## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

CRIMINAL NO. 15-252

V.

SECTION: "G"

JOSE ALEXANDER LOPEZ-LOPEZ

\* \* \*

## **FACTUAL BASIS**

The defendant, JOSE ALEXANDER LOPEZ-LOPEZ (hereinafter "LOPEZ-LOPEZ"), has agreed to plead guilty as charged to the one-count indictment charging him with illegal reentry of a previously removed alien in violation of Title 8, United States Code, Section 1326(a).

Should this matter go to trial, the government would prove, through the introduction of competent testimony and other admissible evidence, the following facts, beyond a reasonable doubt, to support the allegations in the indictment now pending against the defendant:

On September 27, 2015, **LOPEZ-LOPEZ** was arrested by the Kenner Police Department for traffic violations relating to an accident. Following the arrest, the Kenner Police Department advised agents with Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) that **LOPEZ-LOPEZ** was in their custody. After determining that he was an illegal alien, ICE agents took **LOPEZ-LOPEZ** into ICE custody for processing.

After his arrest, ICE ran **LOPEZ-LOPEZ'S** prints through an immigration database and determined that he was an illegal alien from Honduras. Documentation contained in the defendant's Alien File, including a Warrant of Removal/Deportation, complete with the defendant's fingerprints, photographs and signature, would show that the defendant, **LOPEZ**-

LOPEZ, was removed from the United States to Honduras on April 2, 2014. A qualified Fingerprint Specialist would testify that the fingerprints of the individual documented in the Alien File containing the Warrant of Removal/Deportation and the fingerprints of the defendant are a match. Documentation from the Alien File would further show that the defendant is an alien and not a citizen or national of the United States.

Testimony of an official from U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services regarding record checks conducted through the Computer Linked Application Information Management System would show that the defendant, LOPEZ-LOPEZ, did not receive consent from the U.S. Attorney General or his designated successor, the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security, to apply for readmission or receive permission to reenter the United States since the time of the defendant's preyious removal.

SPIRO	G.	LATSIS

Assistant United States Attorney

JOSE ALEXANDER LOPEZ-LOPEZ

Date

Defendant

SAMUEL J. SCILLITANI, JR.

Date

Attorney for Defendant