UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TAMPA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

CASE NO. 8:25-cr-573-WFJ-NHA
18 U.S.C. § 1343

LUCAS JOHN MIRTL

INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury charges:

COUNT ONE
(Wire Fraud)

A. Introduction

At times relevant to this Indictment:

1. Defendant LUCAS JOHN MIRTL ("MIRTL") resided in Prosper, Texas. MIRTL worked as a sports agent for a sports marketing and talent management company based in Los Angeles, California (the "Company"). As part of his employment contract, MIRTL agreed to be compensated for his professional services by the Company and to not accept direct payment from his clients.

2. Victim-1 and Victim-2 (collectively the "Victims") were public figures who resided in Dade City, Florida, within the Middle District of Florida. The Victims were clients of the Company and represented by MIRTL as their sports agent. Victim-1 and Victim-2 owned a business (the "Victim Business"), which was a business created for the Victims' benefit.

3. Between August 2023 and January 2025, MIRTL knowingly and intentionally perpetrated a scheme to defraud the Victims of at least $351,797.42 in funds for which he was not entitled to receive.

4. Financial Institution-1 was a financial institution based in San Francisco, California, which used computer servers located outside of Florida to process financial transactions through interstate wires. The Victim Business maintained business accounts with Financial Institution-1, specifically, the accounts ending in -2988 and -8049 (collectively the "Victim Bank Accounts"), which primarily contained funds generated by the Victims' professional activities. MIRTL maintained a personal account with Financial Institution-1, which ended in -3808.

5. Financial Institution-2 was a financial institution based in New York, New York, which used computer servers located outside of Florida to process financial transactions through interstate wires. MIRTL maintained a personal account with Financial Institution-2, which ended in -2008.

6. Payment App-1 was a financial technology company based in San Jose, California, which used computer servers located outside of Florida to process financial transactions through interstate wires. MIRTL maintained a personal account with Payment App-1, which ended in -1623.

B. <u>The Scheme and Artifice</u>

7. Beginning on an unknown date, but no later than on or about August 8, 2023, and continuing through at least on or about January 21, 2025, in the Middle District of Florida and elsewhere, the defendant,

LUCAS JOHN MIRTL,

did knowingly and intentionally devise and intend to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud, and for obtaining money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises about a material fact.

C. The Manner and Means of the Scheme and Artifice

8. The manner and means by which MIRTL sought to accomplish the scheme and artifice included, among others, the following:

a. It was part of the scheme and artifice that MIRTL would and did enter into an agreement with the Company to provide professional services for the Victims;

b. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that as part of his professional services, MIRTL would and did gain access to, and had signatory authority over, the Victim Bank Accounts;

c. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that MIRTL would and did, without authorization, withdraw, and caused to be withdrawn, funds from the Victim Bank Accounts, including transactions sent directly to MIRTL's personal account with Financial Institution-1;

d. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that MIRTL would and did, without authorization, link his personal accounts with Financial Institution-2 and Payment App-1 to the Victim Bank Accounts, which allowed him to use those personal accounts to withdraw money directly from the Victim Bank Accounts;

e. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that MIRTL would and did enter, and cause to be entered, false and fraudulent memo lines on the unauthorized transactions that identified the payments as being for goods and services that MIRTL never provided;

f. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that MIRTL would and did conceal the unauthorized transactions to prevent the Victims from discovering the fraud scheme; and

g. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that the defendant would and did perform acts and make statements to misrepresent, hide, and conceal, and cause to be misrepresented, hidden, and concealed, the purpose of the scheme and the acts committed in furtherance thereof.

D. <u>Execution of the Scheme and Artifice</u>

9. On or about the date set forth below in each Count, in the Middle District of Florida and elsewhere, the defendant,

LUCAS JOHN MIRTL,

for the purpose of executing the aforesaid scheme and artifice, transmitted and caused to be transmitted by means of wire and radio communication in interstate and foreign commerce, a writing, sign, signal, picture, and sound, as described below:

COUNT	DATE	NATURE OF WIRE TRANSMISSION
ONE	10/19/2023	MIRTL caused Financial Institution-1 to transfer, via interstate wire communication, $25,000 from the Victim Bank Account ending in -2988 to MIRTL's personal account with Financial Institution-1 that ended in -3808
TWO	10/4/2024	MIRTL caused Financial Institution-1 to transfer, via interstate wire communication, $14,000 from the Victim Bank Account ending in -8049 to MIRTL's personal account with Financial Institution-1 that ended in -3808
THREE	11/12/2024	MIRTL caused Financial Institution-1 to transfer, via interstate wire communication, $8,750 from the Victim Bank Account ending in -8049 to MIRTL's personal account with Financial Institution-2 that ended in -2008
FOUR	12/3/2024	MIRTL caused Financial Institution-1 to transfer, via interstate wire communication, $3,075 from the Victim Bank Account ending in -8049 to MIRTL's personal account with Payment App-1 that ended in -1623

In violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2.

FORFEITURE

1. The allegations contained in Counts One through Four are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeitures pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c).

2. Upon conviction of a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343, the defendant shall forfeit to the United States of America, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28

U.S.C. § 2461(c), any and all property, real or personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to violation.

3. The property to be forfeited includes, but is not limited to, the following: an order of forfeiture in the amount of at least $351,797.42, which represents the proceeds obtained from the offenses.

4. If any of the property described above, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:

a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;

b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;

c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;

d. has been substantially diminished in value;

e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

the United States shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property under the provisions of 21 U.S.C. § 853(p), as incorporated by 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c).

A TRUE BILL,

____
Foreperson

GREGORY W. KEHOE
United States Attorney

By: ______________________________
Ross Roberts
Assistant United States Attorney

By: ______________________________
Gregory Pizzo
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Economic Crimes Section

FORM OBD-34
December 25

No.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Middle District of Florida
Tampa Division

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

LUCAS JOHN MIRTL

INDICTMENT

Violations: 18 U.S.C. § 1343

A true bill,

____
Foreperson

Filed in open court this 17 day

of December 2025

____
Clerk

Bail $____________________