

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS 2024

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OSE OFFICE

BLF

SAN JOSE DIVISION 226

# THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

VS

GIL VASQUEZ aka "Rhino," RIGOBERTO RAMIREZ Jr. aka "Scooby," SERGIO HERNANDEZ Jr. aka "Mono," JUAN ALVAREZ aka "Yogi," BERTIN MEDRANO aka "Dre," JOSE MEXICANO aka "Armani," SALVADOR MEXICANO aka "Jumpshot," RAUL CORONEL SUAREZ aka "Ray," GUSTAVO GARCIA aka "Tatis," LORENZO GARCIA Jr. aka "Chito," and JOSE SALDANA aka "Chepe"

# **INDICTMENT**

COUNT ONE:	18 U.S.C. § 1962(d) – R	acketeering Conspiracy
A true bill.	17h	
		Foreperson
Filed in open court t	his 18 day of Dopril	A.D. 202 <u></u> 4
		Inited States Magistrate Judge

INDICTMENT

to establish a presence in Salinas and Monterey County in the 1970's, aligning with the Nuestra Familia prison gang and funneling taxes and proceeds from their crimes on the street to Nuestra Familia gang members in prison. Norteño gang members associate with the color red and the number "14", including any variations of the number such as "4" and "XIV," the roman numerals for 14. This is significant because "N" is the 14th letter of the alphabet.

- 2. SAP originated in the 1990's in the Salinas Acosta Plaza apartment complex in Salinas, which is a collection of townhomes at and around the 900 block of Acosta Plaza. The gang claims this apartment complex as its territory. SAP commonly uses the letters and numbers "SAP," "A," "P," "900" and "914," as well as the color red, to identify itself. SAP members also commonly wear clothing of the Atlanta Braves, Oakland A's, and/or the Pittsburgh Pirates baseball teams to represent the gang, given that the symbols of these teams prominently feature the letters "A" and "P." Additionally, Salinas Acosta Plaza Norteño gang members will often identify themselves as "SAP" or "Sapsters."
- 3. "SAP" and "900" (and their variations) are commonly, though not universally, displayed by SAP members in tattoos, graffiti, drawings, hand signs, on clothing, and in photos, rap videos, and social media posts as a way of displaying their affiliation, loyalty, and commitment to the gang.

  Additionally, some SAP members have admitted their gang membership to law enforcement, on social media, or in the court system.
- 4. The primary rivals of SAP are Sureño criminal street gang members. The rivalry between Norteño and Sureño gang members in the city of Salinas has resulted in numerous acts of violence, including murders, attempted murders, shootings, and assaults. Additionally, SAP members very often target victims who they perceive to be Sureño gang members but who are not actually gangaffiliated, which has led to several murders and attempted murders of non-gang-affiliated victims.
- 5. There are typically about 50 active members of SAP at any given time. They have a leadership structure, where a designated "channel" is responsible for overseeing the activities of the gang and communicating with Nuestra Familia leadership. Aspiring SAP members may be encouraged or required to put in "work," including to engage in shootings, robberies, drug sales, or other criminal conduct in order to gain entry into the gang. Historically, there is a formal initiation process into the gang where a prospective member is "jumped in" by getting beaten by a group of members. SAP

members gain admission, earn status and respect, and rise in rank by committing criminal acts that benefit the gang and/or by spending time in jail or prison for the same. SAP members engage in acts of violence, including acts involving murder and assault, against their rivals and, at times, fellow Norteño-affiliated gang members who have allegedly violated the gang's rules. SAP members also traffic in controlled substances and illegally possess and traffic firearms. Violence is often the quickest way to gain admission and/or status for the individual gang member. Members are also expected to pay dues to the gang, with greater dues required of those members who traffic in controlled substances. The dues are used, in part, to pay tribute to the Nuestra Familia and to acquire firearms for distribution, possession, and use by SAP members.

- 6. SAP members meet and work together to carry out illegal activities for the benefit of SAP members and its membership, and also to benefit, more generally, the Norteños and the Nuestra Familia. SAP members fight with other street gangs for control of territory from which they conduct drug trafficking and other crimes, and recruit and intimidate non-gang members. SAP members engage in acts of violence and intimidation to control illegal activities, to claim or maintain established territory, to retaliate against a rival gang or suspected rival gang member, to earn notoriety and respect, to dissuade potential victims and witnesses from reporting crime or cooperating with law enforcement, to discipline fellow gang members, and to send a message to others that they are strong, powerful, and not to be provoked.
- 7. SAP members are expected to protect and uphold its power, territory, and profits by using violence, threats of violence, and intimidation against rival gang members and against members of the public. Through these acts, SAP members maintain a reputation of violence, thereby keeping rivals and members of the public in fear of the gang, discouraging cooperation with law enforcement regarding the gang's criminal activities, and accumulating more power, territory, members, and profits over time.

### The Racketeering Enterprise

8. The Salinas Acosta Plaza Norteño gang (SAP), including its leadership, members, and associates, in the Northern District of California, constituted an "enterprise" as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1961(4), that is, a group of individuals associated in fact, which is engaged in, and the activities of which affect, interstate and foreign commerce. SAP, also referred to herein as

the Enterprise, constituted an ongoing organization whose members and associates function as a continuing unit for a common purpose of achieving the objectives of the Enterprise.

### Purposes of the Enterprise

- 9. The purposes of the SAP Enterprise included the following:
- a) Preserving and protecting the power, territory, reputation, and profits of the Enterprise through the use of acts involving murder, intimidation, violence, threats of violence, and assaults;
- b) Promoting and enhancing the Enterprise and the activities of its members and associates through acts involving murder, robbery, narcotics trafficking, firearms trafficking, and other criminal activities;
- c) Keeping rival gang members, potential informants and witnesses against the Enterprise, other victims and potential victims, fellow gang members, former gang members, and community members in fear of the Enterprise and its members and associates through violence, threats of violence, and acts of vandalism;
- d) Providing the Enterprise's leadership, members, and associates with financial support; and
- e) Protecting the Enterprise's members and associates who committed crimes by hindering, obstructing, and preventing law enforcement officers from identifying the offenders, apprehending the offenders, and successfully prosecuting and punishing the offenders.

### Means and Methods of the Enterprise

- 10. The means and methods by which members and associates of SAP Enterprise conducted and participated in the conduct of the affairs of Enterprise included, but were not limited to the following:
- a) Members and associates of SAP agreed that acts of violence, including acts involving murder, assaults, and other acts of violence would be committed by members and associates of SAP against rival gang members and others when it furthered the status and goals of the Enterprise. Specifically, SAP members and associates armed themselves with firearms and agreed to "hunt" and kill rival gang members. SAP members and associates also targeted individuals viewed as traitors to the

Enterprise and anyone else who disrespected or was perceived to challenge the authority or interests of the Enterprise and its members and associates. Such violence was in service of the Enterprise in that it reinforced the Enterprise's status and sent a message to its own members and associates, and to rival criminal organizations, that the Enterprise was not to be challenged;

- b) Members and associates of SAP protected and expanded the Enterprise's criminal operation by committing, attempting, and threatening to commit acts of violence. Such acts and threats of violence and intimidation also promoted a climate of fear, promoted the authority of Enterprise members, and helped insulate its members from prosecution for the criminal actions of the Enterprise by discouraging others from reporting the actions to law enforcement;
- c) Members and associates discussed the membership and its rules; the status of members and associates, including whether they have been arrested or incarcerated; disciplining members or associates for violating Enterprise rules; coordinating and concealing criminal activity from law enforcement; plans and agreements regarding the commission of futures crimes, as well as ways to conceal those crimes; and using violence and threats of violence, implicit or otherwise, to deter members, associates, victims and witnesses from reporting on the crimes of the Enterprise and its members and associates;
- d) Members and associates of SAP further agreed that members and associates of the Enterprise would engage in illicit activities intended to provide financial benefit to the Enterprise and its members, including committing acts involving robbery, trafficking controlled substances, and trafficking firearms in certain areas of Salinas and Monterey County. The purchase and transportation of controlled substances and firearms, at times, occurred in areas outside of the Northern District of California and outside of the State of California. Specifically, members and associates of the Enterprise acquired controlled substances from suppliers, transported those controlled substances, and sold the controlled substances. At times, portions of the profits from these drug sales were paid to the Enterprise as "dues," which funds were then used to acquire firearms for Enterprise members to use in acts of violence. Profitability from trafficking in controlled substances and firearms increased one's status in the Enterprise;

- e) Members and associates of SAP used landline telephones, mobile telephones, text messaging, email, social media applications, in-person conversations, and other modes of communication to plan and commit crimes on behalf of the Enterprise, including acts involving murder, trafficking controlled substances, illegal possession and trafficking of firearms, robbery, assault, and other crimes, and to conceal these criminal activities by obstructing justice, threatening or intimidating witnesses, and other means;
- f) Members and associates of SAP also sought to raise the gang's public profile and promote its influence by posting photographs and videos on social media of gang members displaying gang signs, and by vandalizing public and private property with gang graffiti.

### The Defendants

- 11. At all times relevant to this Indictment, GIL VASQUEZ aka "Rhino," RIGOBERTO RAMIREZ Jr. aka "Scooby," SERGIO HERNANDEZ Jr. aka "Mono," JUAN ALVAREZ aka "Yogi," BERTIN MEDRANO aka "Dre," JOSE MEXICANO aka "Armani," SALVADOR MEXICANO aka "Jumpshot," RAUL CORONEL SUAREZ aka "Ray," GUSTAVO GARCIA aka "Tatis," LORENZO GARCIA Jr. aka "Chito," and JOSE SALDANA a/k/a "Chepe," were members and associates of the Salinas Acosta Plaza Norteño gang, and therefore members of the Enterprise.
- COUNT ONE: (18 U.S.C. § 1962(d) Racketeering Conspiracy)
- 12. Paragraphs 1 through 11 of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 13. Beginning on a date unknown to the Grand Jury, but no later than 2008, and continuing up through and including the present, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendants,

GIL VASQUEZ aka "Rhino,"
RIGOBERTO RAMIREZ Jr. aka "Scooby,"
SERGIO HERNANDEZ Jr. aka "Mono,"
JUAN ALVAREZ aka "Yogi,"
BERTIN MEDRANO aka "Dre,"
JOSE MEXICANO aka "Armani,"
SALVADOR MEXICANO aka "Jumpshot,"
RAUL CORONEL SUAREZ aka "Ray,"
GUSTAVO GARCIA aka "Tatis,"
LORENZO GARCIA Jr. aka "Chito," and
JOSE SALDANA a/k/a "Chepe"

together with other individuals known and unknown, each being a person employed by and associated with SAP, an Enterprise engaged in, and the activities of which affected, interstate and foreign commerce, unlawfully, knowingly, and willfully conspired to violate Title 18, United States Code, Section 1962(c), that is, to conduct and participate, directly and indirectly, in the conduct of the affairs of the SAP Enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1961(1) and (5), which pattern of racketeering activity consisted of:

- a) multiple acts involving murder, chargeable under California Penal Code Sections 187, 188, 189, 182, 31, 21a, 664, and 653f(b);
- b) multiple acts involving robbery, chargeable under California Penal Code Sections 211, 212, 212.5, 182, 664, 31, and 21a;
- c) multiple offenses involving trafficking of controlled substances, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 846;
- d) multiple acts indictable under Title 18, United States Code, Section 933, relating to trafficking in firearms; and
- e) multiple acts indictable under Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1512 and 1513, relating to tampering with a witness, victim, or an informant, and relating to retaliating against a witness, victim, or an informant, respectively.
- 14. It was a part of the conspiracy that each defendant agreed that a conspirator would commit at least two acts of racketeering activity in the conduct of the affairs of the Enterprise.

### Overt Acts in Furtherance of the Racketeering Conspiracy

- 15. During and in furtherance of the racketeering conspiracy, and to affect the object thereof, the defendants, other members and associates of the SAP Enterprise, and others known and unknown, committed the following acts:
- a) On or about August 24, 2009, LORENZO GARCIA and other Enterprise members robbed a street vendor of his money in Salinas, CA.
- b) On or about April 14, 2011, and April 21, 2011, RIGOBERTO RAMIREZ possessed 10 grams of methamphetamine with the intent to distribute it in Salinas, CA.
  - c) On or about July 8, 2014, GIL VASQUEZ and another individual robbed a man

of his phone and money at knifepoint in Salinas, CA.

- d) On or about July 19, 2014, GUSTAVO GARCIA and LORENZO GARCIA murdered a man perceived to be associated with a rival gang by shooting him near his residence in Salinas, CA.
- e) On or about August 20, 2015, JUAN ALVAREZ robbed a man of his marijuana and money at gunpoint in or around Monterey, CA.
- f) On or about February 25, 2016, GIL VASQUEZ and another individual attempted to murder a man perceived to be associated with a rival gang by shooting him at a residence in Salinas, CA.
- g) On or about August 16, 2019, BERTIN MEDRANO possessed approximately 133 grams of marijuana with the intent to distribute it in Salinas, CA.
- h) On or about December 19, 2019, Enterprise members murdered a man perceived to be associated with a rival gang by shooting him in his vehicle on a street in Salinas, CA.
- i) On or about May 1, 2020, Enterprise members murdered a transient man and attempted to murder two other transient men by shooting them near Carr Lake in Salinas, CA.
- j) On or about September 20, 2020, Enterprise members murdered a transient man and attempted to murder another transient man perceived to be associated with a rival gang by shooting them near Carr Lake in Salinas, CA.
- k) On or about March 23, 2021, Enterprise members murdered a transient man perceived to be associated with a rival gang by shooting him near Carr Lake in Salinas, CA.
- I) On or about April 27, 2021, BERTIN MEDRANO, SALVADOR MEXICANO, and other Enterprise members robbed a man perceived to be associated with a rival gang of his gold chain after assaulting him at a mall in Salinas, CA.
- m) On or about May 13, 2021, JOSE MEXICANO possessed approximately 85 fentanyl-laced counterfeit oxycodone pills (aka "M30" pills) with the intent to distribute them in Salinas, CA.
- n) Between approximately April 2022 and October 2023, JOSE MEXICANO distributed "M30" pills and cocaine in Salinas, CA.

- o) On or about November 28, 2022, SALVADOR MEXICANO possessed approximately 14.8 grams of cocaine and several baggies of marijuana with the intent to distribute them in or around Marina, CA.
- p) On or about December 14, 2022, SERGIO HERNANDEZ possessed "M30" pills and cocaine with the intent to distribute them in Salinas, CA.
- q) Between approximately January 2020 and continuing through the present date, GIL VASQUEZ, RIGOBERTO RAMIREZ, SERGIO HERNANDEZ, JUAN ALVAREZ, JOSE MEXICANO, SALVADOR MEXICANO, and other Enterprise members collected gang dues from Enterprise members in order to pay the Nuestra Familia, purchase firearms and drugs for the Enterprise, and/or pay bail for incarcerated members and associates in or around Salinas, CA.
- r) Between approximately July 2022 and April 2024, JUAN ALVAREZ, SERGIO HERNANDEZ, JOSE MEXICANO, RAUL CORONEL SUAREZ, and other Enterprise members and associates trafficked firearms knowing their use, carrying, or possession would constitute a felony in or around Salinas, CA.
- s) On or about January 23, 2023, Enterprise members shot at perceived associates of a rival gang after shouting words to the effect of "Plaza boys" in Salinas, CA.
- t) On or about January 29, 2023, JOSE SALDANA possessed cocaine, methamphetamine, and "M30" pills with the intent to distribute them in Salinas, CA.
- u) On or about February 26, 2023, an Enterprise member attempted to murder a man outside of a residence in Salinas, CA.
- v) On or about March 19, 2023, Enterprise members robbed a juvenile perceived to be a member of a rival gang of his gold necklace at gunpoint in Salinas, CA.
- w) On or about April 3, 2023, Enterprise members fired eight gunshots at the apartment of a man perceived to be associated with a rival gang in Salinas, CA. The Enterprise member(s) shouted words to the effect of "Acosta Plaza" and fired from a white BMW sedan.
- x) On or about April 6, 2023, GUSTAVO GARCIA possessed approximately 400 "M30" pills and cocaine with the intent to distribute the controlled substances in Salinas, CA.
  - y) On or about April 26, 2023, Enterprise members attempted to murder a man

perceived to have dropped out of the Enterprise as he walked through SAP territory by shooting him in Salinas, CA.

- z) On or about May 20, 2023, Enterprise members murdered a man perceived to be associated with a rival gang by shooting him in Salinas, CA. A woman was also paralyzed after one of the bullets from the shooting traveled through the wall of her apartment and struck her in the spine.
- aa) In or around June 2023, RIGOBERTO RAMIREZ and other Enterprise members solicited the murder of a man perceived to be associated with a rival gang in Salinas, CA.
- bb) Between approximately June 2023 and September 2023, JUAN ALVAREZ possessed marijuana and other controlled substances with the intent to distribute them in Salinas, CA.
- cc) On or about June 24, 2023, an Enterprise member fired a gunshot at an individual during a drug sale dispute in Salinas, CA; the victim suffered a facial injury.
- dd) On or about July 16, 2023, RAUL CORONEL SUAREZ possessed methamphetamine and cocaine with the intent to distribute them in Salinas, CA.
- ee) In July 2023 and August 2023, Enterprise members firebombed an apartment in Salinas, CA, with Molotov cocktails, and then wrote "SAP," "rata" (rat), and "snitch fat f\*\*\*" in graffiti inside the same apartment after the firebombing was reported to police.
- ff) On or about September 27, 2023, LORENZO GARCIA possessed methamphetamine and "M30" pills with the intent to distribute them in Salinas, CA.
- gg) .On or about September 29, 2023, an Enterprise member robbed a home and then a gas station of their money at gunpoint in Salinas, CA.
- hh) On or about October 13, 2023, an Enterprise member robbed a clothing store of its money at gunpoint in Salinas, CA.
- ii) On or about December 2, 2023, RAUL CORONEL SUAREZ and JOSE SALDANA and another Enterprise member murdered a man perceived to have dropped out of the Enterprise by shooting him in Salinas, CA.
- jj) On or about December 31, 2023, an Enterprise member murdered a man by shooting him in the head and chest in Salinas, CA.
  - kk) On or about January 18, 2024, law enforcement recovered 97 handguns, shotguns,

and rifles from a suspected gang stash house in Enterprise territory in Salinas, CA. 2 NOTICE OF SPECIAL SENTENCING FACTORS Number 1: July 19, 2014 Murder of Victim-1 3 On or about July 19, 2014, in the Northern District of California, the defendants, 16: 4 5 GUSTAVO GARCIA aka "Tatis," and LORENZO GARCIA Jr. aka "Chito," 6 with others known and unknown, each aided and abetted by the other, did unlawfully, willfully, and 7 intentionally, with deliberation and premeditation, and with malice aforethought, kill Victim-1, in 8 violation of California Penal Code Sections 187, 188, 189, and 31. 9 Number 2: December 2, 2023 Murder of Victim-2 10 17. On or about December 2, 2023, in the Northern District of California, the defendants, 11 RAUL CORONEL SUAREZ aka "Ray," and JOSE SALDANA a/k/a "Chepe" 12 13 with others known and unknown, each aided and abetted by the other, did unlawfully, willfully, and 14 intentionally, with deliberation and premeditation, and with malice aforethought, kill Victim-2, in violation of California Penal Code Sections 187, 188, 189, and 31. 15 16 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1962(d). FORFEITURE ALLEGATION: (18 U.S.C. § 1963 and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c)) 17 18. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 17 of this Indictment are hereby 18 19 realleged and by this reference fully incorporated herein for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 1963, and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c). 20 19. 21 Upon conviction of the offense alleged in Count One of the Indictment, the defendants, GIL VASQUEZ aka "Rhino," 22 RIGOBERTO RAMIREZ Jr. aka "Scooby," SERGIO HERNANDEZ Jr. aka "Mono," 23 JUAN ALVAREZ aka "Yogi," BERTIN MEDRANO aka "Dre," 24 JOSE MEXICANO aka "Armani," SALVADOR MEXICANO aka "Jumpshot," 25 RAUL CORONEL SUAREZ aka "Ray." GUSTAVO GARCIA aka "Tatis," 26 LORENZO GARCIA Jr. aka "Chito," and 27 JOSE SALDANA a/k/a "Chepe" 28

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

(1) any interest they have acquired or maintained in violation of Section 1962 of Title 18 of the United States Code; (2) any interest in, security of, claim against, or property or contractual right of any kind affording a source of influence over, any enterprise which they have established, operated, controlled, conducted, or participated in the conduct of, in violation of Section 1962 of Title 18 of the United States Code; and (3) any property constituting or derived from any proceeds which they obtained directly or indirectly from racketeering activity, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1962, including but not limited to a forfeiture money judgment, relating to the offenses alleged in Count One, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 1963, and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

shall forfeit to the United States of America, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 1963(a),

If any of the property described above, as a result of any act or omission of the defendants:

- a) Cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- 22b) Has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
- c) Has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
- (d) Has been substantially diminished in value; or
- Has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty; the United States of America shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1963(m) and 2253(b), Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), and by Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

20

DATED: April 18, 2024

A TRUE BILL

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ISMAIL J. RAMSEY United States Attorney

<u>/s</u> LEIF DAUTCH

GEORGE O. HAGEMAN AMANI S. FLOYD Assistant United States Attorneys

INDICTMENT

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDING	
18 U.S.C. 1962(d) Racketeering Corporation Petty	SAN JOSE DIVISION
Minor	C DEFENDANT - U.S
APR 18 2024 Misde	
meand	V
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT PENALTY: Maximum penalty DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 20 years imprisonment AN JOSE OFFICE	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
\$250,000 fine	CP 94 00226 BL
3 years supervised release Forfeiture	
PROSEEDING	DEFENDANT
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	IS NOT IN CUSTODY  Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.
	1) X If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
Homeland Security Investigations  person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	
give name of court	
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district	21
per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
	4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed	5) On another conviction
which were dismissed on motion SHOW of: SHOW	Federal State
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	6) Awaiting trial on other charges  If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
	If answer to (6) is fest, show frame of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same	Has detainer Yes If "Yes" give date
defendant MAGISTRATE CASE NO.	been filed? No J filed
prior proceedings or appearance(s)	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST
before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person	DATE TRANSFERRED   Month/Day/Year
Furnishing Information on this form Ismail J. Ramsey  Ismail J. Ramsey  Other U.S. Agency	TO U.S. CUSTODY 7
Name of Assistant U.S.	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
Attorney (if assigned)  Leif Dautch	-
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INFO	DRMATION OR COMMENTS —
☐ SUMMONS ☐ NO PROCESS* ☒ WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or
Defendant Address:	warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments:	

Case 5:24-cr-00226-BLF \*SEALED\* Document 1 Filed 04/18/24 Page 15 of AO 257 (Rev. 6/78) DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT BY: ☐ COMPLAINT ☐ INFORMATION ☒ INDICTMENT Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SUPERSEDING OFFENSE CHARGED -SAN JOSE DIVISION 18 U.S.C. 1962(d) -- Racketeering Conspiracy DEFENDANT - U.S RIGOBERTO RAMIREZ Jr. aka "Scooby" CLERK, U.S. DISTRICTIONURT NORTH DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE OFFICE DISTRICT COURT NUMBER Maximum penalties: PENALTY: 20 years imprisonment \$250,000 fine 3 years supervised release Forfeiture DEFENDANT IS NOT IN CUSTODY **PROCEEDING** Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding. Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any) 1) X If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges Homeland Security Investigations person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, 2) Is a Fugitive give name of court 3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District) this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District IS IN CUSTODY 4) On this charge this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed 5) On another conviction SHOW which were dismissed on motion Federal State DOCKET NO. 6) Awaiting trial on other charges U.S. ATTORNEY **DEFENSE** If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution this prosecution relates to a If "Yes" Has detainer pending case involving this same give date been filed? defendant MAGISTRATE filed

prior proceedings or appearance(s)  before U.S. Magistrate regarding this		ARREST
defendant were recorded under	\$**18	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
lame and Office of Person urnishing Information on this form Ismail J. Ramsey	_	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency		
lame of Assistant U.S. ttorney (if assigned) Leif Dautch		This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
ADDITIONAL INFO	ORMATIC	ON OR COMMENTS -
PROCESS:		
☐ SUMMONS ☐ NO PROCESS* ☒ WARRANT	Bail Amou	ount:
If Summons, complete following:  Arraignment Initial Appearance  Defendant Address:		defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or deeded, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
	Date/Time	ne: Before Judge:
Comments:		

DATE OF

Month/Day/Year

CASE NO.

Case 5:24-cr-00226-BLF \*SEALED\* Document 1 Filed 04/18/24 Page 16 of 257

APR 18 2024

	711 1 10 2024
DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	DA CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	OA CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT  CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  ORTH DISTRICT OF CALLED AND MANUAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT  SAN JOSE OF FICE  ON ORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDIN	
18 U.S.C. 1962(d) Racketeering Conspiracy Petty	SAN JOSE DIVISION
Minor	DEFENDANT - U.S
Misde mean	Some and the second sec
∑ Felon	7
PENALTY: Maximum penalties: 20 years imprisonment \$250,000 fine 3 years supervised release Forfeiture	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER 226
Torrettore	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY  Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	1) X If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
Homeland Security Investigations	
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp.20, 21; or 40.6 Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of:  U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	4) On this charge  5) On another conviction  Federal State  6) Awaiting trial on other charges  If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE CASE NO.	
prior proceedings or appearance(s)  before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year
Furnishing Information on this form Ismail J. Ramsey	TO U.S. CUSTODY 7
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) Leif Dautch	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INF	ORMATION OR COMMENTS —
SUMMONS NO PROCESS* WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance Defendant Address:	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
VO - 0.0 MIT	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments:	

API	10 200
DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE T	DACHIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION IN INFORMATION SUPERSEMBLY	DISTRICT COURT Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location PICT OF CALIFORNIA ORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SE OFFICE
	I I SAN JOSE DIVISION
18 U.S.C. 1962(d) — Racketeering Conspiracy Petty	
Mino	DEFENDANT -*U.S
Misd mear	
PENALTY: Maximum penalties: 20 years imprisonment \$250,000 fine 3 years supervised release Forfeiture	CR 24 00226 BL
	DEFENDANT
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)  Homeland Security Investigations	IS NOT IN CUSTODY  Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  1) If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	2) S a Fugitive
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District  this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of:	IS IN CUSTODY  4)  On this charge  5)  On another conviction Federal State  6)  Awaiting trial on other charges
DEFENSE	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant  MAGISTRATE CASE NO.  prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this	Has detainer Yes   If "Yes" give date filed    DATE OF ARREST   Month/Day/Year
defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person  Furnishing Information on this form Ismail J. Ramsey	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) Leif Dautch	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
ADDITIONAL INF	FORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
PROCESS:	Bail Amount:
SUMMONS NO PROCESS* WARRANT  If Summons, complete following:  Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or
Defendant Address:	warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments:	

	ocument 1 Filed 04/18/24 Page 18 of 25
AO 257 (Rev. 6/78)	CLALLU DI UNUCH FILED (A)
DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO A	CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: ☐ COMPLAINT ☐ INFORMATION ☒ INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magle Cate 2024 tion
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDING	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Maglscate 12/24 tion  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT  SAN JOSE DISTRICT COURT
18 U.S.C. 1962(d) Racketeering Conspiracy Petty	SAN JOSE DISTRICT COURT SAN JOSE OFFICE
Minor	DEFENDANT - U.S
Misde- meanor	BERTIN MEDRANO aka "Dre"
⊠ Felony	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
PENALTY: Maximum penalties: 20 years imprisonment \$250,000 fine 3 years supervised release	CR 24 00226BLF
Forfeiture	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  If not detained give date any prior
Homeland Security Investigations	summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of:  U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	4) On this charge  5) On another conviction  Graduate State  6) Awaiting trial on other charges  If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant  MAGISTRATE CASE NO. prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this	Has detainer Yes been filed? No If "Yes" give date filed  DATE OF Month/Day/Year  ARREST
defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form Ismail J. Ramsey	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) Leif Dautch	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INFORM	MATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
	I Amount:

iame of Assistant U.S. attorney (if assigned)	Leif Daute	ch		Inis report amends AO 257 previously submitted
PROCESS:		ADDITIONAL IN	FORMATION O	R COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
SUMMONS	NO PROCESS*	X WARRANT	Bail Amount:	
If Summons, comple Arraignment Defendant Address:	ete following: ] Initial Appearance	<b>Y</b>		nt previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
			Date/Time:	Before Judge:
Comments:				

AO 257 (Rev. 6/78)	OF COURT FILED AG
DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: ☐ COMPLAINT ☐ INFORMATION ☒ INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDIN	SANDOSEPALSAMFORMA
18 U.S.C. 1962(d) Racketeering Conspiracy Petty Minor	
☐ Misde mean	A
Felon	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
PENALTY: Maximum penalties: 20 years imprisonment \$250,000 fine 3 years supervised release Forfeiture	R 24 00226 BL
roneiture	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY  Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)  Homeland Security Investigations	If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	- 2) Sa Fugitive
give name of court	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
	4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of:  SHOW DOCKET NO.	5) On another conviction Federal State 6) Awaiting trial on other charges
U.S. ATTORNET	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant  MAGISTRATE CASE NO. prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this	Has detainer  Yes  If "Yes" give date filed  DATE OF  Month/Day/Year  ARREST
defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form Ismail J. Ramsey	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned)  Leif Dautch	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INF	ORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
SUMMONS NO PROCESS* WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following:  Arraignment Initial Appearance  Defendant Address:	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
	Date/Time: Before Judge:

Comments:

1	7

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED   ©LEGGSEDIN	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	ISTRICT COURT T OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION SE OFFICE
Minor	
Misde	9-
☐ mean	7
PENALTY: Maximum penalties:	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
20 years imprisonment \$250,000 fine	CR 24 UULAU
3 years supervised release	
Forfeiture	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  1) If not detained give date any prior
Homeland Security Investigations	summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	2) S a Fugitive
☐ give name of court	
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district	
per (circle one) FRCrp.20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
	4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of	
charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion SHOW	5) 🔀 On another conviction
of: DOCKET NO.	6) Awaiting trial on other charges
U.S. ATTORNEY	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a	
pending case involving this same	Has detainer Yes If "Yes" give date
defendant MAGISTRATE MAGISTRATE CASE NO.	
prior proceedings or appearance(s)  before U.S. Magistrate regarding this	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST
defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year
Furnishing Information on this form Ismail J. Ramsey	TO U.S. CUSTODY
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) Leif Dautch	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
	ORMATION OR COMMENTS —
PROCESS:	
☐ SUMMONS ☐ NO PROCESS* ☒ WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or
Defendant Address:	warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments:	



A STATE OF THE STA	
DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE T	A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT  SUPERSEDING	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OFFENSE CHARGED CLEDING	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18 U.S.C. 1962(d) Racketeering Conspiracy	SAN JOSE DIVISION
SAN MASE	SAN JOSE DIVISION  ISTRICT COURT T OF CALIF PRINCHNDANT - U.S  PAUL CORONEL SLIAREZ aka "Ray"
Misde means	or RAUL CORONEL SUAREZ aka "Ray"
∑ Felony	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
PENALTY: Maximum penalties: Life imprisonment \$250,000 fine 5 years supervised release	CR 24'00226BL
Forfeiture	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  1) If not detained give date any prior
Homeland Security Investigations	summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20; 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
	IS IN CUSTODY 4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of:  U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	5) On another conviction  Federal State  6) Awaiting trial on other charges  If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE CASE NO.	l liled
prior proceedings or appearance(s)  before U.S. Magistrate regarding this	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST
defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person	DATE TRANSFERRED   Month/Day/Year
Furnishing Information on this form Ismail J. Ramsey	TO U.S. CUSTODY 7
	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
Attorney (if assigned) Leif Dautch	
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INFO	ORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
SUMMONS NO PROCESS* WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or
Defendant Address:	warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments	



DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE 1	TO A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY:   COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Load or
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDI	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF PALIFORNIA
18 U.S.C. 1962(d) Racketeering Conspiracy Peti	SAN JOSE DIVISION 2024
Min	or DEFENDANT - U.S DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA GUSTAVO GARCIA aka "Tatis"
Mis	de-
☐ mea	GUSTAVO GARCIA aka "Tatis"
PENALTY: Maximum penalties:	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
Life imprisonment	CR 24 (10)226 A
\$250,000 fine 5 years supervised release	
Forfeiture	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  1) X If not detained give date any prior
Homeland Security Investigations	summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp.20, 2.1, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY  4)  On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of:  U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	5) On another conviction
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant  MAGISTRAT  CASE NO.	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
prior proceedings or appearance(s)  before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year
Name and Office of Person  Furnishing Information on this form  Ismail J. Ramsey	TO U.S. CUSTODY
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agenc	y
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned)  Leif Dautch	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL IN	FORMATION OR COMMENTS —
SUMMONS NO PROCESS* WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following:	
Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Defendant Address:	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments	
Comments:	

710 207 (1101: 0710)	A ALANIDI
DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE T	DA PHINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED	SAN JOSE DIVISION
18 U.S.C. 1962(d) Racketeering Conspiracy	SAN JOSE DIVISION  SAN JOSE DIVISION  OF CALLE OFFICE OFFI
	7
PENALTY: Maximum penalties: Life imprisonment \$250,000 fine 5 years supervised release Forfeiture	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER 226 BL
	DEFENDANT
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)  Homeland Security Investigations	IS NOT IN CUSTODY  Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  1) If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	2) Is a Fugitive
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one): FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY  4)  On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of:  U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	5)  On another conviction Federal State
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant  MAGISTRATE CASE NO.	
prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person  Furnishing Information on this form	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned)  Other U.S. Agency  Leif Dautch	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INF	ORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
☐ SUMMONS ☐ NO PROCESS* ☑ WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance Defendant Address:	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments	



DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE T	TO A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDI	Italilo di Diotriot obart, ariardi dadgerinagionate Zecomer.
	SAN JOSE DIVISION
18 U.S.C. 1962(d) Racketeering Conspiracy NOP	SAN JOSE DIVISION THE DISTRICT COURT OF SAN JOSE DIFFERED ANNIAU.S
Miso	
X Feld	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
PENALTY: Maximum penalties: Life imprisonment \$250,000 fine 5 years supervised release	CR 24 00226 BL
Forfeiture	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  1) If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
Homeland Security Investigations	
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District  this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of:  U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	IS IN CUSTODY 4)  On this charge 5) On another conviction Federal State
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant  MAGISTRAT CASE NO.  prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	Has detainer Yes If "Yes" give date filed
Name and Office of Person  Furnishing Information on this form Ismail J. Ramsey	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agence	.y
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) Leif Dautch	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL IN	FORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
SUMMONS NO PROCESS* WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following:  Arraignment Initial Appearance  Defendant Address:	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments	

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Instructions: Effective November 1, 2016, this Criminal Cover Sheet must be complicated of COURT SAN JOSE OFFICE OF CALIFORNIA

CASE NAME:

CASE NUMBER:

USA v. GIL VASQUEZ, et al

Is This Case Under Seal?

No

**Total Number of Defendants:** 

1

8 or more ✓

Does this case involve ONLY charges

under 8 U.S.C. § 1325 and/or 1326?

Yes

Venue (Per Crim. L.R. 18-1):

SF OAK SJ

Is this a potential high-cost case?

Yes

Is any defendant charged with a death-penalty-eligible crime?

Yes

Is this a RICO Act gang case?

Yes \

Assigned AUSA

(Lead Attorney): LEIF DAUTCH

Date Submitted: April 18, 2024

Comments:

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Instructions: Effective November 1, 2016, this Criminal Cover Sheet must be complicated of COURT SAN JOSE OFFICE OF CALIFORNIA

1

Yes

USA v. GIL VASQUEZ, et al Is This Case Under Seal?

**Total Number of Defendants:** 

CASE NAME:

Does this case involve ONLY charges

under 8 U.S.C. § 1325 and/or 1326?

Venue (Per Crim. L.R. 18-1):

Is this a potential high-cost case?

Is any defendant charged with a death-penalty-eligible crime?

Is this a RICO Act gang case?

Comments:

Assigned AUSA (Lead Attorney): LEIF DAUTCH CASE NUMBER:

No

Yes

SF OAK

Yes

Yes \

Date Submitted: April 18, 2024

8 or more ✓

SJ

RESET FORM

SAVE PDF