

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

CRIM. CASE NO. 13-20600

v.

PAUL D. BORMAN  
U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE

DR. FARID FATA

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**ORDER ADJOURNING RESTITUTION REPORT HEARING TO  
3PM FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 2017**

The Court has been advised that the claims facilitator is continuing to review the over 700 claims submitted. Once her review is completed, she will mail provisional decision letters to each claimant that will inform of (1) the provisional decision, (2) an opportunity to request reconsideration of that decision, and then (3) reach a conclusion/final decision.

Going forward, a claimant can request review by Magistrate Judge David Grand on the ground that the claims facilitator has made a mistake, and specifically, what part of her review was incorrect.

As to the claims process, due to the number of claims and the complexity of


the process, the claims facilitator will not have her provisional decision and her reconsideration finalized before the August 16, 2017 date.

Accordingly, the Court will adjourn the status hearing scheduled for August 16, 2017, to 3pm Friday, November 17, 2017. This November hearing will be an advisory update to the Court on the claims facilitator's progress, and not include finalized conclusions with regard to any claimant appeals to Magistrate Judge Grand from the decisions of the claims facilitator. It is anticipated that this status hearing will conclude the claims facilitator's involvement, her final Report, and be a preliminary determination of restitution pending any appeal to Magistrate Judge Grand.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth in the instant order, and the ruling in the precedential Supreme Court decision *United States v. Dolan*, 130 S. Ct. 2533 (2010),\* the next restitution report to the Court is scheduled for November 17, 2017.

SO ORDERED.

DATED: JUL 18 2017

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PAUL D. BORMAN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

\* "The fact that a sentencing court misses the statute's 90-day deadline, even through its own fault or that of the Government, does not deprive the court of the power to order restitution." *Dolan* at 2539.