

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

15 472

Plaintiff

v.

Civ. Action No. 15-cv-

MUREX INVESTMENTS I, L.P.

Defendant

COMPLAINT FOR RECEIVERSHIP, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND MONEY JUDGMENT

COMES NOW Plaintiff, the United States of America, on behalf of its agency, the United States Small Business Administration, and for its cause of action states as follows:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This is a civil action brought by the United States of America on behalf of its agency, the United States Small Business Administration (hereinafter, "SBA," "Agency" or "Plaintiff"), whose central office is located at 409 Third Street, S.W., Washington, D.C., 20416.
- 2. Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by virtue of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (hereinafter, the "Act"), Sections 363 and 364; and 15 U.S.C. §§ 6891 and 689m, and 28 U.S.C. § 1345.
- 3. Defendant, Murex Investments I, L.P. (hereinafter, "Murex" or "Defendant") is a Delaware limited partnership that was designated with final approval

by SBA as a New Markets Venture Capital Company (hereinafter "NMVCC") in 2003. Murex was assigned NMVCC number 03/83-0003. Murex maintains its principal office and/or principal place of business at 470 Wissahickon Avenue, Suite 126, Philadelphia, PA 19144. Venue is therefore proper under Section 363 of the Act, 15 U.S.C. § 689, and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

- 4. The purposes of the Act and the New Markets Venture Capital Program are to improve and stimulate the national economy, and small business in particular, by stimulating and supplementing the flow of private equity capital and long-term loan funds which small businesses need for sound financing of their operations and growth. 15 U.S.C.§ 661; and to further economic development and the creation of wealth and job opportunities in low-income geographic areas and among individuals living in such areas by encouraging developmental venture capital investments in smaller enterprises primarily located in such areas. 15 U.S.C. §689a.
- 5. Congress authorized the SBA to carry out the provisions of the Act and to prescribe regulations governing the operations of NMVCCs. SBA duly promulgated such regulations which are set forth at Title 13 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 108 (hereinafter, the "Regulations").
- 6. Murex is a limited liability company organized solely for the purpose of performing the functions and conducting the activities contemplated under the Act and Regulations. SBA enters into participation agreements with NMVCCs and provides financing for them to accomplish the purposes of the Act.
 - 7. SBA is authorized to provide Leverage to NMVCCs through the guarantee

of debentures (hereinafter, "Debentures") issued by NMVCCs. 15 U.S.C.§ 689d.

- 8. Leverage provided to an NMVCC in the form of Debentures is subject to the Regulations, including but not limited to the provisions of 13 C.F.R. §§108.1810-1840. Section 108.1830 of the Regulations states that a Licensee has a condition of capital impairment if its capital impairment percentage exceeds 70% percent.
- 9. If an NMVCC violates, or fails to comply with, any of the provisions of the Act or Regulations, all of its rights, privileges, and franchises may be forfeited and the company may be declared dissolved. 15 U.S.C. §689m.
- determines that an NMVCC has engaged, or is about to engage, in any acts or practices which constitute, or will constitute, a violation of the Act or Regulations, the SBA may seek, from the appropriate United States District Court, an order enjoining such act or practices, and upon a showing by the SBA that such NMVCC has engaged, or is about to engage, in any such act or practices, a permanent or temporary injunction, restraining order, or other order, shall be granted without bond. In addition, the Court is authorized to appoint SBA to act as receiver for such NMVCC. 15 U.S.C. § 6891.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 11. Murex was approved and designated by SBA as an NMVCC and entered into a participation agreement with SBA signed in 2003. Murex was assigned NMVCC number 03/83-0003, solely to do business under the provisions of the Act and regulations promulgated thereunder.
 - 12. Murex Investments, LLC is the general partner of Murex.
 - 13. Murex's Operating Agreement, submitted to SBA by Murex, expressly

provides that Murex is being organized solely for the purpose of operating as an NMVCC under the Act and that the operations of the partnership and the actions taken by the partnership and the partners shall be conducted in compliance with the Act.

- 14. In accordance with Section 355 of the Act, 15 U.S.C. §689d, SBA provided Leverage to Murex through the guarantee of Debentures with a face value totaling \$8,250,000 of which \$3.4 million remains outstanding.
- 15. Compliance with the terms of the Leverage provided by SBA required that Murex not have a condition of Capital Impairment, as that term is defined under the Regulations, 13 C.F.R. § 108.1830, which provides that an NMVCC such as Murex has a condition of Capital Impairment if its Capital Impairment percentage exceeds seventy percent (70%).
- 16. SBA determined that Murex had a condition of Capital Impairment as its Capital Impairment percentage exceeded 70%. By correspondence dated February 19, 2015, (the "Notice of Violation"), SBA informed Murex that it had a condition of Capital Impairment in violation of 13 C.F.R. §108.1830 of the Regulations, and pursuant to Section 108.1810(f)(5) of the Regulations, Murex was in default with an opportunity to cure its impairment within 15 days of the date of that letter.
- 17. Murex waived its opportunity to cure by correspondence dated February 25, 2015.
- 18. Murex's condition of Capital Impairment constitutes non-compliance with the terms of its Leverage under 13 C.F.R. §108.1830(a) and is a violation of and an event of default under the Regulations, 13 C.F.R. §108.1810(f)(5). These continuing violations of the Regulations and Act entitle SBA to the remedies thereunder.

- 19. Section 364(b) of the Act, 15 U.S.C. §689m, provides that upon determination and adjudication of noncompliance or violation of the Act or the Regulations, all of the rights, privileges and franchises of an NMVCC may be forfeited and the NMVCC may be declared dissolved.
- 20. Section 363 of the Act, 15 U.S.C. § 689l, provides that, whenever in the judgment of SBA, an NMVCC, or any other person, has engaged in or is about to engage in any acts or practices which constitute or will constitute a violation of the Act or of any rule or regulation under this Act, or of any order issued under the Act, then SBA may make application to the proper district court of the United States or a court subject to the jurisdiction of the United States for an order enjoining such acts or practices, or for an order enforcing compliance with such provision, rule, regulation, or order. Moreover, such courts shall have jurisdiction of such actions, and upon a showing that such NMVCC or other person has engaged or is about to engage in such acts or practices, a permanent or temporary injunction, restraining order, or other order, shall be granted without bond. The Court may also appoint SBA to act as receiver for such NMVCC.

COUNT ONE

Violation of SBA Regulations Capital Impairment 13 C.F.R. §§ 108.1830(b) and 108.1818(f)(5)

- 21. Paragraphs 1 through 20 are incorporated herein by reference.
- 22. Murex has an uncured condition of Capital Impairment as that term is defined under the Regulations, 13 C.F.R. § 108.1830(b). That section provides that an NMVCC such as Defendant has a condition of Capital Impairment if its Capital Impairment percentage exceeds seventy percent (70%).

- 23. SBA has determined, and Murex does not dispute, that its Capital Impairment is in excess of 70%.
- 24. SBA has determined that Murex is not in compliance with its terms of Leverage due to its uncured condition of Capital Impairment.
- 25. As a consequence of the failure to cure the Capital Impairment, by letter dated March 6, 2015, SBA accelerated the amount due under the Debentures and gave Murex fifteen (15) days to cure its condition of Capital Impairment.
- 26. Murex has failed to cure its Capital Impairment and SBA has determined that Murex is in violation of the Regulations and in default under its Debentures.
- 27. As a consequence of Murex's continuing violation of the Regulations, SBA is entitled to the injunctive relief provided under the Act, including the appointment of SBA as Receiver of Murex, and Murex has signed a consent judgment and order agreeing to the relief requested by SBA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays as follows:

- A. That injunctive relief, both preliminary and permanent in nature, be granted restraining Murex, its partners, managers, officers, agents, employees and other persons acting in concert or participation therewith from: (1) making any disbursements of Murex's funds; (2) using, investing, conveying, disposing, executing or encumbering in any fashion any or all funds or assets of Murex, wherever located; or (3) further violating the Act or the Regulations promulgated thereunder;
- B. That this Court determines and adjudicates Murex's noncompliance with the requirements of the NMVCC participation agreement and Debentures and violation of the Act and the Regulations promulgated thereunder;

C. That this Court take exclusive jurisdiction of Murex, and all of its assets, wherever located and appoint SBA as permanent receiver of Murex for the purpose of liquidating all of Murex's assets and satisfying the claims of its legitimate creditors therefrom in the order of priority as determined by this Court, and pursuing causes of action available to Murex, as appropriate.

D. That this Court orders that Murex shall no longer be able to operate pursuant to the participation agreement with SBA as an NMVCC upon the wind-up and conclusion of the receivership thereof.

E. That this Court enter the Consent Judgment in the amount of \$2,588,850.54, consisting of \$2,582,703.93 in principal plus accrued interest as of June 23, 2015 of \$6,146.61 with a per diem rate of \$384.16 for each day thereafter through the date of entry of this Order (as reduced by previous principal and interest payments received by SBA), plus post judgment interest pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961 as of the date of entry of this Order.

F. That this Court grants such other and further relief as may be deemed just and proper.

Dated: 8/19/15

ZANE DAVID MEMEOER United States Attorney

Respectfully submitted,

First Assistant U.S. Attorney

Assistant United States Attorney

Chief, Civil Division

RICHARD M. BERNSTEIN (PA #2/3044)

Assistant United States Attorney 615 Chestnut Street Ste. 1250 Philadelphia PA 19106 (215) 861-8334 (t) (215) 861-8618 (f) richard.bernstein@usdoj.gov

U.S. SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

ARLENE M. EMBREY
Trial Attorney
U.S. Small Business Administration
409 Third Street, S.W. 7th Fl.
Washington DC 20416
(202) 205-6976 (t)
(202) 481-0324 (f)
arlene.embrey@sba.gov

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: U.S. Attorney's Office, E.D.Pa., 615 Chestnut Street, Suite 1250, Philadelphia, PA 19106

Address of Plaintiff: U.S. Attorney's Office, E.D.Pa., 615 Chestnut Street, Suite 1250, Philadelphia	phia, PA 19106			
Address of Defendant: 470 Wissahickon Avenue, Suite 126, Philadelphia, PA 19144				
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:				
(Use Reverse Side	e For Additional Space)			
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporate	ation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?			
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P.	7.1(a)) Yes No 🗸			
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes No ✓			
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:				
Case Number:Judge	Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within	one year previously terminated action in this court?			
	Yes No √			
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a action in this court?	prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated			
	Yes No ✓			
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any en				
terminated action in this court?	Yes No ✓			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civ	il rights case filed by the same individual?			
4. Is this case a second of successive naocas corpus, social security appear, or pro-sectiv				
	Yes No ✓			
CIVIL: (Place / in one category only)				
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:			
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts			
2. FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury			
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation			
4. Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury			
5. Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury			
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)			
7. Civil Rights 7. Products Liability				
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos			
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases			
10. Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)			
11. ✓ All other Federal Question Cases	(indee speeds)			
(Please specify) 13 C.F.R. Section 108.1830(a); 13 C.F.R. Section 108.1810(1)	•			
	·			
ARBITRATION C (Check Appropri				
I, Richard M. Bernstein, AUSA counsel of record do hereby	y certify:			
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge	ge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of			
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.				
	23044			
DATE: (Richald Maßernstein, AUSA)				
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only	Attorney I.D.# y if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.			
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pendi	ng or within one year previously terminated action in this court			
except as noted above.				
DATE: / Richard M. Bernstein AUSA.	Wy 23044			
CIV. 609 (5/2012) Attomey-at-Law	Attorney l.D.#			
(1)				

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : CIVIL ACTION					
vs. : NO. 15-cv-					
MUREX INVESTMENTS I, L.P. :					
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, coplaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regardesignation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.	ne time of ne reverse ding said serve on				
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:					
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.	()				
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	()				
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2	. ()				
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.					
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are common referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	ly ()				
f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.	(X)				
Date Richard M. Bernstein, AUSA America	es of				
215-861-8314215-861-8618Richard.Bernstein@usdoFelephoneFAX NumberE-Mail Address	.gov				

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan Section 1:03 - Assignment to a Management Track

- (a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.
- (b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management or Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
- (c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.
- (d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate or limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.
- (e) Nothing in this Plan is intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 40.1 and 72.1, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS (See §1.02 (e) Management Track Definitions of the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special Management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such cases as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholders; stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions or potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS	3			
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			MUREX INVESTMENTS I, L.P.					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)				
,				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	•	N CASES, USE T	HE LOCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, ARICHARD Bernstein, AUSA Suite 1250, Philadelphia,	, U.S. Attorney's Offic		reet,	Attorneys (If Known)			·	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)		For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAI	PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
☑ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Noi a Party)	·	P	TF DEF	Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In T		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	n of Another State	12 🗇 2	Incorporated and F of Business In A		
				n or Subject of a prign Country	3 (3)	Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	l EO	RFEITURE/PENALTY	T D4377	DIPEGN	Course on animae	
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER	Y 0 625	Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Other LABOR Fair Labor Standards	☐ 422 Appeal ☐ 423 Withdra 28 USG	awal C 157 Y RIGHTS ghts ark ECURITY	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 50 Sanks and Banking 50 450 Commerce 60 460 Deportation 61 470 Racketeer Influenced and 62 Corrupt Organizations 62 480 Consumer Credit 63 490 Cable/Sat TV 64 850 Securities/Commodities/	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	□ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: ☐ 463 Alien Detainee	☐ 740 ☐ 751 ☐ 790	Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act	□ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)		Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land☐ 245 Tort Product Liability☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty	ļ	IMMIGRATION	□ 871 IRS—T 26 USC	hird Party	950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
2 270 Ali Guid Real Property	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	☐ 462 ☐ 465	Naturalization Application Other Immigration Actions				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)							
		Remanded from C Appellate Court	J 4 Reins Reope		er District	J 6 Multidistri Litigation	ict ·	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	113 C F R Section	tute under which you ar 108.1830(a); 13 C luse:	e filing (De .F.R. Se	o not cite jurisdictional state ction 108.1810(f)	tutes unless diver	rsity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2:	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DE	MAND \$		ECK YES only RY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		2	DOCKET	NUMBER	> ()	
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD Richard M. Bernstein, AUSA								
RECEIPT # AM	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date
 - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.