

F.# 2019R01685

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
----- X

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

PLEA AGREEMENT

- against -

Cr. No. 20-CR-365 (MKB)

J&F INVESTIMENTOS SA,

Defendant.

----- X

The United States of America, by and through the United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section (the "Fraud Section"), and the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of New York (the "Office") and J&F Investimentos SA (the "Defendant" or the "Company"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, and through its authorized representative, pursuant to authority granted by the Defendant's Board of Directors, hereby submit and enter into this plea agreement (the "Agreement"), pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(C) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The terms and conditions of this Agreement are as follows:

TERM OF THE DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE AGREEMENT

1. Except as otherwise provided in Paragraph 12 below in connection with the Defendant's cooperation obligations, the Defendant's obligations under the Agreement shall last and be effective for a period beginning on the date on which the Information is filed and ending three years from the date on which the Information is filed (the "Term"). The Defendant agrees, however, that, in the event the Fraud Section and the Office determine, in their sole discretion, that the Defendant has failed specifically to perform or to fulfill completely each of the

Defendant's obligations under this Agreement, extensions of the Term may be imposed by the Fraud Section and the Office, in their sole discretion, for up to a total additional time period of one year. Any extension of the Term extends all terms of this Agreement for an equivalent period.

THE DEFENDANT'S AGREEMENT

2. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(C), the Defendant agrees to waive its right to grand jury indictment and its right to challenge venue in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, and to plead guilty to a criminal Information charging the Defendant with one count of conspiracy to commit offenses against the United States, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, that is, to violate the anti-bribery provisions of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977 ("FCPA"), as amended, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-3, related to conduct by the Defendant in Brazil and the United States (the "Information"). The Defendant further agrees to persist in that plea through sentencing and, as set forth below, to cooperate fully with the Fraud Section and the Office in their investigation into the conduct described in this Agreement and other conduct related to the conduct described in this Agreement and the Statement of Facts attached hereto as Attachment A (the "Statement of Facts").

3. The Defendant understands that, to be guilty of this offense, the following essential elements of the offense must be satisfied:

a. An unlawful agreement between two or more persons to violate the FCPA existed; specifically, as a person or entity, while in the territory of the United States, to make use of the mails and means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce corruptly or to do any other act in furtherance of an offer, payment, promise to pay, and authorization of the payment of any

money, offer, gift, promise to give, and authorization of the giving of anything of value, to a foreign official, and to a person, while knowing that all or a portion of such money and thing of value would be and had been offered, given, and promised to a foreign official, for the purpose of: (i) influencing acts and decisions of such foreign official, foreign political party and official thereof in his, her or its official capacity; (ii) inducing such foreign official, foreign political party and official thereof, to do and omit to do acts in violation of the lawful duty of such official and party; (iii) securing any improper advantage; and (iv) inducing such foreign official, foreign political party and official thereof to use his, her or its influence with a foreign government and agencies and instrumentalities thereof to affect and influence acts and decisions of such government and agencies and instrumentalities, in order to assist the Company and others in obtaining and retaining business for and with, and directing business to, the Company and others, contrary to Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-3;

b. the Defendant knowingly and willfully joined that conspiracy;

c. one of the members of the conspiracy knowingly committed or caused to be committed, in the Eastern District of New York or elsewhere in the United States, at least one of the overt acts charged in the Information; and

d. the overt acts were committed to further some objective of the conspiracy.

4. The Defendant understands and agrees that this Agreement is between the Fraud Section, the Office and the Defendant and does not bind any other division or section of the Department of Justice or any other federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authority. Nevertheless, the Fraud Section and the Office will bring this Agreement and the nature of the conduct, the nature and quality of the cooperation and remediation of the Defendant, its direct or indirect affiliates, subsidiaries, and joint ventures, to the attention of

other law enforcement, regulatory, and debarment authorities, as well as those of Multilateral Development Banks (“MDBs”), if requested by the Defendant.

5. The Defendant agrees that this Agreement will be executed by an authorized corporate representative. The Defendant further agrees that a resolution duly adopted by the Defendant’s Board of Directors, in the form attached to this Agreement as Attachment B (“Certificate of Corporate Resolutions”), authorizes the Defendant to enter into this Agreement and take all necessary steps to effectuate this Agreement, and that the signatures on this Agreement by the Defendant and its counsel are authorized by the Defendant’s Board of Directors, on behalf of the Defendant.

6. The Defendant agrees that it has the full legal right, power, and authority to enter into and perform all of its obligations under the Agreement.

7. The Fraud Section and the Office enter into this Agreement based on the individual facts and circumstances presented by this case, including:

a. the Defendant did not receive voluntary disclosure credit pursuant to the FCPA Corporate Enforcement Policy in the Department of Justice Manual (“JM”) Section 9-47.120, or pursuant to the United States Sentencing Guidelines (“U.S.S.G.” or “Sentencing Guidelines”), because it did not voluntarily self-disclose to the Fraud Section and the Office the conduct described in the Statement of Facts, attached to this Agreement as Attachment A;

b. the Defendant received partial credit for its cooperation with the Fraud Section’s and the Office’s investigation pursuant to the FCPA Corporate Enforcement Policy, JM Section 9-47.120, by, among other things: (i) conducting an internal investigation; (ii) making factual presentations to the Fraud Section and the Office; and (iii) voluntarily making foreign-based employees available for interviews in Brazil;

c. the Defendant did not receive full credit for cooperation and remediation pursuant to the FCPA Corporate Enforcement Policy, JM Section 9-47.120, because, among other things, the Defendant initially declined to produce all relevant materials and failed to produce all relevant documents and information in a timely manner;

d. the Defendant entered into a resolution with the *Ministério Público Federal* (Public Prosecutor's Office) in Brazil relating to the same conduct described in the Statement of Facts (the "Brazilian Leniency Agreement"), and has agreed to pay a fine of BRL 8,000,000,000 (the current approximate equivalent of \$1,441,505,636) and to contribute BRL 2,300,000,000 (the current approximate equivalent of \$414,432,870) to social projects in Brazil in connection with the Brazilian Leniency Agreement, and the Fraud Section and the Office are crediting a portion of the Brazilian fine in connection with the penalty in the Agreement;

e. although the Defendant did not have anti-corruption controls or an anti-corruption compliance program at the time of the conduct described in the Statement of Facts, the Defendant has since engaged in remedial measures, including: (i) creating and establishing an anti-corruption compliance program that is audited annually by an independent party; (ii) significantly increasing the importance of anti-corruption compliance messaging within the company; and (iii) conducting regular and robust anti-corruption compliance training with all executives and senior managers;

f. based on the Defendant's remediation, the state of its compliance program, including ensuring that its compliance program will satisfy the minimum elements set forth in Attachment C to this Agreement (Corporate Compliance Reporting), as well as the fact that the Brazilian Leniency Agreement requires the implementation of an independent commission responsible for monitoring and reporting on internal investigations and compliance audits

conducted at the Defendant with ongoing reporting requirements to the Brazilian authorities, and the Defendant's agreement to report to the Fraud Section and the Office as set forth in Attachment D to this Agreement (Reporting Requirements), the Fraud Section and the Office determined that an independent compliance monitor is unnecessary;

g. the nature, seriousness and pervasiveness of the offense conduct, which included executives at the highest level of the Company, including payment of bribes to high-level government officials in Brazil over a period of years;

h. the Defendant has no prior criminal history; and

i. the Defendant has agreed to continue to cooperate with the Fraud Section and the Office as described in Paragraph 12 below; and

j. accordingly, after considering (a) through (i) above, the Fraud Section and the Office believe that the appropriate resolution in this case is for the Defendant to plead guilty to one count of violating the anti-bribery provisions of the FCPA pursuant to this Agreement; an aggregate discount of 10 percent off of the bottom of the applicable U.S. Sentencing Guidelines fine range; and the Defendant's agreement to report to the Fraud Section and the Office as set forth in Attachment D to this Agreement.

8. The Defendant agrees to abide by all terms and obligations of the Agreement as described herein, including, but not limited to, the following:

a. to plead guilty as set forth in the Agreement;

b. to abide by all sentencing stipulations contained in the Agreement;

c. to appear, through its duly appointed representatives, as ordered for all court appearances, and obey any other ongoing court order in this matter, consistent with all applicable U.S. and foreign laws, procedures, and regulations;

- d. to commit no further crimes;
- e. to be truthful at all times with the Court;
- f. to pay the applicable fine and special assessment;
- g. to cooperate fully with the Fraud Section and the Office as described in Paragraph 12;
- h. to implement a compliance program as described in Paragraph 9 and Attachment C; and
- i. to report to the Fraud Section and the Office annually during a term of three years, beginning on the date of sentencing, regarding remediation and implementation of the compliance measures described in Attachment C, prepared in accordance with Attachment D of this Agreement.

9. The Defendant represents that it has implemented and will continue to implement a compliance and ethics program designed to prevent and detect violations of the FCPA and other applicable anti-corruption laws throughout its operations, including those of its affiliates, agents, and joint ventures, and those of its contractors and subcontractors whose responsibilities include interacting with foreign officials or other activities carrying a high risk of corruption, including, but not limited to, the minimum elements set forth in Attachment C.

10. In order to address any deficiencies in its internal accounting controls, policies, and procedures, the Defendant represents that it has undertaken, and will continue to undertake in the future, in a manner consistent with all of its obligations under this Agreement, a review of its existing internal accounting controls, policies, and procedures, regarding compliance with the FCPA and other applicable anti-corruption laws. Where necessary and appropriate, the Defendant agrees to modify its existing compliance programs, including internal controls,

compliance policies, and procedures in order to ensure that they maintain: (a) an effective system of internal accounting controls designed to ensure the making and keeping of fair and accurate books, records, and accounts; and (b) a rigorous anti-corruption compliance program that incorporates relevant internal accounting controls, as well as policies and procedures designed to effectively detect and deter violations of the FCPA and other applicable anti-corruption laws. The compliance programs, including the internal accounting controls systems, will include, but not be limited to, the minimum elements set forth in Attachment C.

11. Except as may otherwise be agreed by the parties in connection with a particular transaction, the Defendant agrees that in the event that, during the Term of the Agreement, it undertakes any change in corporate form, including if it sells, merges, or transfers business operations that are material to the Defendant's consolidated operations, or to the operations of any subsidiaries or affiliates involved in the conduct described in the Statement of Facts, as they exist as of the date of this Agreement, whether such sale is structured as a sale, asset sale, merger, transfer, or other change in corporate form, it shall include in any contract for sale, merger, transfer, or other change in corporate form a provision binding the purchaser, or any successor in interest thereto, to the obligations described in this Agreement. The purchaser or successor in interest must also agree in writing that the Fraud Section's and the Office's ability to declare a breach under this Agreement is applicable in full force to that entity. The Defendant agrees that the failure to include these provisions in the transaction will make any such transaction null and void. The Defendant shall provide notice to the Fraud Section and the Office at least 30 days prior to undertaking any such sale, merger, transfer, or other change in corporate form. If the Fraud Section and the Office notify the Defendant prior to such transaction (or series of transactions) that they have determined that the transaction or

transactions have the effect of circumventing or frustrating the enforcement purposes of this Agreement, as determined in the sole discretion of the Fraud Section and the Office, the Defendant agrees that such transaction or transactions will not be consummated. In addition, if at any time during the Term of the Agreement the Fraud Section and the Office determine in their sole discretion that the Defendant has engaged in a transaction or transactions that have the effect of circumventing or frustrating the enforcement purposes of this Agreement, they may deem them a breach of this Agreement pursuant to Paragraphs 25 to 28 of this Agreement. Nothing herein shall restrict the Defendant from indemnifying (or otherwise holding harmless) the purchaser or successor in interest for penalties or other costs arising from any conduct that may have occurred prior to the date of the transaction, so long as such indemnification does not have the effect of circumventing or frustrating the enforcement purposes of this Agreement, as determined by the Fraud Section and the Office.

12. The Defendant shall, subject to applicable law and regulations, cooperate fully with the Fraud Section and the Office in any and all matters relating to the conduct described in the Agreement and the Statement of Facts and other conduct under investigation by the Fraud Section and the Office or any other component of the Department of Justice at any time during the Term until the later of the date upon which all investigations and prosecutions arising out of such conduct are concluded, or the end of the Term. At the request of the Fraud Section and the Office, the Defendant shall also cooperate fully with other domestic or foreign law enforcement and regulatory authorities and agencies, as well as the MDBs in any investigation of the Defendant, its affiliates, or any of its present or former officers, directors, employees, agents, and consultants, or any other party, in any and all matters relating to the conduct described in this Agreement and the Statement of Facts and any other conduct under investigation by the Fraud

Section and the Office or any other component of the Department of Justice. The Defendant's cooperation pursuant to this Paragraph is subject to applicable laws and regulations, as well as valid claims of attorney-client privilege or attorney work product doctrine; however, the Defendant must provide to the Fraud Section and the Office a log of any information or cooperation that is not provided based on an assertion of law, regulation, or privilege, and the Defendant bears the burden of establishing the validity of any such assertion. The Defendant agrees that its cooperation pursuant to this Paragraph shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

a. The Defendant shall truthfully disclose all factual information not protected by a valid claim of attorney-client privilege or work product doctrine with respect to its activities, those of its subsidiaries and affiliates, and those of its present and former directors, officers, employees, agents, and consultants, including any evidence or allegations and internal or external investigations, about which the Defendant has any knowledge or about which the Fraud Section and the Office may inquire. This obligation of truthful disclosure includes, but is not limited to, the obligation of the Defendant to provide to the Fraud Section and the Office, upon request, any document, record or other tangible evidence about which the Fraud Section and the Office may inquire of the Defendant.

b. Upon request of the Fraud Section and the Office, the Defendant shall designate knowledgeable employees, agents or attorneys to provide to the Fraud Section and the Office the information and materials described in Paragraph 12(a) above on behalf of the Defendant. It is further understood that the Defendant must at all times provide complete, truthful, and accurate information.

c. The Defendant shall use its best efforts to make available for interviews or testimony, as requested by the Fraud Section and the Office, present or former officers, directors, employees, agents and consultants of the Defendant. This obligation includes, but is not limited to, sworn testimony before a federal grand jury or in federal trials, as well as interviews with domestic or foreign law enforcement and regulatory authorities. Cooperation under this Paragraph shall include identification of witnesses who, to the knowledge of the Defendant, may have material information regarding the matters under investigation.

d. With respect to any information, testimony, documents, records or other tangible evidence provided to the Fraud Section and the Office pursuant to this Agreement, the Defendant consents to any and all disclosures, subject to applicable law and regulations, to other governmental authorities, including United States authorities and those of a foreign government, as well as the MDBs, of such materials as the Fraud Section and the Office, in their sole discretion, shall deem appropriate.

13. In addition to the obligations in Paragraph 12, during the Term, should the Defendant learn of any evidence or any allegations of conduct that may constitute a violation of the FCPA anti-bribery provisions had the conduct occurred within the jurisdiction of the United States, the Defendant shall promptly report such evidence or allegation to the Fraud Section and the Office. Thirty days prior to the end of the Term, the Defendant, by the Chief Executive Officer of the Defendant and the Chief Financial Officer of the Defendant, will certify, in the form of executing the document attached as Attachment E to this Agreement, to the Fraud Section and the Office that the Defendant has met its disclosure obligations pursuant to this Paragraph. Each certification will be deemed a material statement and representation by the Defendant to the executive branch of the United States for purposes of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1001 and

1519, and it will be deemed to have been made in the judicial district in which this Agreement is filed.

14. The Defendant agrees that any fine imposed by the Court will be due and payable as specified in Paragraph 22 below, and that any restitution imposed by the Court will be due and payable in accordance with the Court's order. The Defendant further agrees to pay to the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York the mandatory special assessment of \$400 (pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3013(a)(2)(B)) within 10 business days from the date of sentencing.

THE UNITED STATES' AGREEMENT

15. In exchange for the guilty plea of the Defendant and the complete fulfillment of all of its obligations under this Agreement, the Fraud Section and the Office agree that they will not file additional criminal charges against the Defendant or any of its direct or indirect subsidiaries relating to any of the conduct described in the Statement of Facts or the Information filed pursuant to this Agreement. The Fraud Section and the Office, however, may use any information related to the above referenced conduct against the Defendant: (a) in a prosecution for perjury or obstruction of justice; (b) in a prosecution for making a false statement; (c) in a prosecution or other proceeding relating to any crime of violence; or (d) in a prosecution or other proceeding relating to a violation of any provision of Title 26 of the United States Code. This Agreement does not provide any protection against prosecution for any future conduct by the Defendant or any of its direct or indirect subsidiaries. In addition, this Agreement does not provide any protection against prosecution of any individuals, regardless of their affiliation with the Defendant. The Defendant agrees that nothing in this Agreement is intended to release the

Defendant from any and all of the Defendant's tax liabilities and reporting obligations for any and all income not properly reported and/or legally or illegally obtained or derived.

FACTUAL BASIS

16. The Defendant is pleading guilty because it is guilty of the charges contained in the Information. The Defendant admits, agrees, and stipulates that the factual allegations set forth in the Information and the Statement of Facts are true and correct, that it is responsible for the acts of its officers, directors, employees, and agents described in the Information and the Statement of Facts, and that the Information and the Statement of Facts accurately reflect the Defendant's criminal conduct. The Defendant stipulates to the admissibility of the Statement of Facts in any proceeding by the Fraud Section and the Office, including any trial, guilty plea, or sentencing proceeding, and will not contradict anything in the attached Statement of Facts at any such proceeding.

THE DEFENDANT'S WAIVER OF RIGHTS, INCLUDING THE RIGHT TO APPEAL

17. Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(f) and Federal Rule of Evidence 410 limit the admissibility of statements made in the course of plea proceedings or plea discussions in both civil and criminal proceedings, if the guilty plea is later withdrawn. The Defendant expressly warrants that it has discussed these rules with its counsel and understands them. Solely to the extent set forth below, the Defendant voluntarily waives and gives up the rights enumerated in Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(f) and Federal Rule of Evidence 410. The Defendant agrees that, effective as of the date the Defendant signs this Agreement, it will not dispute the Statement of Facts set forth in this Agreement, and that the Statement of Facts shall be admissible against the Defendant in any criminal case involving the Fraud Section and the Defendant or the Office and the Defendant, as: (a) substantive evidence offered by the government in its case-in-

chief and rebuttal case; (b) impeachment evidence offered by the government on cross-examination; and (c) evidence at any sentencing hearing or other hearing. In addition, the defendant also agrees not to assert any claim under the Federal Rules of Evidence (including Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence), the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure (including Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure), or the United States Sentencing Guidelines (including USSG § 1B1.1(a)) that the Statement of Facts set forth in this Agreement should be suppressed or is otherwise inadmissible as evidence (in any form). The Defendant understands and agrees that any statements that it makes in the course of its guilty plea or in connection with the Agreement are admissible against it for any purpose in any U.S. federal criminal proceeding if, even though the Fraud Section and the Office have fulfilled all of their obligations under this Agreement and the Court has imposed the agreed-upon sentence, the Defendant nevertheless withdraws its guilty plea.

18. The Defendant is satisfied that the Defendant's attorneys have rendered effective assistance. The Defendant understands that by entering into this Agreement, the Defendant surrenders certain rights as provided in this Agreement. The Defendant understands that the rights of criminal defendants include the following:

- a. the right to plead not guilty and to persist in that plea;
- b. the right to a jury trial;
- c. the right to be represented by counsel – and if necessary have the court appoint counsel – at trial and at every other stage of the proceedings;
- d. the right at trial to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses, to be protected from compelled self-incrimination, to testify and present evidence, and to compel the attendance of witnesses; and

e. pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742, the right to appeal the sentence imposed.

Nonetheless, the Defendant knowingly waives the right to appeal or collaterally attack the conviction and any sentence within the statutory maximum described below (or the manner in which that sentence was determined) on the grounds set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742, or on any ground whatsoever except those specifically excluded in this Paragraph, in exchange for the concessions made by the Fraud Section and the Office in this Agreement.

The Agreement does not affect the rights or obligations of the Fraud Section and the Office as set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742(b). The Defendant also knowingly waives the right to bring any collateral attack challenging either the conviction or the sentence imposed in this case. The Defendant hereby waives all rights, whether asserted directly or by a

representative, to request or receive from any department or agency of the United States any records pertaining to the investigation or prosecution of this case, including without limitation any records that may be sought under the Freedom of Information Act, Title 5, United States Code, Section 552, or the Privacy Act, Title 5, United States Code, Section 552a. The Defendant waives all defenses based on the statute of limitations and venue with respect to any prosecution related to the conduct described in the Information and the Statement of Facts including any prosecution that is not time-barred on the date that this Agreement is signed in the event that:

(a) the conviction is later vacated for any reason; (b) the Defendant violates the Agreement; or (c) the plea is later withdrawn, provided such prosecution is brought within one year of any such vacation of conviction, violation of the Agreement, or withdrawal of plea plus the remaining time period of the statute of limitations as of the date that this Agreement is signed. The Fraud Section and the Office are free to take any position on appeal or any other post-judgment matter.

The parties agree that any challenge to the Defendant's sentence that is not foreclosed by this Paragraph will be limited to that portion of the sentencing calculation that is inconsistent with (or not addressed by) this waiver. Nothing in the foregoing waiver of appellate and collateral review rights shall preclude the Defendant from raising a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel in an appropriate forum.

PENALTY

19. The statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, is: a fine of \$500,000 or twice the gross pecuniary gain or gross pecuniary loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest (Title 18, United States Code, Section 371 and Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3571(c) and (d)); five years' probation (Title 18, United States Code, Section 3561(c)(1)); a mandatory special assessment of \$400 per count (Title 18, United States Code, Section 3013(a)(2)(B)); and restitution in the amount of any victims' losses as ordered by the Court. In this case, the parties agree that the gross pecuniary gain resulting from the offense is \$178,122,935. Therefore, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3571(d), the maximum fine that may be imposed is twice the gross gain, or approximately \$356,245,870 per offense.

SENTENCING RECOMMENDATION

20. The parties agree that pursuant to *United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220 (2005), the Court must determine an advisory Sentencing Guidelines range pursuant to the Sentencing Guidelines. The Court will then determine a reasonable sentence within the statutory range after considering the advisory Sentencing Guidelines range and the factors listed in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a). The parties' agreement herein to any Guidelines sentencing factors constitutes proof of those factors sufficient to satisfy the applicable burden of proof. The

Defendant also understands that if the Court accepts this Agreement, the Court is bound by the sentencing provisions in Paragraph 19.

21. The Fraud Section, the Office and the Defendant agree that a faithful application of the Sentencing Guidelines to determine the applicable fine range yields the following analysis:

- a. The 2018 USSG are applicable to this matter.
- b. Offense Level. Based upon USSG § 2C1.1, the total offense level is 44, calculated as follows:
- | | | |
|--------------|--|-----------|
| (a)(2) | Base Offense Level | 12 |
| (b)(1) | Multiple Bribes | +2 |
| (b)(2) | Amount of Bribe Payments more than \$150,000,000 | +26 |
| (b)(3) | High-Level Official | <u>+4</u> |
| TOTAL | | 44 |
- c. Base Fine. Based upon USSG § 8C2.4(a)(2), the base fine is \$178,122,935.
- d. Culpability Score. Based upon USSG § 8C2.5, the culpability score is 8, calculated as follows:
- | | | |
|--------------|--|-----------|
| (a) | Base Culpability Score | 5 |
| (b)(1) | the organization had 5,000 or more employees and an individual within high-level personnel of the organization participated in, condoned, or was willfully ignorant of the offense | +5 |
| (g)(2) | Cooperation, Acceptance | <u>-2</u> |
| TOTAL | | 8 |

Calculation of Fine Range:

Base Fine (USSG § 8C2.4(a))	\$178,122,935
Multipliers (USSG § 8C2.6)	1.60 (min)/ 3.2 (max)

Fine Range (USSG § 8C2.7)

\$284,996,696 (min)/
\$569,993,392 (max)

22. Pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(C) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Fraud Section, the Office and the Defendant agree that the following represents the appropriate disposition of the case:

a. Disposition. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(C), the Fraud Section, the Office and the Defendant agree that the appropriate disposition of this case is as set forth above, and agree to recommend jointly that the Court, at a hearing to be scheduled at an agreed upon time, impose a sentence requiring the Defendant to pay a criminal fine, as noted below. Specifically, the parties agree, based on the application of the United States Sentencing Guidelines, that the appropriate total criminal penalty is \$256,497,026 (the “Total Criminal Fine”). This reflects a 10 percent discount off of the bottom of the applicable Sentencing Guidelines fine range for the Defendant’s partial cooperation and remediation.

b. The Fraud Section, the Office and the Defendant further agree that the Defendant will pay the United States \$128,248,513, equal to 50 percent of the Total Criminal Fine. The Defendant agrees to pay \$47,000,000 to the United States Treasury no later than ten business days after the entry of the judgment by the Court, and the Defendant agrees to pay the remaining \$81,248,513 within six months after the entry of the judgment by the Court. The Fraud Section and the Office determined, after conducting an independent analysis, that this limited payment period was necessary in light of current circumstances in order to mitigate any potential impact the payment may otherwise have on the Defendant’s ability to continue to make the full payments owed to Brazilian authorities according to the schedule that was agreed to in the Brazilian Leniency Agreement.

c. The Fraud Section, the Office, and the Company further agree that the remaining amount of the Total Criminal Fine will be offset by \$128,248,513 for penalties the Company will pay to the Brazilian authorities as a criminal penalty pursuant to the Brazilian Leniency Agreement related to allegations including those described in the Statement of Facts, and that such amount will be credited by the Fraud Section and the Office.

d. The Defendant shall not seek or accept directly or indirectly reimbursement or indemnification from any source with regard to the fine, penalty, forfeiture, or disgorgement amounts that Defendant pays pursuant to the Agreement or any other agreement entered into with an enforcement authority or regulator concerning the facts set forth in the Statement of Facts. The Defendant further acknowledges that no tax deduction may be sought in connection with the payment of any part of this fine.

e. Mandatory Special Assessment. The Defendant shall pay to the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York within ten days of the date of sentencing the mandatory special assessment of \$400.

23. This Agreement is presented to the Court pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(C). The Defendant understands that, if the Court rejects this Agreement, the Court must: (a) inform the parties that the Court rejects the Agreement; (b) advise the Defendant's counsel that the Court is not required to follow the Agreement and afford the Defendant the opportunity to withdraw its plea; and (c) advise the Defendant that if the plea is not withdrawn, the Court may dispose of the case less favorably toward the Defendant than the Agreement contemplated. The Defendant further understands that if the Court refuses to accept any provision of this Agreement, neither party shall be bound by the provisions of the Agreement.

24. The Defendant, the Fraud Section and the Office waive the preparation of a Pre-Sentence Investigation Report ("PSR") and intend to seek a sentencing by the Court immediately following the Rule 11 hearing in the absence of a PSR. The Defendant understands that the decision whether to proceed with the sentencing proceeding without a PSR is exclusively that of the Court. In the event the Court directs the preparation of a PSR, the Fraud Section and the Office will fully inform the preparer of the PSR and the Court of the facts and law related to the Defendant's case.

BREACH OF AGREEMENT

25. If the Defendant (a) commits any felony under U.S. federal law; (b) provides in connection with this Agreement deliberately false, incomplete, or misleading information; (c) fails to cooperate as set forth in Paragraphs 12 and 13 of this Agreement; (d) fails to implement a compliance program as set forth in Paragraph 9 of this Agreement and Attachment C; (e) commits any acts that, had they occurred within the jurisdictional reach of the FCPA, would be a violation of the FCPA; or (f) otherwise fails specifically to perform or to fulfill completely each of the Defendant's obligations under the Agreement, regardless of whether the Fraud Section and the Office become aware of such a breach after the Term, the Defendant shall thereafter be subject to prosecution for any federal criminal violation of which the Fraud Section and the Office have knowledge, which may be pursued by the Fraud Section, the Office or any other United States Attorney's Office. Determination of whether the Defendant has breached the Agreement and whether to pursue prosecution of the Defendant shall be in the Fraud Section and the Office's sole discretion. Any such prosecution may be premised on information provided by the Defendant or its personnel. Any such prosecution relating to the conduct described in the Information and the attached Statement of Facts or relating to conduct known to the Fraud

Section and the Office prior to the date on which this Agreement was signed that is not time-barred by the applicable statute of limitations on the date of the signing of this Agreement may be commenced against the Defendant, notwithstanding the expiration of the statute of limitations, between the signing of this Agreement and the expiration of the Term of the Agreement plus one year. Thus, by signing this Agreement, the Defendant agrees that the statute of limitations with respect to any such prosecution that is not time-barred on the date of the signing of this Agreement shall be tolled for the Term of the Agreement plus one year. The Defendant gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such prosecution or action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of the signing of this Agreement. In addition, the Defendant agrees that the statute of limitations as to any violation of federal law that occurs during the term of the cooperation obligations provided for in Paragraph 12 of the Agreement will be tolled from the date upon which the violation occurs until the earlier of the date upon which the Fraud Section and the Office are made aware of the violation or the duration of the Term plus five years, and that this period shall be excluded from any calculation of time for purposes of the application of the statute of limitations.

26. In the event the Fraud Section and the Office determine that the Defendant has breached this Agreement, the Fraud Section and the Office agree to provide the Defendant with written notice of such breach prior to instituting any prosecution resulting from such breach. Within 30 days of receipt of such notice, the Defendant shall have the opportunity to respond to the Fraud Section and the Office in writing to explain the nature and circumstances of such breach, as well as the actions the Defendant has taken to address and remediate the situation,

which explanation the Fraud Section and the Office shall consider in determining whether to pursue prosecution of the Defendant.

27. In the event that the Fraud Section and the Office determine that the Defendant has breached this Agreement: (a) all statements made by or on behalf of the Defendant to the Fraud Section and the Office or to the Court, including the Information and the Statement of Facts, and any testimony given by the Defendant before a grand jury, a court, or any tribunal, or at any legislative hearings, whether prior or subsequent to this Agreement, and any leads derived from such statements or testimony, shall be admissible in evidence in any and all criminal proceedings brought by the Fraud Section and the Office against the Defendant; and (b) the Defendant shall not assert any claim under the United States Constitution, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, or any other federal rule that any such statements or testimony made by or on behalf of the Defendant prior or subsequent to this Agreement, or any leads derived therefrom, should be suppressed or are otherwise inadmissible. The decision whether conduct or statements of any current director, officer or employee, or any person acting on behalf of, or at the direction of, the Defendant, will be imputed to the Defendant for the purpose of determining whether the Defendant has violated any provision of this Agreement shall be in the sole discretion of the Fraud Section and the Office.

28. The Defendant acknowledges that the Fraud Section and the Office have made no representations, assurances, or promises concerning what sentence may be imposed by the Court if the Defendant breaches this Agreement and this matter proceeds to judgment. The Defendant further acknowledges that any such sentence is solely within the discretion of the Court and that nothing in this Agreement binds or restricts the Court in the exercise of such discretion.

PUBLIC STATEMENTS BY THE DEFENDANT

29. The Defendant expressly agrees that it shall not, through present or future attorneys, officers, directors, employees, agents or any other person authorized to speak for the Defendant make any public statement, in litigation or otherwise, contradicting the acceptance of responsibility by the Defendant set forth above or the facts described in the Information and the Statement of Facts. Any such contradictory statement shall, subject to cure rights of the Defendant described below, constitute a breach of this Agreement, and the Defendant thereafter shall be subject to prosecution as set forth in Paragraphs 25 to 28 of this Agreement. The decision whether any public statement by any such person contradicting a fact contained in the Information or the Statement of Facts will be imputed to the Defendant for the purpose of determining whether it has breached this Agreement shall be at the sole discretion of the Fraud Section and the Office. If the Fraud Section and the Office determine that a public statement by any such person contradicts in whole or in part a statement contained in the Information or the Statement of Facts, the Fraud Section and the Office shall so notify the Defendant, and the Defendant may avoid a breach of this Agreement by publicly repudiating such statement(s) within five business days after notification. The Defendant shall be permitted to raise defenses and to assert affirmative claims in other proceedings relating to the matters set forth in the Information and the Statement of Facts provided that such defenses and claims do not contradict, in whole or in part, a statement contained in the Information or the Statement of Facts. This Paragraph does not apply to any statement made by any present or former officer, director, employee, or agent of the Defendant in the course of any criminal, regulatory, or civil case initiated against such individual, unless such individual is speaking on behalf of the Defendant.

30. The Defendant agrees that if it or any of its direct or indirect subsidiaries or affiliates over which the Defendant exercises control issues a press release or holds any press conference in connection with this Agreement, the Defendant shall first consult the Fraud Section and the Office to determine (a) whether the text of the release or proposed statements at the press conference are true and accurate with respect to matters between the Fraud Section, the Office and the Defendant; and (b) whether the Fraud Section and the Office have any objection to the release or statement.

COMPLETE AGREEMENT

31. This document, including its attachments, states the full extent of the Agreement between the parties. There are no other promises or agreements, express or implied. Any modification of this Agreement shall be valid only if set forth in writing in a supplemental or revised plea agreement signed by all parties.

AGREED:

FOR J&F INVESTIMENTOS SA:

Date: 10/13/2020

By: 

Lucio Batista Martins
Director of Legal and Compliance
J&F Investimentos SA

Date: 10/14/2020

By: 

Ben A. O'Neil
William A. Burck
Michael B. Carlinsky
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
Outside counsel for J&F Investimentos SA


FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE;

SETH D. DUCHARME
Acting United States Attorney
Eastern District of New York



David Gopstein
Assistant U.S. Attorney

DANIEL S. KAHN
Acting Chief, Fraud Section
Criminal Division
U.S. Department of Justice



Michael Culhane Harper
Joseph McFarlane
Trial Attorneys

Date:

____10/13/20____

COMPANY OFFICER'S CERTIFICATE

I have read the plea agreement between J&F Investimentos SA (the "Defendant") and the United States of America, by and through the United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section, and the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of New York (the "Agreement") and carefully reviewed every part of it with outside counsel for the Defendant. I understand the terms of the Agreement and voluntarily agree, on behalf of the Defendant, to each of its terms. Before signing the Agreement, I consulted outside counsel for the Defendant. Counsel fully advised me of the rights of the Defendant, of possible defenses, of the United States Sentencing Guidelines' provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this Agreement.

I have carefully reviewed the terms of the Agreement with the Board of Directors. I have advised and caused outside counsel for the Defendant to advise the Board of Directors fully of the rights of the Defendant, of possible defenses, of the United States Sentencing Guidelines' provisions, and of the consequences of entering into the Agreement.

No promises or inducements have been made other than those contained in the Agreement. Furthermore, no one has threatened or forced me, or to my knowledge any person authorizing the Agreement on behalf of the Defendant, in any way to enter into the Agreement. I am also satisfied with outside counsel's representation in this matter. I certify that I am the

Director of Legal and Compliance for the Defendant and that I have been duly authorized by the Defendant to execute the Agreement on behalf of the Defendant.

Date: 10.13.2020

J&F INVESTIMENTOS SA

By:




Lucio Batista Martins

Director of Legal and Compliance
J&F Investimentos SA

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

I am counsel for J&F Investimentos (the “Defendant”) in the matter covered by the plea agreement between the Defendant and the United States of America, by and through the Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section, and the United States Attorney’s Office for the Eastern District of New York (the “Agreement”). In connection with such representation, I have examined relevant documents and have discussed the terms of the Agreement with the Board of Directors. Based on our review of the foregoing materials and discussions, I am of the opinion that the representative of the Defendant has been duly authorized to enter into the Agreement on behalf of the Defendant and that the Agreement has been duly and validly authorized, executed, and delivered on behalf of the Defendant and is a valid and binding obligation of the Defendant. Further, I have carefully reviewed the terms of the Agreement with the Board of Directors and the officers of the Defendant. I have fully advised them of the rights of the Defendant, of possible defenses, of the Sentencing Guidelines’ provisions and of the consequences of entering into the Agreement. To my knowledge, the decision of the Defendant to enter into the Agreement, based on the authorization of the Board of Directors, is an informed and voluntary one.

Date: 10/13/2020

By: 
Ben A. O’Neil
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
Outside counsel for J&F Investimentos SA

ATTACHMENT A

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The following Statement of Facts is incorporated by reference as part of the Plea Agreement (the “Agreement”) between the United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section (the “Fraud Section”), the United States Attorney’s Office for the Eastern District of New York (the “Office”) (collectively, the “United States”), and the defendant J&F Investimentos SA (“J&F” or the “Company”). J&F hereby agrees and stipulates that the following facts and conclusions of United States law are true and accurate. Certain of the facts and conclusions of United States law herein are based on information obtained from third parties by the Fraud Section and the Office through their investigation and described to J&F. J&F admits, accepts, and acknowledges that it is responsible for the acts of its officers, directors, employees, and agents as set forth below, and that the acts described below were performed for and on behalf of J&F. Had this matter proceeded to trial, J&F acknowledges that the United States would have proven beyond a reasonable doubt, by admissible evidence, the facts alleged below and set forth in the Criminal Information attached to this Agreement:

Relevant Entities and Individuals

1. The defendant J&F was a private investment holding company that owned approximately 250 companies in 30 countries worldwide and was primarily involved in the meat and agriculture businesses. J&F was incorporated and based in São Paulo, Brazil. J&F was wholly owned by J&F Executive 1 and J&F Executive 2, as defined below, and their family members.

2. JBS SA (“JBS”) was a subsidiary of J&F headquartered in São Paulo, Brazil. JBS was the world’s biggest meat producer. J&F controlled JBS, although J&F’s

ownership stake in JBS varied during the relevant time period.

3. J&F Executive 1, an individual whose identity is known to the United States and J&F, was a Brazilian citizen who was a high-level executive and partial owner of J&F.

4. J&F Executive 2, an individual whose identity is known to the United States and J&F, was a Brazilian citizen who was a high-level executive and partial owner of J&F.

5. Banco Nacional de Desenvolvimento Econômico e Social (“BNDES”) was a Brazilian state-owned and state-controlled bank that performed government functions, including providing financing to private companies for endeavors that contributed to the development of Brazil. BNDES’s President and Board of Directors were ultimately appointed by the President of Brazil. BNDES was an “instrumentality” of a foreign government, and BNDES’s officers and employees were “foreign officials,” as those terms are used in the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (“FCPA”), Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-3(f)(2).

6. Caixa Econômica Federal (“Caixa”) was a Brazilian state-owned and state-controlled bank that performed government functions. The Brazilian government directly owned 100 percent of Caixa. Caixa was an “instrumentality” of a foreign government, and Caixa’s officers and employees were “foreign officials,” as those terms are used in the FCPA, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-3(f)(2).

7. Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. - Petrobras (“Petrobras”) was a Brazilian state-owned and state-controlled oil and gas company headquartered in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil and operating in 18 other countries, including the United States, that operated to refine, produce and

distribute oil, oil products, gas, biofuels and energy. The Brazilian government directly owned a majority of Petrobras's common shares with voting rights. Petrobras was controlled by the Brazilian government and performed government functions. Petrobras was an "instrumentality" of a foreign government, and Petrobras's officers and employees were "foreign officials," as those terms are used in the FCPA, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-3(f)(2).

8. Fundação Petrobras de Seguridade Social ("Petros") was a Brazilian state-controlled pension fund that performed government functions and was established to administer pension, retirement and death benefits for Petrobras employees. Petrobras appointed half the members of Petros's Deliberative Board, which was Petros's highest governing body. Petrobras also appointed the Board president, who could exercise a tiebreaker vote in the event of a split board. The Deliberative Board had the power to appoint the President of Petros. Petros was an "instrumentality" of a foreign government and Petros's officers and employees were "foreign officials," as those terms are used in the FCPA, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-3(f)(2).

9. Brazilian Official 1, an individual whose identity is known to the United States and J&F, was a high-ranking executive at BNDES from in or about and between 2004 and 2006, and a high-ranking official in the executive branch of the Brazilian government in or about and between 2006 and 2015. In these roles, Brazilian Official 1 had significant influence over whether BNDES would enter into transactions in support of private companies. Brazilian Official 1 was a "foreign official" as that term is used in the FCPA, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-3(f)(2).

10. Brazilian Official 2, an individual whose identity is known to the United States and J&F, was a high-ranking official in the executive branch of the Brazilian government in or about and between 2003 and 2010. Brazilian Official 2 was a “foreign official” as that term is used in the FCPA, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-3(f)(2).

11. Brazilian Official 3, an individual whose identity is known to the United States and J&F, was a high-ranking official in the executive branch of the Brazilian government in or about and between 2010 and 2016. Brazilian Official 3 was a “foreign official” as that term is used in the FCPA, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-3(f)(2).

12. Brazilian Official 4, an individual whose identity is known to the United States and J&F, was a high-ranking executive of Petros in or about and between 2011 and 2014. Brazilian Official 4 was a “foreign official” as that term is used in the FCPA, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-3(f)(2).

13. Brazilian Official 5, an individual whose identity is known to the United States and J&F, was a high-ranking official in the legislative branch of the Brazilian government in or about and between 2003 and 2016. Brazilian Official 5 was a “foreign official” as that term is used in the FCPA, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-3(f)(2).

14. Intermediary 1, an individual whose identity is known to the United States and J&F, was a Brazilian businessman who was a close associate of Brazilian Official 1.

15. Intermediary 2, an individual whose identity is known to the United States and J&F, was a close associate of Brazilian Official 5.

16. Financial Institution 1, the identity of which is known to the United States and J&F, was a multinational investment bank and financial services holding company

headquartered in the United States.

The Bribery Scheme

17. In or about and between 2005 and 2017, J&F, together with others, including J&F Executive 1, J&F Executive 2, Intermediary 1 and Intermediary 2, knowingly and willfully agreed to violate the FCPA by corruptly promising and paying bribes that it understood were to, and for the benefit of, foreign officials in Brazil, including Brazilian Official 1, Brazilian Official 2, Brazilian Official 3, Brazilian Official 4 and Brazilian Official 5, to secure an improper advantage in order to obtain and retain business for J&F, specifically, to ensure that BNDES, Petros and Caixa would enter into financing and equity transactions benefitting J&F that J&F Executive 1 and other J&F personnel had negotiated with those entities.

A. Bribery Related to BNDES

Overview

18. In or about and between 2005 and 2014, in furtherance of the bribery scheme, J&F agreed to corruptly promise and pay bribes for the benefit of Brazilian Official 1 for the purpose of improperly ensuring that BNDES would enter into certain financing and equity transactions with J&F-related entities that J&F Executive 1 and other J&F personnel had negotiated with BNDES personnel. J&F Executive 1 understood and intended that the bribes were also for the benefit of Brazilian Official 2 and Brazilian Official 3.

19. To facilitate the bribery scheme and conceal the true nature of the bribe payments, J&F and its co-conspirators created shell companies, opened bank accounts for the shell companies in the United States, and made payments to those accounts for the intended

benefit of foreign officials in Brazil, including Brazilian Official 1, Brazilian Official 2 and Brazilian Official 3.

20. J&F and its co-conspirators, using bank accounts based in New York, New York caused more than \$148 million in corrupt payments to be made for the benefit of Brazilian Official 1. J&F Executive 1 also understood and intended these payments to be for the benefit of Brazilian Official 2 and Brazilian Official 3.

21. In furtherance of the scheme, co-conspirators, including J&F Executive 1, Intermediary 1 and Brazilian Official 1, held meetings in the United States to discuss the bribery scheme.

Details of Bribery Payments Related to BNDES

22. In or about 2006, Brazilian Official 1 became a high-ranking official in the executive branch of the Brazilian government. In that role, Brazilian Official 1 maintained significant influence over BNDES and was able to exercise control over whether BNDES would enter into transactions which benefitted J&F and its subsidiaries.

23. In or about and between 2005 and 2008, JBS sought and obtained financial support from BNDES for its expansion plans. During this time, J&F Executive 1 and Intermediary 1 agreed that J&F Executive 1 would pay a percentage of the financing JBS requested and received from BNDES to Intermediary 1 with the intention and understanding that the money paid to Intermediary 1 was intended for the benefit of Brazilian Official 1. Between 2005 and 2008, J&F made corrupt payments to Intermediary 1 in connection with several BNDES transactions.

24. In or about 2009, J&F Executive 1 stopped paying bribes to Brazilian Official 1 through Intermediary 1 and instead began communicating about bribes directly with Brazilian Official 1. At this time, BNDES was considering purchasing approximately \$2 billion of JBS debentures.

25. In or about September 2009, at the direction of Brazilian Official 1, J&F Executive 1 opened a bank account at Financial Institution 1 in New York, New York. The account was in the name of a shell entity, Shell Company 1, the identity of which is known to the United States and J&F. J&F Executive 1 maintained control of Shell Company 1 and the bank account in its name.

26. In or about December 2009, BNDES purchased approximately \$2 billion of JBS debentures. Brazilian Official 1 ensured that BNDES provided this financing. Shortly before obtaining this financing, JBS purchased Company 3, an entity headquartered in the United States, the identity of which is known to the United States and J&F.

27. In exchange for Brazilian Official 1's assistance in obtaining this \$2 billion equity transaction, between on or about December 30, 2009 and March 24, 2010, J&F Executive 1 caused transfers totaling approximately \$55.1 million to the Shell Company 1 bank account for the benefit of Brazilian Official 1.

28. In late 2010, Brazilian Official 1 told J&F Executive 1 to open a second bank account at Financial Institution 1 into which J&F Executive 1 would pay bribes. Brazilian Official 1 told J&F Executive 1 that the first bank account was also for the benefit of Brazilian Official 2 and that this new bank account would be for the benefit of Brazilian Official 3.

29. In or about May 2011, JBS obtained financing from BNDES in the amount of 2 billion Brazilian reais. Again, Brazilian Official 1 ensured that BNDES provided this financing.

30. In or about June 2011, at the request of Brazilian Official 1, J&F Executive 1 opened a bank account at Financial Institution 1 in New York, New York. The account was in the name of a shell entity, Shell Company 2, the identity of which is known to the United States and J&F. J&F Executive 1 maintained control of Shell Company 2 and the bank account in its name.

31. In or about and between August 2011 and January 2012, at the direction of Brazilian Official 1, J&F Executive 1 deposited \$30 million into the Shell Company 2 bank account.

32. Through in or about 2014, J&F Executive 1 continued to cause deposits to be made into the New York-based bank accounts that J&F Executive 1 maintained for the intended benefit of Brazilian Official 1, Brazilian Official 2 and Brazilian Official 3. The funds were transferred to the accounts in the name of Shell Company 1 and Shell Company 2 at Financial Institution 1, located in New York, New York, through wires that travelled through the Eastern District of New York.

33. By in or about 2014, the two accounts in the names of Shell Company 1 and Shell Company 2 had accumulated approximately \$148,668,500. These funds represented the amount of bribe payments intended for Brazilian Official 1 for his own benefit and for the intended benefit of Brazilian Official 2 and Brazilian Official 3.

34. In or about 2014, Brazilian Official 1 directed J&F Executive 1 to make corrupt payments to and for the benefit of various Brazilian politicians and their political campaigns. Instead of making those payments from the Shell Company 1 and Shell Company 2 accounts at Financial Institution 1, J&F Executive 1 caused the payments to be made, including payments made in cash, from other bank accounts located in Brazil. The payments J&F Executive 1 made to and for the benefit of various Brazilian politicians and their political campaigns, including Brazilian Official 3, at the direction of Brazilian Official 1, totaled approximately \$148,668,500, which was the amount of the bribe payments that had been transferred to the Shell Company 1 and Shell Company 2 accounts at Financial Institution 1.

35. J&F Executive 2 learned about the BNDES-related bribes sometime after 2011, at which time J&F Executive 1 told J&F Executive 2 that J&F Executive 1 held bank accounts at Financial Institution 1 that were for the benefit of Brazilian Official 1.

36. In furtherance of the scheme, J&F Executive 1 met with Brazilian Official 1 and Intermediary 1 on multiple occasions in New York, New York to discuss the bribe payments.

B. Bribery Related to Petros

Overview

37. In or about and between 2011 and 2017, in furtherance of the bribery scheme, J&F agreed to corruptly promise and pay bribes to, and for the benefit of, Brazilian Official 4 for the purpose of ensuring that Petros would enter into transactions with J&F-related entities that J&F Executive 1 and other J&F personnel had negotiated with Petros personnel.

38. To facilitate the bribery scheme and conceal the true nature of the bribe payments, J&F and its co-conspirators, among other things, created shell companies, purchased real estate in New York City and transferred the real estate to entities controlled by Brazilian Official 4.

39. In total, from in or about and between 2011 and 2017, J&F and its co-conspirators caused approximately \$4.6 million worth of corrupt payments to be made, and items of value to be transferred, for the benefit of Brazilian Official 4. In furtherance of the scheme, certain co-conspirators, including J&F Executive 1, travelled to the United States and took acts to further the scheme's purpose.

Details of Bribery Payments Related to Petros

40. In or about 2009, J&F, Petros and Pension Fund 1 established Investment Vehicle 1, a company the identity of which is known to the United States and J&F.

41. In or about 2011, J&F sought to merge Investment Vehicle 1 with another entity. As a partial owner of Investment Vehicle 1, Petros had to approve the merger. To obtain the approval of Petros, J&F Executive 1 agreed to pay a bribe to Brazilian Official 4, who was a high-ranking executive of Petros.

42. J&F Executive 1 and Brazilian Official 4 agreed that a portion of the bribe payment would be made by J&F Executive 1 by purchasing an apartment in New York, New York and transferring ownership of the apartment to Brazilian Official 4. As a result, in or about July 2011, J&F Executive 1 travelled to New York to view apartments and select one to purchase as a bribe payment.

43. Petros subsequently approved the merger involving Investment Vehicle 1, which occurred on or about November 30, 2011.

44. In or about late 2011, J&F Executive 1 created Shell Company 3, the identity of which is known to the United States and J&F. J&F Executive 1 controlled Shell Company 3. On or about December 19, 2011, Shell Company 3 purchased an apartment in New York, New York (the “New York Apartment”) for approximately \$1.5 million. In or about April 2012, J&F Executive 1 caused Shell Company 3 to transfer ownership of the New York Apartment to an entity controlled by Brazilian Official 4.

45. In or about and between February 2012 and March 2017, J&F paid approximately \$101,225 in expenses for the New York Apartment, including condominium fees and utilities, to benefit Brazilian Official 4.

46. In addition, on or about October 15, 2012, J&F Executive 1 directed a transfer of \$4.1 million from an account held at Financial Institution 1 to an offshore bank account controlled by Brazilian Official 4. Of the \$4.1 million, \$3 million was a bribe from J&F to Brazilian Official 4 in connection with ensuring that Petros approved the merger involving Investment Vehicle 1. The remaining \$1.1 million was used to make unrelated bribe payments to Brazilian Official 4 on behalf of other individuals for whom J&F Executive 1 was acting as an intermediary.

C. Bribery Related to Caixa

Overview

47. In or about and between 2011 and 2014, in furtherance of the bribery scheme, J&F agreed to corruptly promise and pay bribes that were intended to, and for the

benefit of, Brazilian Official 5 for the purpose of ensuring that Caixa entered into certain transactions with J&F-related entities that J&F Executive 1 and other J&F personnel had negotiated with Caixa personnel.

48. In total, from in or about and between 2011 and 2014, J&F and its co-conspirators caused approximately \$25 million in corrupt payments to be made with the understanding they were for the benefit of Brazilian Official 5. In furtherance of the scheme, certain co-conspirators, including J&F Executive 1, J&F Executive 2 and Intermediary 2, discussed the bribes while located in the United States.

Details of the Bribery Payments Related to Caixa

49. In or about 2011, J&F was seeking financing from Caixa. In or about 2011, J&F Executive 1 was introduced to Intermediary 2. J&F Executive 1 agreed to make corrupt payments to Intermediary 2, with the understanding that Intermediary 2 would pass the bribe payments to Brazilian Official 5.

50. Following this agreement to pay bribes to Brazilian Official 5, Caixa made numerous loans to J&F or its subsidiaries. For example:

- (a) In or about November 2011, Caixa made a loan to J&F of 300 million Brazilian reais;
- (b) In or about August 2012, Caixa made a loan to J&F of 250 million Brazilian reais;
- (c) In or about November 2012, Caixa made a loan to J&F of 500 million Brazilian reais;

- (d) In or about July 2013, Caixa made a loan to a J&F subsidiary of 250 million Brazilian reais;
- (e) In or about July 2013, Caixa made a loan to a J&F subsidiary of 200 million Brazilian reais;
- (f) In or about August 2013, Caixa made a loan to a J&F subsidiary of 150 million Brazilian reais; and
- (g) In or about September 2014, Caixa made a loan to J&F of 300 million Brazilian reais.

51. In or about and between 2011 and 2014, J&F made corrupt payments through Intermediary 2 in the amount of approximately \$25 million, which J&F Executive 1 understood would go to Brazilian Official 5 to ensure that Caixa agreed to make the loans.

52. In furtherance of the bribery scheme, the co-conspirators held the following meetings in the United States to discuss and advance the scheme:

- (a) In or about and between October 27 and October 29, 2011, Intermediary 2 travelled to New York, New York and met with J&F Executive 1 and J&F Executive 2. During this trip, Intermediary 2, J&F Executive 2 and J&F Executive 1 discussed, among other aspects of the bribery scheme, the need for financing for certain subsidiaries of J&F.
- (b) In or about 2012, Intermediary 2 and J&F Executive 1 met in New York, New York. During the meeting, Intermediary 2 and J&F Executive 1 discussed the loans to J&F that Caixa made in

or about November 2011 and August 2012, as well as the bribes that J&F paid to facilitate the loans.

ATTACHMENT B

CERTIFICATE OF CORPORATE RESOLUTIONS

WHEREAS, J&F Investimentos SA (the “Company”) has been engaged in discussions with the United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section and the United States Attorney’s Office for the Eastern District of New York (the “Fraud Section and the Office”) regarding issues arising in relation to certain improper payments to foreign officials to facilitate the obtaining of financing and assist in obtaining business for the Company; and

WHEREAS, in order to resolve such discussions, it is proposed that the Company enter into a certain agreement with the Fraud Section and the Office; and

WHEREAS, the Company’s Director of Legal and Compliance, together with outside counsel for the Company, have advised the Board of Directors of the Company of its rights, possible defenses, the Sentencing Guidelines’ provisions and the consequences of entering into such agreement with the Fraud Section and the Office;

Therefore, the Board of Directors has RESOLVED that:

1. The Company (a) acknowledges the filing of an Information charging the Company with one count of conspiracy to commit offenses against the United States, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, that is, to violate the anti-bribery provisions of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977 (“FCPA”), as amended, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-3; (b) waives indictment on such charge and enters into this plea agreement (the “Agreement”) with the Fraud Section and the Office; (c) agrees to pay a fine of \$256,497,026 with respect to the conduct described in the Information, \$128,248,513 of which the Company agrees to pay to the United States Treasury and the remaining \$128,248,513 of which the Company agrees

will be paid to the Brazilian authorities pursuant to a resolution the Company entered into with the *Ministério Público Federal* (Public Prosecutor's Office) in Brazil relating to the same conduct described in the Statement of Facts (Attachment A to the Agreement) (the "Brazilian Leniency Agreement"); and (d) admits the Court's jurisdiction over the Company and the subject matter of such action and consents to the judgment therein.

2. The Company accepts the terms and conditions of the Agreement, including, but not limited to, (a) a knowing waiver of its rights to a speedy trial pursuant to the Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161, and Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 48(b); (b) a knowing waiver for purposes of the Agreement and any charges by the United States arising out of the conduct described in the Statement of Facts of any objection with respect to venue and consents to the filing of the Information, as provided under the terms of the Agreement, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York; and (c) a knowing waiver of any defenses based on the statute of limitations for any prosecution relating to the conduct described in the Statement of Facts or relating to conduct known to the Fraud Section and the Office prior to the date on which the Agreement was signed that is not time-barred by the applicable statute of limitations on the date of the signing of the Agreement;

3. The Director of Legal and Compliance is hereby authorized, empowered and directed, on behalf of the Company, to execute the Agreement substantially in such form as reviewed by this Board of Directors at this meeting with such changes as the Director of Legal and Compliance, may approve;

4. The Director of Legal and Compliance, is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to take any and all actions as may be necessary or appropriate and to approve the forms,

terms or provisions of any agreement or other documents as may be necessary or appropriate, to carry out and effectuate the purpose and intent of the foregoing resolutions; and

5. All of the actions of the Director of Legal and Compliance, which actions would have been authorized by the foregoing resolutions except that such actions were taken prior to the adoption of such resolutions, are hereby severally ratified, confirmed, approved, and adopted as actions on behalf of the Company.

Date: 10.13.2020

By:



Lucio Batista Martins
Director of Legal and Compliance
J&F Investimentos SA

ATTACHMENT C

CORPORATE COMPLIANCE PROGRAM

In order to address any deficiencies in its internal controls, compliance code, policies, and procedures regarding compliance with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (“FCPA”), 15 U.S.C. §§ 78dd-1, *et seq.*, and other applicable anti-corruption laws, J&F Investimentos SA (the “Company”) agrees to continue to conduct, in a manner consistent with all of its obligations under this Agreement, appropriate reviews of its existing internal controls, policies, and procedures.

Where necessary and appropriate, the Company agrees to modify its compliance program, including internal controls, compliance policies, and procedures in order to ensure that it maintains: (a) an effective system of internal accounting controls designed to ensure the making and keeping of fair and accurate books, records, and accounts; and (b) a rigorous anti-corruption compliance program that incorporates relevant internal accounting controls, as well as policies and procedures designed to effectively detect and deter violations of the FCPA and other applicable anti-corruption laws. At a minimum, this should include, but not be limited to, the following elements to the extent they are not already part of the Company’s existing internal controls, compliance code, policies, and procedures:

Commitment to Compliance

1. The Company will ensure that its directors and senior management provide strong, explicit, and visible support and commitment to its corporate policy against violations of the anti-corruption laws and its compliance code, and demonstrate rigorous adherence by example. The Company will also ensure that middle management, in turn, reinforce those

standards and encourage employees to abide by them. The Company will create and foster a culture of ethics and compliance with the law in its day-to-day operations at all levels of the company.

Policies and Procedures

2. The Company will develop and promulgate a clearly articulated and visible corporate policy against violations of the FCPA and other applicable foreign law counterparts (collectively, the “anti-corruption laws”), which policy shall be memorialized in a written compliance code.

3. The Company will develop and promulgate compliance policies and procedures designed to reduce the prospect of violations of the anti-corruption laws and the Company’s compliance code, and the Company will take appropriate measures to encourage and support the observance of ethics and compliance policies and procedures against violation of the anti-corruption laws by personnel at all levels of the Company. These anti-corruption policies and procedures shall apply to all directors, officers, and employees and, where necessary and appropriate, outside parties acting on behalf of the Company in a foreign jurisdiction, including but not limited to, agents and intermediaries, consultants, representatives, distributors, teaming partners, contractors and suppliers, consortia, and joint venture partners (collectively, “agents and business partners”). The Company shall notify all employees that compliance with the policies and procedures is the duty of individuals at all levels of the company. Such policies and procedures shall address:

- a. gifts;
- b. hospitality, entertainment, and expenses;

- c. customer travel;
- d. political contributions;
- e. charitable donations and sponsorships;
- f. facilitation payments; and
- g. solicitation and extortion.

4. The Company will ensure that it has a system of financial and accounting procedures, including a system of internal controls, reasonably designed to ensure the maintenance of fair and accurate books, records, and accounts. This system should be designed to provide reasonable assurances that:

- a. transactions are executed in accordance with management's general or specific authorization;
- b. transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles or any other criteria applicable to such statements, and to maintain accountability for assets;
- c. access to assets is permitted only in accordance with management's general or specific authorization; and
- d. the recorded accountability for assets is compared with the existing assets at reasonable intervals and appropriate action is taken with respect to any differences.

Periodic Risk-Based Review

5. The Company will develop these compliance policies and procedures on the basis of a periodic risk assessment addressing the individual circumstances of the Company, in particular the foreign bribery risks facing the Company, including, but not limited to, its

geographical organization, interactions with various types and levels of government officials, industrial sectors of operation, potential clients and business partners, use of third parties, gifts, travel and entertainment expenses, charitable and political donations, involvement in joint venture arrangements, importance of licenses and permits in the Company's operations, degree of governmental oversight and inspection, and volume and importance of goods and personnel clearing through customs and immigration.

6. The Company shall review its anti-corruption compliance policies and procedures no less than annually and update them as appropriate to ensure their continued effectiveness, taking into account relevant developments in the field and evolving international and industry standards.

Proper Oversight and Independence

7. The Company will assign responsibility to one or more senior corporate executives of the Company for the implementation and oversight of the Company's anti-corruption compliance code, policies, and procedures. Such corporate official(s) shall have the authority to report directly to independent monitoring bodies, including internal audit, the Company's Board of Directors, or any appropriate committee of the Board of Directors, and shall have an adequate level of stature and autonomy from management as well as sufficient resources and authority to maintain such autonomy.

Training and Guidance

8. The Company will implement mechanisms designed to ensure that its anti-corruption compliance code, policies, and procedures are effectively communicated to all directors, officers, employees, and, where necessary and appropriate, agents and business

partners. These mechanisms shall include: (a) periodic training for all directors and officers, all employees in positions of leadership or trust, positions that require such training (e.g., internal audit, sales, legal, compliance, finance), or positions that otherwise pose a corruption risk to the Company, and, where necessary and appropriate, agents and business partners; and (b) corresponding certifications by all such directors, officers, employees, agents, and business partners, certifying compliance with the training requirements. The Company will conduct training in a manner tailored to the audience's size, sophistication, or subject matter expertise and, where appropriate, will discuss prior compliance incidents.

9. The Company will maintain, or where necessary establish, an effective system for providing guidance and advice to directors, officers, employees, and, where necessary and appropriate, agents and business partners, on complying with the Company's anti-corruption compliance code, policies, and procedures, including when they need advice on an urgent basis or in any foreign jurisdiction in which the Company operates.

Internal Reporting and Investigation

10. The Company will maintain, or where necessary establish, an effective system for internal and, where possible, confidential reporting by, and protection of, directors, officers, employees, and, where appropriate, agents and business partners concerning violations of the anti-corruption laws or the Company's anti-corruption compliance code, policies, and procedures.

11. The Company will maintain, or where necessary establish, an effective and reliable process with sufficient resources for responding to, investigating, and documenting allegations of violations of the anti-corruption laws or the Company's anti-corruption

compliance code, policies, and procedures. The Company will handle the investigations of such complaints in an effective manner, including routing the complaints to proper personnel, conducting timely and thorough investigations, and following up with appropriate discipline where necessary.

Enforcement and Discipline

12. The Company will implement mechanisms designed to effectively enforce its compliance code, policies, and procedures, including appropriately incentivizing compliance and disciplining violations.

13. The Company will institute appropriate disciplinary procedures to address, among other things, violations of the anti-corruption laws and the Company's anti-corruption compliance code, policies, and procedures by the Company's directors, officers, and employees. Such procedures should be applied consistently, fairly and in a manner commensurate with the violation, regardless of the position held by, or perceived importance of, the director, officer, or employee. The Company shall implement procedures to ensure that where misconduct is discovered, reasonable steps are taken to remedy the harm resulting from such misconduct, and to ensure that appropriate steps are taken to prevent further similar misconduct, including assessing the internal controls, compliance code, policies, and procedures and making modifications necessary to ensure the overall anti-corruption compliance program is effective.

Third-Party Relationships

14. The Company will institute appropriate risk-based due diligence and compliance requirements pertaining to the retention and oversight of all agents and business partners, including:

- a. properly documented due diligence pertaining to the hiring and appropriate and regular oversight of agents and business partners;
- b. informing agents and business partners of the Company's commitment to abiding by anti-corruption laws, and of the Company's anti-corruption compliance code, policies, and procedures; and
- c. seeking a reciprocal commitment from agents and business partners. The Company will understand and record the business rationale for using a third party in a transaction, and will conduct adequate due diligence with respect to the risks posed by a third-party partner such as a third-party partner's reputations and relationships, if any, with foreign officials. The Company will ensure that contract terms with third parties specifically describe the services to be performed, that the third party is actually performing the described work, and that its compensation is commensurate with the work being provided in that industry and geographical region. The Company will engage in ongoing monitoring of third-party relationships through updated due diligence, training, audits, and/or annual compliance certifications by the third party.

15. Where necessary and appropriate, the Company will include standard provisions in agreements, contracts, and renewals thereof with all agents and business partners that are reasonably calculated to prevent violations of the anti-corruption laws, which may, depending upon the circumstances, include: (a) anti-corruption representations and undertakings relating to compliance with the anti-corruption laws; (b) rights to conduct audits of the books and records of the agent or business partner to ensure compliance with the foregoing; and (c) rights to terminate an agent or business partner as a result of any breach of the anti-corruption laws, the Company's

compliance code, policies, or procedures, or the representations and undertakings related to such matters.

Mergers and Acquisitions

16. The Company will develop and implement policies and procedures for mergers and acquisitions requiring that the Company conduct appropriate risk-based due diligence on potential new business entities, including appropriate FCPA and anti-corruption due diligence by legal, accounting, and compliance personnel.

17. The Company will ensure that the Company's compliance code, policies, and procedures regarding the anti-corruption laws apply as quickly as is practicable to newly acquired businesses or entities merged with the Company and will promptly:

a. train the directors, officers, employees, agents, and business partners consistent with Paragraph 8 above on the anti-corruption laws and the Company's compliance code, policies, and procedures regarding anti-corruption laws; and

b. where warranted, conduct an FCPA-specific audit of all newly acquired or merged businesses as quickly as practicable.

Monitoring, Testing, and Remediation

18. In order to ensure that its compliance program does not become stale, the Company will conduct periodic reviews and testing of its anti-corruption compliance code, policies, and procedures designed to evaluate and improve their effectiveness in preventing and detecting violations of anti-corruption laws and the Company's anti-corruption code, policies, and procedures, taking into account relevant developments in the field and evolving international and industry standards. The Company will ensure that compliance and control personnel have

sufficient direct or indirect access to relevant sources of data to allow for timely and effective monitoring and/or testing of transactions. Based on such review and testing and its analysis of any prior misconduct, the Company will conduct a thoughtful root cause analysis and timely and appropriately remediate to address the root causes.

ATTACHMENT D

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

J&F Investimentos SA (the “Company”) agrees that it will report to the Fraud Section and the United States Attorney’s Office for the Eastern District of New York (the “Fraud Section and the Office”) periodically, at no less than twelve-month intervals during a three-year term, regarding remediation and implementation of the compliance program and internal controls, policies, and procedures described in Attachment C. During this three-year period, the Company shall: (1) conduct an initial review and submit an initial report, and (2) conduct and prepare at least two (2) follow-up reviews and reports, as described below:

a. By no later than one year from the date this Agreement is executed, the Company shall submit to the Fraud Section and the Office a written report setting forth a complete description of its remediation efforts to date, its proposals reasonably designed to improve the Company’s internal controls, policies, and procedures for ensuring compliance with the FCPA and other applicable anti-corruption laws, and the proposed scope of the subsequent reviews. The report shall be transmitted to Christopher Cestaro, Chief - FCPA Unit, Fraud Section, Criminal Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 1400 New York Avenue, NW, Bond Building, Eleventh Floor, Washington, DC 20530, and Alixandra E. Smith, Chief, Business and Securities Fraud Section, United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of New York, 271 Cadman Plaza East, Brooklyn, NY 11201. The Company may extend the time period for issuance of the report with prior written approval of the Fraud Section and the Office.

b. The Company shall undertake at least two follow-up reviews and reports incorporating the Fraud Section and the Office’s views on the Company’s prior reviews and

reports, to further monitor and assess whether the Company's policies and procedures are reasonably designed to detect and prevent violations of the FCPA and other applicable anti-corruption laws.

c. The first follow-up review and report shall be completed by no later than one year after the initial report is submitted to the Fraud Section and the Office. The second follow-up review and report shall be completed and delivered to the Fraud Section and the Office no later than thirty days before the end of the Term.

d. The reports will likely include proprietary, financial, confidential, and competitive business information. Moreover, public disclosure of the reports could discourage cooperation, impede pending or potential government investigations and thus undermine the objectives of the reporting requirement. For these reasons, among others, the reports and the contents thereof are intended to remain and shall remain non-public, except as otherwise agreed to by the parties in writing, or except to the extent that the Fraud Section and the Office determine in their sole discretion that disclosure would be in furtherance of the Fraud Section and the Office's discharge of their duties and responsibilities or is otherwise required by law.

e. The Company may extend the time period for submission of any of the follow-up reports with prior written approval of the Fraud Section and the Office.

ATTACHMENT E

CERTIFICATION

To: United States Department of Justice
Criminal Division, Fraud Section
Attention: Chief – FCPA Unit

United States Attorney's Office
Eastern District of New York
Attention: Chief – Business and Securities Fraud Section

Re: Plea Agreement Disclosure Certification

The undersigned certify, pursuant to Paragraph 13 of the Plea Agreement (the "Agreement") filed on October 14, 2020 in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York, by and between the United States and J&F (the "Company"), that undersigned are aware of the Company's disclosure obligations under Paragraph 13 of the Agreement and that undersigned have disclosed to the Criminal Division's Fraud Section (the "Fraud Section") and the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of New York (the "Office") any and all evidence or allegations of conduct required pursuant to Paragraph 13 of the Agreement, which includes evidence or allegations that may constitute a violation of the FCPA anti-bribery provisions had the conduct occurred within the jurisdiction of the United States ("Disclosable Information"). This obligation to disclose information extends to any and all Disclosable Information that has been identified through the Company's compliance and controls program, whistleblower channel, internal audit reports, due diligence procedures, investigation process, or other processes. The undersigned further acknowledge and agree that the reporting requirement contained in Paragraph 13 and the representations contained in this certification constitute a significant and important component of the Agreement and the Fraud Section and the Office's determination whether the Company has satisfied its obligations under the Agreement.

The undersigned hereby certify respectively that he is the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") of the Company and that he is the Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") of the Company and that each has been duly authorized by the Company to sign this Certification on behalf of the Company.

This Certification shall constitute a material statement and representation by the undersigned and by, on behalf of, and for the benefit of, the Company to the executive branch of the United States for purposes of 18 U.S.C. § 1001, and such material statement and representation shall be deemed to have been made in the Eastern District of New York. This Certification shall also constitute a record, document, or tangible object in connection with a matter within the jurisdiction of a department and agency of the United States for purposes of 18 U.S.C. § 1519, and such record, document, or tangible object shall be deemed to have been made in the Eastern District of New York.

By: _____
José Antonio Batista Costa
CEO
J&F Investimentos SA

Dated: _____

By: _____
Andre Alcântara Ocampos
CFO
J&F Investimentos SA

Dated: _____