

Rule 11 Plea Agreement

Pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, defendant PATRICIA E. GRAY, a.k.a. PATRICIA ENRIGHT and the government agree as follows: 1. Guilty Plea

A. Count of Conviction

Defendant will enter a plea of guilty to Count One of the Indictment, which charges her with conspiracy to commit wire fraud and mail fraud, in violation of 18

U.S.C. § 1349.

B. Elements of Offense

The elements of Count One are as follows:

1. Two or more people agreed to conduct a scheme to defraud that

would use commercial interstate mail carriers and interstate wire

communications for the purpose of executing the scheme; and,

2. The defendant knowingly and voluntarily formed or joined that

agreement.

C. Factual Basis for Guilty Plea

The following facts are a sufficient and accurate basis for defendant's guilty

plea:

Beginning in or around January 2014 and continuing until on or about March 29, 2017, defendant PATRICIA E. GRAY, a.k.a. PATRICIA ENRIGHT, knowingly and voluntarily conspired and agreed with others to conduct a scheme to defraud and to obtain money by means of false and fraudulent material pretenses, representations, promises, and omissions, using an entity named Treasure Enterprise, LLC ("Treasure Enterprise") and using a private commercial interstate mail carrier and interstate wire communications. Defendant GRAY played a key role in recruiting new investor victims to the fraudulent scheme, managing the transfer of new investor victims' funds to Treasure Enterprise, and handling investor victims' complaints when they were not paid the amounts they had been promised. Co-defendant LARRY A. HOLLEY is the pastor of a Flint, Michigan-based church called Abundant Life Ministries International, Inc. ("Abundant Life"), the head of Treasure Enterprise, and a member of the fraudulent scheme.

HOLLEY, as a pastor, GRAY, and their associates held conferences and seminars at churches in Michigan and other U.S. states to help churches raise money and to give financial management advice to individuals. These events frequently were attended by members of the churches for which money was being raised. HOLLEY and GRAY used these events to identify potential new investor victims for their scheme, for instance by having participants fill out cards listing their assets. HOLLEY, GRAY, and others then held individual meetings with selected participants to convince them to invest with Treasure Enterprise by turning over to Treasure Enterprise their 401(k) and IRA retirement savings, proceeds from personal loans, or other cash assets. As part of the scheme, HOLLEY, GRAY, and others developed promotional brochures, a website, and other materials to solicit investors. GRAY further solicited investors on the radio, including on a Christian radio station in Michigan.

In meetings with potential investor victims, GRAY and others under HOLLEY's direction fraudulently solicited funds through material false promises and omissions that the funds would be used for investments in real estate in the Flint, Michigan area or in legitimate securities. HOLLEY and GRAY promised investors high, guaranteed rates of return ranging from approximately 3% to 21% per year and further promised that investors were guaranteed to receive back their principal at the end of the investment term or at earlier times if they were not satisfied with the investment. Investors were given signed promissory notes stating the guaranteed interest rate and the investment term.

Investors were told that their 401(k) or IRA funds would be rolled over into a "qualified plan" or a "self-directed IRA" with Treasure Enterprise, and that no tax consequences would result. GRAY told individuals that she was licensed to conduct such rollovers and worked directly with individuals and their existing retirement plan managers to transfer funds to Treasure Enterprise using commercial interstate mail carriers and interstate wire communications. In reality, neither GRAY nor anyone at Treasure Enterprise had a financial securities license that would authorize the movement of individuals' 401(k) or IRA plans. Instead of being rolled over into a qualified plan or self-directed IRA, investor funds usually were deposited into Treasure Enterprise's business bank account, resulting in additional tax liabilities for investors.

Under HOLLEY's direction, GRAY and individuals associated with the scheme also convinced various investor victims to enter into "Personal Funding Agreements," pursuant to which Treasure Enterprise affiliates applied for multiple personal loans in investors' names and then transferred the borrowed money to Treasure Enterprise for purported real estate investments. In exchange, investors were promised payments that would cover the loan payments and provide investors with a guaranteed profit. When Treasure Enterprise failed to make the promised payments, at least one investor victim was forced to file for bankruptcy.

Contrary to the material representations made to new investors by HOLLEY, GRAY, and others, new investor funds were used to make interest and principal payments to earlier investors, for the personal benefit of HOLLEY and GRAY, for the benefit of Abundant Life, and to pay others working for Treasure Enterprise. HOLLEY and GRAY did not tell new investors that their money would be used for these purposes, and that all or part of their investments would be used to repay earlier investors.

In at least 2015 through 2017, Treasure Enterprise was unable to pay a substantial number of investors their promised interest payments and principal amounts as they came due. When investor victims contacted Treasure Enterprise asking for their money, HOLLEY, GRAY, and others made excuses for the delays, made deceptive statements intended to lull investors into believing their investments were safe, and continued to solicit new investments to cover the growing shortfalls. When new investor funds were received, HOLLEY directed his associates regarding which earlier investors to pay, how much to pay

them, and when to pay them. HOLLEY and GRAY continued not to tell new investor victims that all or part of their money would be used to repay earlier investors, or to tell earlier investors that their guaranteed payments were dependent upon securing new investor funds.

In one example of the role played by GRAY in the fraudulent scheme, in or around January 2016, HOLLEY and GRAY solicited a new investor victim, R.W., and convinced him to transfer his retirement savings to an IRA managed by Treasure Enterprise for the purpose of investing in commercial property in Flint, Michigan. GRAY signed a promissory note promising a 10% annual return for five years. GRAY called a financial institution that managed an IRA account for the victim and had the victim give authorization for her to speak to the institution about the victim's account. GRAY then told the financial institution that the victim's retirement funds would be transferred directly into a traditional IRA account and that Treasure Enterprise was a transfer company for such funds. GRAY also completed a funds transfer form and acceptance letter, specifying that the funds would be placed in a traditional IRA with Treasure Enterprise. GRAY then faxed the documents to the financial institution, requested that they use Treasure Enterprise's FedEx account for the check in order to enable faster delivery, and made approximately seven calls to the financial institution over a five-day period to initiate and follow up on the transfer. Once received, however, the funds were deposited into Treasure Enterprise's general business account, rather than in an IRA, bringing the balance in Treasure Enterprise's account from approximately \$40,000 to approximately \$200,000. These new funds were not invested in commercial real estate. Instead, within two days of the deposit, \$100,000 was wired from the Treasure Enterprise account to an earlier investor who had been demanding the return of a \$200,000 principal investment since that earlier investor's promissory note had come due in or around October 2015.

When the fraudulent scheme was shut down by federal authorities in March 2017, at least 160 investors in Treasure Enterprise, with investments dating as far back as at least 2011, suffered substantial financial harm, with total losses of at least between \$3.5 million and \$9.5 million.

2. Sentencing Guidelines

A. Standard of Proof

The Court will find sentencing factors by a preponderance of the evidence.

B. Agreed Guideline Range

There are no sentencing guideline disputes. Except as provided below, the defendant's guideline range is **78 - 97 months**, as set forth on the attached worksheets. If the Court finds:

- 1. That defendant's criminal history category is higher than reflected on the attached worksheets, or
- 2. that the offense level should be higher because, after pleading guilty, defendant made any false statement to or withheld information from her probation officer; otherwise demonstrated a lack of acceptance of responsibility for her offense; or obstructed justice or committed any crime,

and if any such finding results in a guideline range higher than 78 - 97 months, the higher guideline range becomes the **agreed range**. However, if the Court finds that defendant is a career offender, an armed career criminal, or a repeat and dangerous sex offender as defined under the sentencing guidelines or other federal law, and that finding is not already reflected in the attached worksheets, this paragraph does not authorize a corresponding increase in the agreed range.

- 6 -

Neither party may take a position concerning the applicable guidelines that is different from any position of that party as reflected in the attached worksheets, except as necessary to the Court's determination regarding subsections 1) and 2), above.

3. Sentence

The Court will impose a sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553, and in doing so must consider the sentencing guideline range.

A. Imprisonment

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(C) the sentence of imprisonment in this case may not exceed the top of the sentencing guideline range as determined by Paragraph 2B.

B. Supervised Release

A term of supervised release, if imposed, follows the term of imprisonment. There is no agreement on supervised release. In other words, the Court may impose any term of supervised release up to the statutory maximum term, which in this case is 3 years. The agreement concerning imprisonment described above in Paragraph 3A does not apply to any term of imprisonment that results from any later revocation of supervised release.

C. Special Assessment

Defendant will pay a special assessment of \$100.00 at the time of sentencing.

- 7 -

D. Fine

There is no agreement as to fines.

E. Restitution

The Court shall order restitution to every identifiable victim of defendant's offense. There is no agreement on restitution. The Court will determine who the victims are and the amounts of restitution they are owed.

4. Use of Withdrawn Guilty Plea

If the Court allows defendant to withdraw her guilty plea for a "fair and just reason" pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(d)(2)(B), defendant waives her rights under Fed. R. Evid. 410, and the government may use her guilty plea, any statement made under oath at the change-of-plea hearing, and the factual basis statement in this plea agreement, against her in any proceeding.

5. Other Charges

If the Court accepts this agreement, the government will dismiss all remaining charges in this case. In addition, the government will not bring additional charges against defendant based on any of the conduct reflected in the attached worksheets or the factual basis for the plea.

6. Each Party's Right to Withdraw from This Agreement

The government may withdraw from this agreement if the Court finds the correct guideline range to be different than is determined by Paragraph 2B.

- 8 -

Defendant may withdraw from this agreement, and may withdraw her guilty plea, if the Court decides to impose a sentence higher than the maximum allowed by Paragraph 3. This is the only reason for which defendant may withdraw from this agreement. The Court shall advise defendant that if he does not withdraw her guilty plea under this circumstance, the Court may impose a sentence greater than the maximum allowed by Paragraph 3.

7. Appeal Waiver

8.

The defendant waives any right he may have to appeal her conviction on any grounds. If the defendant's sentence of imprisonment does not exceed 97 months, the defendant also waives any right he may have to appeal her sentence on any grounds. If the defendant's sentence of imprisonment is at least 78 months, the government waives any right it may have to appeal the defendant's sentence.

This waiver does not bar filing a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel in court.

Consequences of Withdrawal of Guilty Plea or Vacation of Conviction

If defendant is allowed to withdraw her guilty plea or if any conviction entered pursuant to this agreement is vacated, the Court shall, on the government's request, reinstate any charges that were dismissed as part of this agreement. If additional charges are filed against defendant within six months after the date the order vacating defendant's conviction or allowing her to withdraw her guilty plea

- 9 -

becomes final, which charges relate directly or indirectly to the conduct underlying the guilty plea or to any conduct reflected in the attached worksheets, defendant waives her right to challenge the additional charges on the ground that they were not filed in a timely manner, including any claim that they were filed after the limitations period expired.

9. Collateral Consequences of Conviction

Defendant understands that her conviction here may carry additional consequences under federal and state law, including the potential loss of the right to vote, right to carry a firearm, right to serve on a jury, and ability to hold certain licenses or to be employed in certain fields. Defendant further understands that, if he is not a native-born citizen of the United States, there may be adverse immigration consequences resulting from conviction. These include possible removal from the United States, denial of citizenship, denaturalization, denied admission to the United States in the future and other possible consequences. Defendant understands that no one, including the defendant's attorney or the Court, can predict to a certainty the effect of defendant's conviction on any of these matters. Defendant nevertheless affirms that he chooses to plead guilty regardless of

any immigration consequences or other collateral consequences of her conviction.

10. Parties to Plea Agreement

Unless otherwise indicated, this agreement does not bind any government agency except the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Michigan.

11. Scope of Plea Agreement

This agreement, which includes all documents that it explicitly incorporates, is the complete agreement between the parties. This agreement supersedes all other promises, representations, understandings and agreements between the parties concerning the subject matter of this plea agreement that were made at any time before the guilty plea is entered in court. Thus, no oral or written promises made by the government to defendant or to the attorney for the defendant at any time before defendant pleads guilty are binding except to the extent they have been explicitly incorporated into this agreement.

Notwithstanding the previous paragraph, if defendant has entered into a proffer agreement in writing or a cooperation agreement in writing with the government, this plea agreement does not supersede or abrogate the terms of any such prior written agreement.

This agreement also does not prevent any civil or administrative actions against defendant, or any forfeiture claim against any property, by the United States or any other party.

- 11 - 1

12. Acceptance of Agreement by Defendant

This plea offer expires unless it has been received, fully signed, in the Office of the United States Attorney by **5:00 P.M.** on **May 3, 2018**. The government reserves the right to modify or revoke this offer at any time before defendant pleads

guilty.

MATTHEW SCHNEIDER United States Attorney

Anthony Vance

Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Branch Offices

Date: April 30, 2018

Ann Nee **Assistant United States Attorney**

By signing below, defendant acknowledges that he has read (or been read) this entire document, understands it, and agrees to its terms. He also acknowledges that he is satisfied with her attorney's advice and representation. Defendant agrees that he has had a full and complete opportunity to confer with her lawyer, and has had all of her questions answered by her lawyer.

UV Joan Morgan Attorney for Defendant

5-6-19

might &

Patricia E. Gray Defendant

Date

Date

Case 4:18-cr-20224-LJM-SDD ECF No. 35 filed 05/28/19 PageID.123 Page 13 of 19

| | W¢ | DR | K S | | T Z | |
|---|-----|----|------------|----|-----|----|
| C |)FF | EN | ISI | EL | EV | EL |

Defendant Gray, Patricia

District/Office Eastern District of Michigan

Docket Number 18-cr-20224

Count Number(s) 1

U.S. Code Title & Section 18 : 1349

Guidelines Manual Edition Used: 2018 (Note: The Worksheets are keyed to the November 1, 2016 Guidelines Manual)

INSTRUCTIONS

Complete a separate Worksheet A for each count of conviction or as required in a situation listed at the bottom of Worksheet B.* *Exceptions*: Use only a single Worksheet A where the offense level for a group of closely related counts is based primarily on aggregate value or quantity (*see* 3D1.2(d)) or where a count of conspiracy, solicitation, or attempt is grouped with a substantive count that was the sole object of the conspiracy, solicitation, or attempt (*see* 3D1.2(a) & (b)).

1. Offense Level (See Chapter Two)

Enter the applicable base offense level and any specific offense characteristics from Chapter Two and explain the bases for these determinations. Enter the sum in the box provided.

| | Guideline | Description | | | Level |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------|-------|
| | 2X1.1 | Same as underlying offense | | | |
| | 2B1.1(a) | Base offense level for offenses with max 20 yrs imprisonment (mail & wire fraud |) 1 | | 7 |
| | 2B1.1(b)(1)(K) | Loss more than \$3,500,000 and up to \$9,500,000 | <u> </u> | | 18 |
| | 2B1.1(b)(2) | 25 or more victims suffering substantial financial hardship | · . | | 6 |
| | | | | | : . |
| | If the Chapter ' reference, an add | Wo guideline requires application of a cross reference or other itional Worksheet A may be needed for that analysis. See §1B1.5. | | Sum | 31 |
| 2. | Victim-Related | Adjustments (See Chapter Three, Part A) | | | |
| | Enter the applica | ble section and adjustment. If more than one section is applicable, and enter the combined adjustment. If no adjustment is applicable, | § | | 0 |
| 3. | Enter the application | nse Adjustments (See Chapter Three, Part B) ble section and adjustment. If more than one section is applicable, and enter the combined adjustment. If the adjustment reduces the er a minus (-) sign in front of the adjustment. If no adjustment is "0". | § | | 0 |
| 4. | Enter the applica | justments (See Chapter Three, Part C) ble section and adjustment. If more than one section is applicable, and enter the combined adjustment. If no adjustment is applicable, | \$ | | 0 |
| 5. | Adjusted Offen | selleyel | | | |
| | Enter the sum of | Items 1-4. If this Worksheet A does not cover all counts of conviction ttom of Worksheet B, complete Worksheet B. Otherwise, enter t | i or situat his resul | ions t on | 31 |



Check here if all counts (*including* situations listed at the bottom of Worksheet B)* are addressed on this one Worksheet A. If so, no Worksheet B is used.

If the defendant has no criminal history, enter "I" here and on Worksheet D, Item 4. No Worksheet C is used.

WORKSHEET C CRIMINAL HISTORY [Page 1 of 2]

Defendant Gray, Patricia

Docket Number 18-cr-20224

Note: As an aid, some of the basic criminal history "rules" are listed below. However, there are numerous additional criminal history rules at §§4A1.1 and 4A1.2 that must be used with Worksheet C and for correct application.

Enter the Earliest Date of the Defendant's Relevant Conduct

(The date of the defendant's commencement of the instant offense(s))

1. Prior Sentences Resulting from Offenses Committed Prior to the Defendant's 18th Birthday

- (a) 3 Points if convicted as an adult, for each prior sentence of imprisonment exceeding one year and one month imposed within 15 years of the defendant's earliest date of relevant conduct or resulting in incarceration during any part of that 15-year period. See §§4A1.1(a) and 4A1.2(d)(1) & (e)(1).
- (b) 2 Points for each prior adult or juvenile sentence of confinement of at least 60 days not counted under §4A1.1(a) imposed within 5 years or from which the defendant was released from confinement within 5 years of the defendant's earliest date of relevant conduct. See §§4A1.1(b) and 4A1.2(d)(2)(A).
- (c) 1 Point for each prior *adult or juvenile sentence* not counted under §4A1.1(a) or §4A1.1(b) imposed within 5 years of the defendant's earliest date of relevant conduct. See §§4A1.1(c) and 4A1.2(d)(2)(B).

Note: Identify as "adult" any sentence exceeding one year and one month that resulted from an adult conviction. A release date is required in only two instances: (1) when a sentence covered under §4A1.1(a) was imposed more than 15 years prior to the defendant's earliest date of relevant conduct but resulted in the defendant being incarcerated during any part of such 15-year period; or (2) when a sentence counted under §4A1.1(b) was imposed more than 5 years prior to the defendant's earliest date of relevant conduct, but release more than 5 years prior to the defendant's earliest date of relevant conduct, but release from confinement occurred within such 5-year period.

| Date of Imposition | Offe | nse | Sentence | Release Date | Guldeline Section | Criminal History Points |
|-----------------------|------|-------|----------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | · | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| · | | | | | | |
| | | · · · | | | | |

2. Prior Sentences Resulting from Offenses Committed On or After the Defendant's 18th Birthday

- (a) 3 Points for each prior sentence of imprisonment exceeding one year and one month imposed within 15 years of the defendant's earliest date of relevant conduct or resulting in incarceration during any part of that 15-year period. See §§4A1.1(a) and 4A1.2(e)(1).
- (b) 2 Points for each prior sentence of imprisonment of at least 60 days not counted under §4A1.1(a) imposed within 10 years of the defendant's earliest date of relevant conduct. See §§4A1.1(b) and 4A1.2(e)(2).
- (c) 1 Point for each prior sentence not counted under §4A1.1(a) or §4A1.1(b) imposed within 10 years of the defendant's earliest date of relevant conduct. See §§4A1.1(c) and 4A1.2(e)(2).

Note: A release date is required when a sentence covered under §4A1.1 (a) was imposed more than 15 years prior to the defendant's earliest date of relevant conduct but resulted in the defendant being incarcerated during any part of such 15-year period.

| Date of Imposition | Offense | Sentence | Release Date | Guideline Section | Criminal History Points |
|-----------------------|--|----------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| , <u></u> , | and a start of the | | | ·. · · | a tha a contraction of all that a trackers of the second second second second second second second second second |
| | | | | | |

Worksheet C — Criminal History [Page 2 of 2]

Defendant Gray, Patricia

Docket Number 18-cr-20224

(continued from Sentences Resulting from Offenses Committed On or After the Defendant's 18th Birthday)

| Date of Imposition | Offense | Sentence | Release Date | Guideline Section | Criminal History Points |
|-----------------------|--|----------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| | · · · · | | | | |
| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | . · · | |
| | ······································ | | | | |
| | | • | | | |
| | | · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | : | | · |
| | | | | | |

3. Sum of Criminal History Points for prior sentences under §4A1.1(a), (b), & (c) in Items 1 & 2

A total of 4 points can be added for all the 1-Point sentences counted in Items 1 & 2 combined.

4. "Status" of Defendant at Time of Instant Offense

2 Points for "status" if the defendant committed any part of the instant offense (*i.e.*, any relevant conduct) while under any criminal justice sentence (*e.g.*, probation, parole, supervised release, imprisonment, work release, or escape status) for a sentence counted in Items 1 or 2. See 4A1.1(d) and Application Note 4. List the type of control and identify the counted sentence that resulted in the control. Otherwise, enter 0 Points.

5. Crimes of Violence

1 Point for each prior sentence resulting from a conviction of a crime of violence that did not receive any points under 4A1.1(a), (b), or (c) because such sentence was counted as a single sentence which also included another sentence resulting from a conviction for a crime of violence. A total of 3 points can be added under this subsection. See 4A1.1(e) and Application Note 5, and 4A1.2(a)(2) (p). Identify the crimes of violence and briefly explain why the cases are considered a single sentence. Otherwise, enter 0 Points.

| | 0 | |
|----|---|--|
| | | |
| 1. | | |

0

0

0

4. Total Criminal History Points (Sum of Items 3-5)

5. Criminal History Category (Enter here and on Worksheet D, Item 4)

| Total | Poi | int | | | 1.010 | 1.77 | . | ri | m | in | al | H | is | to | гy | C | at | eg | ory | 7 |
|-------|------|------|---------|----------|-------|------|----------|-----|----|-----|----|---|----|----|----|-----|-------|------------|--------|---------|
| 0 | _1 | 1 | 1 | - | | | ÷., | | 11 | Ъ., | | | : | I | | | | | | |
| 2 | -9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | II | | | | | | |
| | G | | ÷., | | | | | ÷., | | | | | | ш | | ÷ . | . • ` | s Os Au | 91.84Q | net par |
| 7 | 9 | | | | | | | | | S. | | | | IV | | | | | | |
| 10 | -12 | 5 | ÷. | | | | | ÷. | | | | | | Ŷ. | 1 | | | | er da | |
| 13 01 | ຳກາດ | ore. | | | | | | | | | | | | ٧I | | | | | | |

Case 4:18-cr-20224-LJM-SDD ECF No. 35 filed 05/28/19 PageID.126 Page 16 of 19

| DETERMINING THE SENTENCE [Page 1 of 4] Defendant Gray, Patricia Docket Number 18-cr-20224 Docket Number 18-cr-20224 1. Adjusted Offense Level (From Worksheet A or B) If Worksheet B is required, enter the result from Worksheet B, Item 9. Otherwise, enter the from Worksheet A, Item 5. 2. Acceptance of Responsibility (See Chapter Three, Part E) Enter the applicable reduction of 2 or 3 levels. If no adjustment is applicable, enter "0". 3. Offense Level Total (Item 1 less Item 2) | > result | 31 |
|---|----------------------|-------------|
| Defendant Gray, Patricia Docket Number 18-cr-20224 1. Adjusted Offense Level (From Worksheet A or B) If Worksheet B is required, enter the result from Worksheet B, Item 9. Otherwise, enter the from Worksheet A, Item 5. 2. Acceptance of Responsibility (See Chapter Three, Part E) Enter the applicable reduction of 2 or 3 levels. If no adjustment is applicable, enter "0". |) result | 31 3 |
| Adjusted Offense Level (From Worksheet A or B) If Worksheet B is required, enter the result from Worksheet B, Item 9. Otherwise, enter the from Worksheet A, Item 5. Acceptance of Responsibility (See Chapter Three, Part E) Enter the applicable reduction of 2 or 3 levels. If no adjustment is applicable, enter "0". | result | 31 3 |
| If Worksheet B is required, enter the result from Worksheet B, Item 9. Otherwise, enter the from Worksheet A, Item 5. 2. Acceptance of Responsibility (See Chapter Three, Part E) Enter the applicable reduction of 2 or 3 levels. If no adjustment is applicable, enter "0". | e result | 31 -3 |
| Enter the applicable reduction of 2 or 3 levels. If no adjustment is applicable, enter "0". | | -3 |
| 3. Offense Level Total (Item 1 less Item 2) | | |
| 가 있는 것 같은 것 같 | | |
| | , har | 28 |
| 4. Criminal History Category (From Worksheet A or C) Enter the result from Worksheet C, Item 8, unless the defendant has no criminal history, directed at the bottom of Worksheet A, no Worksheet C is used and "I" is entered here. | and as | |
| Terrorism; Career Offender; Criminal Livelihood; Armed Career Criminal; Repeat Sex Offender (See Chapter Three, Part A. and Chapter Four, Part B) | and D | angerous |
| a. Offense Level Total If the provision for Career Offender (§4B1.1), Criminal Livelihood (§4B1.3), Armed Criminal (§4B1.4), or Repeat and Dangerous Sex Offender (§4B1.5) results in an offense lev higher than Item 3, enter the offense level total. Otherwise, enter "N/A". | Career vel total | N/A |
| b. Criminal History Category If the provision for Terrorism (§3A1.4), Career Offender (§4B1.1), Armed Career Criminal (§ or Repeat and Dangerous Sex Offender (§4B1.5) results in a criminal history category high Item 4, enter the applicable criminal history category. Otherwise, enter "N/A". | §4B1.4), her than | N/A |
| 6. Guideline Range from Sentencing Table | | |
| Enter the applicable guideline range from Chapter Five, Part A, in months. 78 | to | 97 |
| 7. Restricted Guideline Range (See Chapter Five, Part G) | | |
| If the statutorily authorized maximum sentence or the statutorily required minimum sentence restricts the guideline range (Item 6) (see §§5G1.1 and 5G1.2), enter either the restricted guideline range or any statutory maximum or minimum penalty that would modify the guideline range. Otherwise, enter "N/A". | to | N/A |
| Check here if §5C1.2 (Limitation on Applicability of Statutory Minimum Penalties in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(e) – "The Safety Valve" – are applicable. | Certain | Cases) and |
| 8. Undischarged Term of Imprisonment; Anticipated State Term of Imprisonment (See | | |
| If the defendant is subject to an undischarged term of imprisonment, or an antici- imprisonment, check this box. Below list the undischarged/anticipated term(s), the a §5G1.3 and its direction or guidance as to whether the instant federal sentence is to concurrently or consecutively to the undischarged/anticipated term(s), and any sentence | o be imp | osed to rur |
| | | |

Worksheet D — Determining the Sentence [Page 2 of 4]

Defendant Gray, Patricia

Docket Number 18-cr-20224

9. Sentencing Options (See Chapter Five, Sentencing Table and §§5B1.1(a) and 5C1.1)

Check the applicable box that corresponds to the Guideline Range entered in Item 6 or Item 7, if applicable.

Zone A (See §§5B1.1(a)(1) & 5C1.1(a) & (b))

If checked, the following options are available:

- Fine (See §§5C1.1(b) & 5E1.2(a))
- "Straight" Probation (See §§5B1.1(a)(1) & 5C1.1(b))
- Imprisonment (See §5C1.1(a) & (c)(1))

Zone B (See §§5B1.1(a)(2) & 5C1.1(a) & (c))

If checked, the minimum term may be satisfied by:

- Imprisonment (See §5C1.1(a) & (c)(2))
- Imprisonment of at least one month plus supervised release with a condition that substitutes community confinement or home detention for imprisonment (See §5C1.1(c)(2))
- Probation with a condition that substitutes intermittent confinement, community confinement, or home detention for imprisonment (See §§5B1.1(a)(2) and 5C1.1(c)(3))

Zone C (See §5C1.1(a) & (d))

If checked, the minimum term may be satisfied by:

- Imprisonment (See §5C1.1(a) & (d)(1))
- Imprisonment of at least one-half of the minimum term plus supervised release with a condition that substitutes community confinement or home detention for imprisonment (See §5C1.1(d)(2))

Zone D (See §5C1.1(a) & (f))

If checked, the minimum term is to be satisfied by a sentence of imprisonment

10, Length of Term of Probation (See §581.2)

If probation is imposed, the guideline for the length of such term of probation is: (Check the applicable box)



At least one year, but not more than five years if the offense level total is 6 or greater.

No more than three years if the offense level total is 5 or less.

Worksheet D — Determining the Sentence [Page 3 of 4]

Defendant Gray, Patricia

Docket Number 18-cr-20224

11. Supervised Release (See §§5D1.1 and 5D1.2)

a. Imposition of a Term of Supervised Release:

Ordered because required by statute (See §5D1.1(a)(1)).

| | • |
|---|---|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| • | |
| | |

Ordered because a sentence of imprisonment of more than one year is imposed (See §5D1.1(a)(2)).



Is not ordered although a sentence of more than one year is imposed, because it is not required by statute *and* the defendant likely will be deported after imprisonment (See §5D1.1(c)).

Ordered because it may be ordered in any other case (See §5D1.1(b)).

b. Length of Term of Supervised Release

Check the Class of the Offense:

Class A or B Felony: Two to Five Year Term (See §5D1.2(a)(1))



Class C or D Felony: One to Three Year Term (See §5D1.2(a)(2))



Class E Felony or Class A Misdemeanor: One Year Term (See §5D1.2(a)(3))

If a statutorily required mandatory minimum term of supervised release for the offense impacts the guideline range for the applicable Class of Offense above, also check this box, and list the statutory minimum term (See §5D1.2(c)):

years mandatory minimum term of supervised release

If an offense in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) that resulted in, or created a foreseeable risk of, death or serious bodily injury to another person; or if a sex offense, the term of supervised release will not be less than the minimum term established above, and may be up to life (See §5D1.2(b)).

Policy Statement: If a sex offense, the statutory maximum term of supervised release is recommended.

12. Restitution (See §5E1.1)

a. If restitution is applicable, enter the amount. Otherwise enter "N/A" and the reason: Court will determine

b. Enter whether restitution is statutorily mandatory or discretionary:

c. Enter whether restitution is by an order of restitution, or *solely* as a condition of supervision. Enter the authorizing statute:

Worksheet D — Determining the Sentence [Page 4 of 4]

Defendant Gray, Patricia

Docket Number 18-cr-20224

| | nes (The Guideline Range for Fines for Individual Defendants) (| See §5E1:2) | |
|---|---|---|--|
| a, | Special Fine Provisions | Minimum | Maximum |
| | Check box if any of the counts of conviction is for a statute with a special fine provision. (This <i>does not</i> include the general fine provisions of 18 USC § 3571(b)(2) & (d)). | | |
| | Enter the sum of statutory maximum fines for all such counts | ne Ne Ne ne | \$ |
| b. | Fine Table (§5E1.2(c)(3)) Enter the minimum and maximum fines. | \$25,000 | \$250,000 |
| c. | Fine Guideline Range (Determined by the minimum of the Fine Table (Item 18(b)) and the greater maximum above (Item 13(a) or 13(b))). | \$25,000 | \$250,000 |
| d. | Ability to Pay | | |
| . * | Check this box if the defendant does not have an ability to pay | y , | |
| 14. Sr | pecial Assessments for Individual Defendants (See §5E1.3) | | |
| Co | and a Class C misdemeanor or infraction are \$10 and \$5 per count, re TOTAL: actors That May Warrant a Departure (See §1811(b)) onsider Chapter Five, Part H (Specific Offender Characteristics) and atements and commentary in the <i>Guidelines Manual</i> that might <i>See also</i> the "List of Departure Provisions" included in the <i>Guidelines Ma</i> | Part K (Departures), a warrant consideration | \$100 nd other policy in sentencing. |
| - | | | |
| | | | |
| **** | | | |
| | | | |
| period of the second second second second | onsider the applicable factors in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) taken as a whole. | | |
| | | | |
| <u></u> | | ······································ | |
| | | | · · |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Com | pleted by Ann Nee / Anthony Vance | Date April 30, 2019 | 9 |
| Com | Ipleted by Ann Nee / Anthony Vance | | 9 |