cunha provided substantial assistance to the government. The government, in its discretion, has determined that Cunha has not provided substantial assistance, and therefore recommends a 90 month sentence per the written plea agreement. ECF No. 46 at 5:28-6:1.

III. A 60-MONTH SENTENCE IS TOO LOW.

The PSR's recommendation of a 60-month sentence, the statutory mandatory minimum, is too low given Cunha's history as a serial arsonist, the need to protect the community, the need to promote respect for the law, and the need to provide just punishment. The PSR calculates the offense level at 23, and criminal history I. PSR ¶ 30, 37. The corresponding sentencing range is 46-57 months. The PSR recommends a 60-month sentence only because of the statutory mandatory minimum. PSR ¶ 61.

A sentence at the statutory mandatory minimum of 60 months does not take into account Cunha's history as a serial arsonist. Cunha would receive this same sentence even if this were his first fire. But Cunha has admitted to setting many fires, all in the same small geographic area, using a trademark time delay incendiary device. ECF No. 46 at 13: 6-8. Cunha admitted in the videotaped interview with local law enforcement that he developed

this time delay device based on his special knowledge acquired as a firefighter, and that his goal was to create fires big enough that significant fire-fighting resources would be required to extinguish the fires. Two of Cunha's stated goals were to impress his fellow firefighters with his skill in fighting the very fires he started, and to accrue overtime pay. PSR ¶ 18. It defies common sense that a serial arsonist, who voluntarily admitted to setting at least 30 fires, would score at criminal history zero and receive the same mandatory minimum sentence as a first-time offender.

There is a high need to protect the community from Cunha. The type of arson to which Cunha has pleaded guilty—wildland arson—has been recognized by the Ninth Circuit as particularly concerning. *United States v. Warr*, 530 F.3d 1152, 1161 (9th Cir. 2008) ("His conduct occurred during fire season when conditions were optimal to set fires which could easily rage out of control. He started fires during the summer, in high wind, in dried grass. He caused \$7 million in damage, destroyed part of the national forest lands, and placed many peoples' lives in danger."); *United States v. Hammond*, 742 F.3d 880 (9th Cir. 2014) ("Even a fire in a remote area has the potential to spread to more populated areas, threaten local property and residents, or endanger the firefighters called to battle the blaze."). In *Warr*, the Ninth Circuit found an above-guideline 120 month sentence to be substantively reasonable. 530 F.3d at 1160-61. Also similar to the instant case, the defendant in *Warr* had set 20 fires in state and national forests, was relatively young, and had a history of having been trained as a fire fighter. 530 F.3d at 1155, 1161. All of these factors in combination make Cunha a continued danger to the community, and a sentence of 90 months entirely appropriate.

Additionally, the need to promote respect for the law supports a 90-month sentence. Cunha was a trained firefighter who came from a family of firefighters, who knew better. PSR ¶ 43. The idea that setting 30 wildland arson fires might be his gateway into a full-time firefighting position shows a level of hubris that should be extinguished with an appropriately punitive sentence. PSR ¶ 18.

Finally, a minimum sentence of 60 months does not provide just punishment. The

Case 2:13-cr-00321-JAM Document 56 Filed 01/05/16 Page 5 of 5

written plea agreement reflects the bargain of the parties: Cunha no longer faces the exposure of a minimum 10 year sentence, in exchange for a mid-range number of 7.5 years. The government does not receive the benefit of the written plea agreement if Cunha is sentenced only to the mandatory minimum on one count. It is within this Court's discretion to accept or reject the sentence bargain, but for the foregoing reasons, the government asserts that the 90month sentence is fair, necessary, and just. *In re Morgan*, 506 F.3d 705, 711 (9th Cir. 2007) (Rule 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreements).

IV. CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated herein, the United States asks the Court to accept the Rule 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement and sentence defendant Cunha to 90 months.

DATED: January 5, 2016

Respectfully Submitted,

BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney

By:

<u>/s/ Audrey B. Hemesath</u> AUDREY B. HEMESATH WILLIAM S. WONG Assistant U.S. Attorneys