United States District Court

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FILET AUG 15 2019

R 19 376 2

VENUE: OAKLAND

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

V.

THOMAS HENDERSON, KEXING HU, a/k/a PETER HU, and COOPER LEE,

DEFENDANT(S).

INDICTMENT

18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy; 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 1001(a) – False Statements to a Government Agency; 18 U.S.C. § 2 – Aiding and Abetting; 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) – Forfeiture Allegation

A true bill. Foreman

15 day of AUGUIT Filed in open court this 2019 イモイイ Clerk δ no buil lam Bail, \$ e failer

,		
	٤	
,		
	1	DAVID L. ANDERSON (CABN 149604)
	2	United States Attorney
	3	AUG 7
	4	NORTH DUSANY. SOL
	5	NORTH DIST. DISTRICT COURT OAKLAND OF CALIFORNIA
	6	I CE CHNIA
	7	
	8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	10	OAKLAND DIVISION
	11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO. CR 19 376
	12	Plaintiff,
	13	v.) 18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy; v.) 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit
	14	THOMAS HENDERSON, / Wire Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud;
	15	a/k/a PETER HU, and 18 U.S.C. § 1001(a) – False Statements to
	16) 18 U.S.C. § 2 – Aiding and Abetting;
	17	Defendants.)18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) – Forfeiture Allegation
•	18	OAKLAND VENUE
	19	[UNDER SEAL]
	20	
	21	
	22	<u>INDICTMENT</u>
	23	The Grand Jury charges:
	24	Introductory Allegations
	25	At all times relevant to this Indictment:
	26	1. The defendant THOMAS HENDERSON resided in Oakland, California, and he founded,
	27	owned, and controlled San Francisco Regional Center, LLC ("SFRC"), an entity he used to promote,
	28	solicit, and manage investments from foreign investors through the EB-5 visa program. From 2011 to
		INDICTMENT

2017, THOMAS HENDERSON, SFRC, and other related entities THOMAS HENDERSON owned and 1 2 controlled raised more than \$110 million from more than 200 foreign investors. These foreign investors 3 sought to be eligible for permanent legal residency in the United States under the EB-5 visa program, a 4 program created by statute and administered by the United States Citizenship and Immigration Service 5 ("USCIS"). Granting of permanent legal residency was dependent in part on the creation of jobs for United States citizens and residents through the business enterprise funded by the investment, and the 6 7 EB-5 program requires the creation of at least 10 jobs for each foreign investor. In order to meet the 8 goals stated in the SFRC EB-5 project business plans, and for each investor to be considered for 9 permanent legal residency, the SFRC EB-5 projects needed to create a total of more than 2,000 jobs. As described below, THOMAS HENDERSON and others abused the EB-5 visa program by making false 10 representations to the investors to solicit the investments, misappropriating and diverting the funds 11 raised from the foreign investors, and by making false statements to the USCIS. 12

2. 13 The investment funds were purportedly raised for seven different business enterprises, 14 and investors were told that their investment capital was going to be used to fund and capitalize the 15 business enterprise connected with their investment. Instead of using investor funds to fund and capitalize the investors' businesses, THOMAS HENDERSON, with the assistance and participation of 16 17 KEXING HU, COOPER LEE, and others, diverted millions of dollars of investor money. Misappropriated funds were used to purchase commercial properties controlled by THOMAS 18 19 HENDERSON and his associates, including the 2011 purchase of the "Tribune Tower," a historic commercial building in downtown Oakland. Investor funds were used to purchase other commercial 20 21 buildings in Oakland, including the "I. Magnin Building" located at 2001 Broadway, the "Dufwin 22 Building" located at 519 17th Street, and a warehouse located at 1700 20th Street. Investor funds were 23 also used to purchase a dairy product processing plant in Tipton, California.

3. Nearly all of the investor funds raised by THOMAS HENDERSON through
 SFRC-sponsored EB-5 projects were improperly comingled into SFRC bank accounts controlled by
 THOMAS HENDERSON, leading to the misappropriation of funds for purposes other than each
 investor's chosen business venture. Investor funds were used to start and fund business ventures
 separate from the existing EB-5 projects, including restaurants, a website marketing company, an

INDICTMENT

athletic shoe company, a sausage company, and other ventures in which THOMAS HENDERSON and
his associates had or intended to have an ownership interest. Other funds were misappropriated from
newer EB-5 projects to fund the operation of prior, money-losing EB-5 ventures, contrary to what
investors were told about the use of their investment capital. The investors in six of the seven EB-5
projects have lost most of their investment capital, and many investors are unlikely to receive permanent
legal residency because the SFRC EB-5 projects in which they invested were not sufficiently capitalized
and failed to create new jobs.

8

The EB-5 Visa Program

9 4. United States Citizenship and Immigration Services ("USCIS") is a component of the
10 United States Department of Homeland Security that, among other functions, issues visas and residency
11 permits for foreign nationals. USCIS administers a federal immigration program called the
12 Employment-Based Immigration, Fifth Preference Category, referred to as the EB-5 visa program. The
13 EB-5 program was created by the U.S. Congress in 1990 to promote economic growth and job creation
14 in the United States by encouraging foreign investment.

5. The EB-5 program allows foreign nationals and their immediate family members a path
to permanent residency in the United States by investing \$1 million in a New Commercial Enterprise as
defined by USCIS. If the New Commercial Enterprise is located in a Targeted Employment Area,
which USCIS defines as a rural area or area experiencing unemployment of at least 150 percent of the
national average, the minimum investment amount under the EB-5 program is lowered to \$500,000.
Certain areas in the Northern District of California have been designated as Targeted Employment
Areas, including portions of Oakland, California.

6. The EB-5 process for a foreign investor (also referred to as the "Beneficiary" of the visa
program) starts with submission of a Form I-526, Immigrant Petition by Alien Investor, on behalf of the
investor. Among other things, the Form I-526 requires the identification and a description of the
Commercial Enterprise that will receive the investment by the Beneficiary. In addition to the Form
I-526, the Beneficiary must also submit supporting documentation providing evidence of establishment
of a lawful business entity; evidence that the Beneficiary has invested or is in the process of investing
the required amount and that the capital was obtained through lawful means; evidence that the

INDICTMENT

Beneficiary's investment in the enterprise will create at least 10 full-time positions for U.S. citizens,
permanent residents, or aliens lawfully authorized to be employed; and evidence that the Beneficiary
will be engaged in the management of the enterprise. If USCIS determines that the Beneficiary and the
described Commercial Enterprise qualifies for the EB-5 program, it approves the Form I-526 petition
and directs the Beneficiary to submit the Form I-485, Application to Register Permanent Residence or
Adjust Status. If this application is approved, the Beneficiary is granted Conditional Permanent
Residency for a period of two years.

7. 8 In order to remove the conditions and obtain permanent residency for the Beneficiary and 9 immediate family, about two years after the Beneficiary has obtained Conditional Permanent Residency 10 status the Beneficiary must file the Form I-829, Petition by Entrepreneur to Remove Conditions. The Form I-829 requires, among other things, supporting documentation and evidence regarding the date and 11 amount of investment by the Beneficiary; the number of jobs created attributable to the Beneficiary's 12 13 capital investment; and evidence that the commercial enterprise exists and was sustained throughout the 14 period of conditional permanent residence. If the Form I-829 petition is approved, USCIS directs the 15 beneficiary to a USCIS district office to obtain permanent residency cards.

Regional Centers are defined by USCIS as any economic entity, public or private, which 16 8. is involved with the promotion of economic growth, improved regional productivity, job creation, and 17 increased domestic capital investment. Started by Congress in 1992 as a pilot program, a Regional 18 19 Center may sponsor EB-5 projects. Under the Regional Center program, each Beneficiary under the EB-5 program must invest in a particular New Commercial Enterprise, but EB-5 projects sponsored by a 20Regional Center can use a more expansive definition of job creation that allows for "direct" and 21 "indirect" jobs. In order to be designated as a Regional Center by USCIS, the applicant submits the 22 23 Form I-924, Application for Regional Center. Among other things, the Form I-924 requires the name 24 and identifying information for the Regional Center's principal; and a description of the Regional Center's activities; and information regarding type of industries it will promote. In addition to the Form 25 26 I-924, the principal of the Regional Center must also submit supporting documentation and evidence, 27 including a business plan that identifies fees, profits, surcharges, or other remittances that will be paid to the Regional Center or any of its principals or agents. 28

INDICTMENT

San Francisco Regional Center and the EB-5 Projects

2 9. San Francisco Regional Center, LLC ("SFRC") was a California limited liability 3 corporation owned by THOMAS HENDERSON and others, and controlled by THOMAS 4 HENDERSON. SFRC was approved by USCIS to operate as a Regional Center on or about November 5 30, 2011. From in or about November 2011 until in or about March 2017, when SFRC was placed under the control of a court-appointed receiver, SFRC was located and operated in Oakland, California. 6 7 During that time, SFRC was a sponsoring Regional Center affiliated with seven EB-5 projects, and from 8 2011 through 2016 SFRC solicited and received funds from foreign investors. Through SFRC and in his 9 individual capacity, THOMAS HENDERSON had direct or indirect ownership interests in, and had 10 legal control over, each of the limited partnerships that purported to own and operate the EB-5 projects.

10. Comprehensive Care of Oakland, LP ("CCOO") was an EB-5 project that obtained more
 than \$4 million from foreign investors from 2011 to 2013 during the time it was sponsored by SFRC.
 CCOO owned and operated an acute care nursing facility in Oakland, California. THOMAS
 HENDERSON partly owned and exercised control over CCOO through SFRC. CCOO raised investor
 money first as a stand-alone EB-5 project that was not affiliated with a USCIS-approved regional center,
 then beginning in or about 2011, as a project affiliated with and sponsored by SFRC.

17 11. CallSocket, LP ("CallSocket I") was an EB-5 project sponsored by SFRC that obtained
more than \$19 million from foreign investors from in or about 2012 to 2013. According to documents
provided to foreign investors and USCIS, CallSocket I was intended to start and operate a call center
business providing third party customers with services including customer support and telemarketing.
THOMAS HENDERSON partly owned and controlled CallSocket I through his ownership and control
of SFRC. CallSocket I operated in the Tribune Tower building in Oakland.

12. CallSocket II, LP ("CallSocket II") was an EB-5 project sponsored by SFRC that
obtained more than \$17 million from foreign investors from in or about 2012 to 2014. According to
documents provided to foreign investors and USCIS, CallSocket II was also intended to operate a call
center business providing third party customers with services including sales and customer support,
though it would operate in a separate location than CallSocket I and would function as a separate
partnership. THOMAS HENDERSON partly owned and controlled CallSocket II through his

1

ownership and control of SFRC. CallSocket II operations began in the Tribune Tower in Oakland.

2 13. CallSocket III, LP ("CallSocket III") is an EB-5 project sponsored by SFRC that obtained 3 more than \$21 million from foreign investors from in or about 2013 to 2016. According to documents provided to foreign investors and USCIS, CallSocket III was also intended to operate a call center 4 5 business providing third party customers with services including sales and customer support, though it 6 would operate in a separate location than CallSocket I and CallSocket II, and would function as a 7 separate partnership. THOMAS HENDERSON partly owned and controlled CallSocket III through his ownership and control of SFRC. CallSocket III had not begun any significant operations before a court-8 9 appointed receiver took control of the CallSocket entities in March 2016.

North American 3PL, LP ("NA3PL") is an EB-5 project sponsored by SFRC that 10 . 14. 11 obtained more than \$23 million from foreign investors from in or about 2014 to 2016. According to documents provided to foreign investors and USCIS, NA3PL would loan the entire amount of the 12 investors' capital to another entity, NA3PL, LLC, which would create jobs using the investment capital 13 by starting and operating a warehousing and logistics business in Oakland, California. THOMAS 14 HENDERSON partly owned and controlled NA3PL through his ownership and control of SFRC, and he 15 partly owned and controlled the job-creating entity NA3PL, LLC. NA3PL, LLC operated in a 16 17warehouse at 1700 20th Street, Oakland, California.

West Oakland Plaza, LP is an EB-5 project sponsored by SFRC that obtained more than
 \$2 million from foreign investors from in or about 2015 to 2016. According to documents provided to
 foreign investors and USCIS, West Oakland Plaza would loan the entire amount of the investors' capital
 to another job-creating entity intended to be retail grocery and food service business operating in a
 shopping mall in Oakland, California. THOMAS HENDERSON partly owned and controlled West
 Oakland Plaza through his ownership and control of SFRC.

16. California Gold Medal, LP ("CGM") is an EB-5 project sponsored by SFRC that
obtained more than \$24 million from foreign investors from in or about 2015 to 2017. According to
documents provided to investors and USCIS, CGM would loan the entire amount of the investors'
capital to another entity, Crystal Golden, LLC, which would create jobs by starting and operating a milk
processing, packaging, and exporting business. THOMAS HENDERSON partly owned and controlled

INDICTMENT

1

CGM and Crystal Golden, LLC through his ownership and control of SFRC. CGM funds were used to
 purchase a dairy processing plant in Tipton, California. The milk processing business had not begun any
 significant operations before a court-appointed receiver took control of the business in March 2017.

Payments by Foreign Investors to SFRC's EB-5 Projects

5 17. SFRC employees and sales agents actively solicited foreign investors in these seven
6 projects, including by operating SFRC offices in Shanghai, China, Beijing, China, and Ho Chi Min City,
7 Vietnam, and paying fees and commissions to brokers who solicited investors. From 2011 to 2017,
8 THOMAS HENDERSON, through SFRC and the EB-5 project entities listed above, obtained more than
9 \$110 million dollars from more than 200 foreign investors. Most of the investors were residents of the
10 People's Republic of China. Other investors were residents of India and Vietnam.

11 18. Each of these foreign investors paid approximately \$500,000 in capital, and many paid additional fees, called "syndication fees" in offering documents, of up to \$60,000 per investment. 12 13 According to the USCIS regulations governing the EB-5 visa program and consistent with the representations made to investors and USCIS in connection with the SFRC projects, the capital 14 15 investment obtained from foreign investors must be used to capitalize the New Commercial Enterprise 16 corresponding to that investor. USCIS regulations and guidance stated that administrative costs related to operation of the regional center, and any expenses or commissions paid for finding and soliciting 17 18 investors, must not be paid with the capital investment amount. The investment documents provided by 19 SFRC to the investors and prepared at the direction of THOMAS HENDERSON indicated that the 20 capital investment would not be used for commissions, expenses associated with marketing and offering 21 the investment, and expenses for forming the partnerships that would obtain EB-5 project investments.

19. Each investor in the seven EB-5 projects received, among other documents and
information, a Private Placement Memorandum that described the investment and the use of funds. For
example, the California Gold Medal Private Placement Memorandum stated that the investment capital
raised by CGM, up to \$50 million for the offering, will be used for a \$50 million loan to the job creating
entity Crystal Golden, LLC to fund the development, construction and initial operating expenses of a
milk processing and packaging facility in Tipton, California. In another example, the NA3PL Private
Placement Memorandum stated that the investment capital raised by NA3PL, up to \$20 million for the

4

offering, will be used for a \$20 million loan to the job creating entity NA3PL, LLC to fund the
 development, construction and initial operating expenses of a warehouse and logistics facility in
 Oakland, California.

20. SFRC employees, under the direction and supervision of THOMAS HENDERSON,
prepared business plans for each EB-5 project, and these business plans were submitted to USCIS in
support of Form I-526 petitions submitted by each foreign investor. These business plans described the
proposed use of investor capital paid by the foreign investors. Each plan described using the funds to
capitalize the new commercial enterprise and to create jobs.

The Defendants

10 21. Defendant THOMAS HENDERSON, age 70, resided in Oakland, California. He
11 founded, owned, and controlled SFRC, and through SFRC he owned and controlled each of the seven
12 EB-5 projects and related entities described above. From 2010 to 2017, he was the managing member
13 of SFRC, and either THOMAS HENDERSON or SFRC was the managing member or general partner of
14 the entities related to the EB-5 projects as well as numerous entities separate from the EB-5 projects that
15 also received funds from foreign investors' capital.

22. Defendant KEXING HU, also known as Peter Hu, age 40, resided in Ningbo, China. He
operated and managed the SFRC office in Shanghai, China that solicited foreign investors for the SFRC
EB-5 projects in China. HU was paid by SFRC, directly and through two entities he controlled.

Defendant COOPER LEE, age 42, resided in Oakland, California. A company he owned
 and controlled received funds from foreign investors' capital though it was not an approved or disclosed
 EB-5 project. He also owned and controlled Network Technologies International, Inc. and Binary
 Software Solutions, Inc., entities that received approximately \$2.4 million from capital paid by
 California Gold Medal investors. He personally withdrew more than \$290,000 of those diverted funds.

The Scheme to Defraud

25 24. From a time unknown but no later than December 2011 and continuing through in or
about March 2017, defendants THOMAS HENDERSON, KEXING HU, COOPER LEE, and others,
engaged in a scheme, plan, and artifice to defraud investors as to a material matter, and to obtain money
and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promise, by

24

9

making materially false and misleading statements, and failing to disclose material facts with a duty to
 disclose.

3 25. The objectives of the scheme to defraud were, among other objectives, (a) to induce 4 foreign investors to invest in and pay fees to the EB-5 projects by making false claims that the investor 5 funds would be used according to project business plans, offering materials, and other documents and information provided to investors and submitted to USCIS, (b) to discourage investors from 6 7 withdrawing their investments by making false statements about the use of investor funds, ownership of property purchased with investor funds, and other aspects of the investment and operation of the 8 9 businesses, (c) to misappropriate investor money and fees paid into later EB-5 projects to pay for earlier EB-5 projects that were losing money or to fund future projects unrelated to any approved EB-5 project, 10 (d) to misappropriate investor money for unauthorized and undisclosed uses, including other business 11 12 ventures and the purchase of THOMAS HENDERSON's personal residence in Oakland, California; and (e) to conceal the manner in which money from investors was being used through false statements to 13 investors, USCIS, and others. 14

15 26. Through the scheme to defraud, and false statements and omissions, THOMAS
16 HENDERSON, KEXING HU, COOPER LEE, and others, obtained money and property.

17 27. In furtherance of the scheme to defraud, THOMAS HENDERSON, KEXING HU, and
18 COOPER LEE used a variety of means and methods, including:

19a.Making false statements in the solicitation of investors for the seven EB-5 projects,20including false statements regarding the use of funds, the value and ownership of21properties that THOMAS HENDERSON had purchased with investor funds, the manner22in which investor funds would be withdrawn from escrow accounts, and false guarantees23of return of investor capital;

- b. Diverting investor money from CCOO to SFRC in order to purchase a commercial
 building located at 409 13th Street in Oakland, California, known as the "Tribune
 Tower," contrary to the offering documents provided to CCOO investors and submitted
 to USCIS, and failing to disclose the diversion of funds paid by investors in CCOO;
 - c. Diverting investor funds from CallSocket LP, CallSocket II, LP, and CallSocket III, LP

28

	11	
1		to SFRC, and then using those funds to pay for business ventures and undisclosed
2		expenses unrelated to the EB-5 projects described to USCIS and the investors, including
. 3		the diversion of more than \$2 million to start and operate two Oakland, California
4		restaurants from in or about 2012 through 2015;
5	d.	Diverting investor funds to the purchase of a house in Oakland, California owned by
6		THOMAS HENDERSON and another individual and used as his personal residence, and
7		to fund an account used to make the interest payments on the mortgage loan for that
8		residence;
9	e.	Making false statements, and providing false bank statements, to CallSocket II investors
10		to make it appear that the CallSocket II investor funds had not been transferred to SFRC
11		and already spent on unauthorized and undisclosed expenses;
12	f.	Diverting more than \$17 million of the \$21 million raised from investors for the North
13		America 3PL, LP EB-5 project, and using those funds for other projects and businesses,
14		including more than \$8 million used to fund the operation of CallSocket I and the other
15		CallSocket projects, and transferring funds to entities in which THOMAS HENDERSON
16		had an ownership interest.
17	g.	Diverting more than \$2.4 million in investor capital provided by investors in the
18		California Gold Medal LP EB-5 project, routing the funds through entities owned and
19		controlled, directly or indirectly, by COOPER LEE, and spending more than \$1.6 million
20		of these diverted funds to continue to fund other businesses outside the EB-5 projects in
21		which THOMAS HENDERSON and LEE had an ownership interest.
22	h.	Making false statements and providing false written materials that were provided to
23		USCIS in order to secure the approval of the foreign investors' petitions for Conditional
24		Permanent Residency, including falsely stating that investment funds would be used for
25		the start-up and operation of the EB-5 project described in the submitted business plans.
26	COUNT ONE	E: (18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy)
27	Paragr	aphs 1 through 27 of this Indictment are re-alleged and incorporated as if fully set forth
28	here.	

28. Beginning no later than in or about December 2011, and continuing until at least in or
 about March 2017, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendants,

THOMAS HENDERSON, KEXING HU, a/k/a "Peter Hu," and COOPER LEE,

5 and others, did knowingly conspire to commit offenses against the United States, namely (a) devising 6 and intending to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud as to a material matter and to obtain money and 7 property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and by 8 concealment of material facts, and, for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice and attempting 9 to do so, did transmit and cause to be transmitted, by means of wire communication in interstate and 10 foreign commerce, certain writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, in violation of Title 18, United 11 States Code, Section 1343; (b) making a materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement and 12 representation in a matter within the jurisdiction of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, an agency within the executive branch of the Government of the 13 United States, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001(a)(2); and (c) engaging in 14 15 monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity, in violation of Title 18, 16 United States Code, Section 1957.

17 29. The objectives of the conspiracy were, among other things, (a) to obtain investment funds 18 from foreign investors using false and misleading statements, and omissions of material facts; (b) to 19 divert investor funds raised for specific EB-5 projects to other EB-5 projects, to purchase properties 20owned or controlled by THOMAS HENDERSON, and to fund other business ventures; (c) to discourage 21 investors from withdrawing funds from EB-5 projects by misleading investors about the use of funds 22 and business prospects of the enterprise; (d) to obtain USCIS approval of investor petitions by providing false information and making false statements regarding the use of investor funds and other facts; (e) to 23 24 divert foreign investor funds from the NA3PL and California Gold Medal EB-5 projects to other entities and business ventures and for personal use; (f) to disguise the diversion of CGM investor capital through 25 26 sham transactions supported by fake contracts and invoices, and by using sham entities to disguise the 27 ownership and control of bank accounts; and (g) to launder the proceeds of unlawful wire transfers from 28

3

4

CGM through multiple bank accounts controlled by THOMAS HENDERSON, COOPER LEE, and
 others.

Overt Acts

4 30. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the objects thereof, in the Northern District
5 of California and elsewhere, THOMAS HENDERSON, KEXING HU, COOPER LEE, and others
6 committed the following overt acts:

7	a.	In or about December 2011, THOMAS HENDERSON directed a wire transfer of
8		\$1,340,000 from an SFRC account in order to purchase a commercial building located at
. 9		409 13th Street in Oakland, California, known as the "Tribune Tower."
10	b.	In or about November 2012, THOMAS HENDERSON directed a wire transfer of
11		\$2,549,031 from an SFRC account to a title company for the purchase of a warehouse
12		property at 1700 20th Street in Oakland, California.
13	с.	On or about July 15, 2013, THOMAS HENDERSON directed the release from an escrow
14		account and wire transfer to an SFRC account investment capital paid by a foreign
15		investor in CallSocket II.
16	d.	In or about August 2013, THOMAS HENDERSON signed a check drawn on an SFRC
17		account for \$20,000 to pay startup costs for a restaurant in Oakland, California.
18.	e.	On or about July 3, 2014, THOMAS HENDERSON directed a wire transfer of \$15,000
19		from an SFRC account to an account in the name of an entity that operated a restaurant in
20		Oakland, California.
21	f.	On or about October 7, 2014, THOMAS HENDERSON directed the release from an
22		escrow account and wire transfer to an SFRC account investment capital paid by a
23		foreign investor in CallSocket III.
24	g.	On or about October 31, 2014, THOMAS HENDERSON obtained electronic copies of
25		bank statements for accounts controlled by THOMAS HENDERSON and in the name of
26		CallSocket II, and sent those bank statement copies to HU and others.
27	h.	On or about November 4, 2014, HU made false and misleading statements to CallSocket
28		II investors during a meeting in Shanghai, including providing altered and falsified bank

3

1 - E		
•		
	1	statements for an account in the name of CallSocket II that purported to show a balance
	2	of that account and falsely telling investors that CallSocket II had secured an important
	3	business relationship.
2	4 i.	In or about April 2015 and in or about November 2015, THOMAS HENDERSON caused
1	5	materials and information to be submitted to USCIS, including a declaration signed by
(5	THOMAS HENDERSON, stating that investor funds raised for the NA3PL EB-5 project
7	7	would be loaned to NA3PL, LLC to fund the startup and operation of the NA3PL
8		warehouse and logistics business in Oakland, California.
ç) j.	In or about October 2015, THOMAS HENDERSON directed a wire transfer of
. 10		\$2,550,000 from an account in the name of California Gold Medal, LP to Central
11		California Farms, LLC, in order to purchase a dairy processing facility in Tipton,
12		California.
13	k.	On or about January 11, 2016, THOMAS HENDERSON directed the release from an
14		escrow account and wire transfer to an SFRC account investment capital paid by a
15		foreign investor in NA3PL.
16	1.	In or about November 2016, THOMAS HENDERSON caused materials and information
17		to be submitted to USCIS stating that investor funds raised for the California Gold Medal
18		EB-5 project would be loaned to Crystal Golden, LLC to fund the startup and operation
19		of the milk processing and packaging business in Tipton, California.
20	m.	On or about August 12, 2016, LEE caused to be incorporated Venture Capital Partners,
21		an entity later used, at LEE's direction, to divert funds paid by foreign investors of
22		California Gold Medal to businesses owned or controlled by THOMAS HENDERSON.
23	n.	On or about September 12, 2016, LEE caused to be incorporated Binary Software
24		Solutions, Inc., an entity later used by LEE to divert funds paid by foreign investors of
25		California Gold Medal to LEE and businesses owned or controlled by THOMAS
26		HENDERSON.
27	о.	On or about September 21, 2016, THOMAS HENDERSON caused \$77,396 to be wired
28		from an account in the name of California Gold Medal to an account in the name of

1	Binary Software Solutions, Inc., an entity owned and controlled by LEE.
2	p. On or about January 9, 2016, LEE caused \$45,000 to be wired from an account in the
3	name of Venture Capital Partners, Inc. to an account in the name of Immedia, LLC dba
4	SFRC controlled by THOMAS HENDERSON.
5	All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.
6	COUNT TWO: (18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud)
7	Paragraphs 1 through 30 of this Indictment are re-alleged and incorporated as if fully set forth
8	here.
. 9	31. Beginning on or about December 2011 and continuing through on or about March 2017,
10	in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendants,
11	THOMAS HENDERSON, KEXING HU, a/k/a "Peter Hu," and
12	COOPER LEE,
13	and others, did knowingly conspire to devise and intend to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud as to
14	a material matter and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent
15	pretenses, representations, and promises, and by omission and concealment of material facts, and, for the
16	purpose of executing such scheme or artifice and attempting to do so, did transmit, and cause to be
17	transmitted, by means of wire communication in interstate and foreign commerce, certain writings,
18	signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.
19	All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.
20	COUNTS THREE THROUGH EIGHT: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2 – Wire Fraud)
21	Paragraphs 1 through 31 of this Indictment are re-alleged and incorporated as if fully set forth
22	here.
23	32. Beginning on or about November 2011 and continuing through on or about March 2017,
24	in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendants,
25	THOMAS HENDERSON and KEXING HU, a/k/a "Peter Hu,"
26	
27	knowingly and with the intent to defraud participated in, devised, and intended to devise a scheme and
28	artifice to defraud as to a material matter, and to obtain money and property by means of materially false
	INDICTMENT 14

1 and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and by means of omission and concealment of 2 material facts.

3 33. On or about the dates set forth below, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, for the purpose of executing the aforementioned scheme and artifice to defraud and 4 attempting to do so, the defendants,

THOMAS HENDERSON and KEXING HU, a/k/a "Peter Hu,"

did knowingly transmit and cause to be transmitted in interstate and foreign commerce, by means of a 8 9 wire communication, certain writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, specifically, wire communications as set forth below: 10

11

5

6

7

11			
10	COUNT	DATE	DESCRIPTION
12 13	THREE	8/27/2014	Wire transfer of \$566,300 from an account in the name of San Francisco Regional Center, LLC to an account in the name of Ubik Solution Ltd.
14	FOUR	10/30/2014	An electronic mail transmission from Oakland, California sent by Thomas Henderson to Shanghai, China received by Kexing Hu
15			and others attaching copies of bank statements related to CallSocket II
16	FIVE	11/10/2014	Wire transfer of \$443,925 from an account in the name of Thomas
17			Henderson to an account in the name of an escrow company for the purchase of a residential property located at 666 Mandana
18		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Boulevard, Oakland, California
19		2/27/2015	Wire transfer of \$150,000 from an account in the name of San Francisco Regional Center, LLC to an account in the name of Kexing Hu
20	SEVEN	9/11/2015	Wire transfer of \$500,050 from an account in the name of a
21		5/11/2015	foreign investor in CallSocket III, LP, to an account in the name of CallSocket III, LP
22	EIGHT ·	2/18/2016	Wire transfer of \$250,004.00 from an account in the name of a
23			foreign investor in North American 3PL, LP, to an account in the name of an escrow company used by SFRC to receive investor funds
24		·	
25	All and	each in violation o	f Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2.

26

27

COUNTS NINE THROUGH FIFTEEN: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1343 and 2 – Wire Fraud)

Paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Indictment are re-alleged and incorporated as if fully set forth

28 here.

			about August 2016 and continuing through on or about March 2017, in
	the Northern Di	strict of Californ	ia and elsewhere, the defendants,
			THOMAS HENDERSON, KEXING HU, a/k/a "Peter Hu," and COOPER LEE
	knowingly and	with the intent to	defraud participated in, devised, and intended to devise a scheme and
	artifice to defrau	id as to a materia	al matter, and to obtain money and property by means of materially false
	and fraudulent p	oretenses, represe	entations, and promises, and by means of omission and concealment of
	material facts.		
	35. C	In or about the d	ates set forth below, in the Northern District of California and
	elsewhere, for th	ne purpose of exe	ecuting the aforementioned scheme and artifice to defraud and
	attempting to do	so, the defendat	nts,
	THOMAS HENDERSON,		THOMAS HENDERSON, KEXING HU, a/k/a "Peter Hu," and
	ι.		KEXING HU, a/k/a "Peter Hu," and COOPER LEE,
. (did knowingly t	ansmit and caus	e to be transmitted in interstate and foreign commerce, by means of a
1	15 wire communication, certain writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, specifically, wire		
16 communications as set forth below:		tion, certain wri	tings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds, specifically, wire
	communications	as set forth belo	w:
	communications	as set forth belo	DESCRIPTION Wire transfer of \$75,000 from an account in the name of California Gold Medal, LP to an account in the name of Network
	communications COUNT NINE	as set forth belo DATE 8/12/2016	DESCRIPTION Wire transfer of \$75,000 from an account in the name of California Gold Medal, LP to an account in the name of Network Technologies International, Inc. Wire transfer of \$499,098 from an account in the name of an escrow company used by SFRC to receive investor funds, held for the benefit of a foreign investor, to an account in the name of
	communications COUNT NINE TEN	as set forth belo DATE 8/12/2016 8/19/2016	DESCRIPTION Wire transfer of \$75,000 from an account in the name of California Gold Medal, LP to an account in the name of Network Technologies International, Inc. Wire transfer of \$499,098 from an account in the name of an escrow company used by SFRC to receive investor funds, held for the benefit of a foreign investor, to an account in the name of California Gold Medal, LP Wire transfer of \$87,846 from an account in the name of California Gold Medal, LP
	COUNT NINE TEN ELEVEN	as set forth belo DATE 8/12/2016 8/19/2016 8/24/2016	DESCRIPTION Wire transfer of \$75,000 from an account in the name of California Gold Medal, LP to an account in the name of Network Technologies International, Inc. Wire transfer of \$499,098 from an account in the name of an escrow company used by SFRC to receive investor funds, held for the benefit of a foreign investor, to an account in the name of California Gold Medal, LP Wire transfer of \$87,846 from an account in the name of California Gold Medal, LP Wire transfer of \$87,846 from an account in the name of California Gold Medal, LP to an account in the name of Network Technologies International, Inc. Wire transfer of \$77,396 from an account in the name of California Gold Medal, LP to an account in the name of California Gold Medal, LP to an account in the name of

|| INDICTMENT

	II		
v			
1	COUNT	DATE	DESCRIPTION
2 3	FIFTEEN	1/24/2017 '	Wire transfer of \$68,093 from an account in the name of California Gold Medal, LP to an account in the name of Binary Software Solutions, Inc.
4	All and e	each in violatior	n of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2.
5	COUNT SIXTE	<u>EN</u> : (18 U.S.C	C. § 1001(a)(2) – False Statement to a Government Agency)
5	Paragrap	hs 1 through 35	of this Indictment are re-alleged and incorporated as if fully set for
7	here.		
8	36. O	n or about Nov	rember 1, 2015, in the Northern District of California, the defendant,
9			THOMAS HENDERSON,
)	did willfully and	knowingly mal	ke materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statements and
1	representations in	n a matter withi	in the jurisdiction of the executive branch of the Government of the
2	United States by	preparing and s	signing a declaration, and causing it to be presented to the U.S.
3	Citizenship and I	mmigration Ser	rvice. The declaration stated that EB-5 investment capital raised fro
4	NA3PL investors would be loaned to a new commercial enterprise, NA3PL, LLC, to start and or		ed to a new commercial enterprise, NA3PL, LLC, to start and opera
5	warehouse and lo	warehouse and logistics business. This statement was false because, as THOMAS HENDERSON th	
5	and there knew, l	ne had directed	the misappropriation of more than \$15 million from the NA3PL EB
7	project for other	businesses and	expenses, and those investment funds had not been loaned to NA3P
3	LLC, nor used to	start and opera	te the logistics and warehouse business.
)	All in vio	lation of Title 1	18, United States Code, Section 1001(a)(2).
)	COUNT SEVEN	<u>TEEN</u> : (18	8 U.S.C. § 1001(a)(3) – False Writings to a Government Agency)
l	Paragraph	ns 1 through 36	of this Indictment are re-alleged and incorporated as if fully set forth
2	here.		
;	37. Or	1 or about Nove	ember 8, 2016 in the Northern District of California, the defendant,
ŀ			THOMAS HENDERSON,
5	did willfully and	knowingly mak	te and use a false, fictitious, and fraudulent writing and document,
5	knowing the same	e to contain a m	naterially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement and entry in a ma
7	within the jurisdie	ction of the exe	cutive branch of the Government of the United States, by causing to
3	prepared and pres	ented to the U.S	S. Citizenship and Immigration Service a project summary and other
	INDICTMENT		17

documents in support of a Form I-526 petition. The documents prepared and presented stated that EB-5 1 2 investment capital raised from investors in the California Gold Medal, LP EB-5 project would be loaned to a new commercial enterprise, Crystal Golden, LLC, to start and operate a milk processing and 3 4 packaging business. This statement was false because, as THOMAS HENDERSON then and there 5 knew, he had directed the misappropriation of more than \$1 million from the California Gold Medal EB-5 project for other businesses and expenses, and those investment funds had not been loaned to 6 7 Crystal Golden, LLC, nor used to start and operate the milk processing and packaging business. 8 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001(a)(3). 9 FORFEITURE ALLEGATION: (18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c)) 10 The allegations contained in this Indictment are re-alleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 11 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c). 12 13 Upon conviction for any of the offenses set forth in Counts One through Fifteen of this Indictment, the defendants, '14 15 THOMAS HENDERSON. KEXING HU, a/k/a "Peter Hu," and 16 COOPER LEE, shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and 17 Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), all property, real or personal, constituting, or derived 18 19 from proceeds the defendant obtained directly and indirectly, as the result of those violations, including but not limited to a money judgment equal to the gross proceeds the defendant obtained directly or 20indirectly as a result of those violations. 21 If any of the property described above, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant: 22 cannot be located upon exercise of due diligence; 23 a. 24 has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party; b. 25 has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court; c. has been substantially diminished in value; or 26 d. 27 has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without e. 28 difficulty,

the United States of America shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c). All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C), Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), and Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.2.

DATED:

8/15/19

DAVID L. ANDERSON United States Attorney

LLÖYD FA HAM Assistant United States Attorney

A TRUE BILL.

nulu F

INDICTMENT

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE T	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDIN 18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy; Petty 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud; Minor 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud; Minor 18 U.S.C. § 1001(a) – False Statements to a Government Agency; Misde 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and Minor 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) – Forfeiture Allegation Image Pethod PENALTY: See attachment.	NG NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION DEFENDANT - U.S Thomas Henderson
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any) U.S. State Department, Diplomatic Security Service person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of: U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE CASE NO.	DATE OF Month/Day/Year
Name and Office of Person	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not DATE TRANSFERRED TO U.S. CUSTODY
Furnishing Information on this form DAVID L. ANDERSON	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) Lloyd Farnham	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
PROCESS:	DRMATION OR COMMENTS Bail Amount: No Bail * Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments:	

ATTACHMENT TO AO 257 PENALTY SHEET United States v. Thomas Henderson

¥,

COUNT ONI	E: (18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy)	
	Maximum Term of Imprisonment:	5 Years
	Maximum Fine:	\$250,000
	(or twice the gross gain or lo	oss, whichever is greater)
	Maximum Term of Supervised Release:	3 Years
	Mandatory Special Assessment:	\$100
	Restitution and Forfeiture as Determined by	y the Court
COUNT TWO	D: (18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to 6	Commit Wire Fraud)
	Maximum Term of Imprisonment:	20 Years
	Maximum Fine:	\$250,000
	(or twice the gross gain or lo	ss, whichever is greater)
	Maximum Term of Supervised Release:	3 Years
	Mandatory Special Assessment:	\$100
	Restitution and Forfeiture as Determined by	v the Court
COUNTS TH	REE THROUGH FIFTEEN: (18 U.S.C. § 1	1343 – Wire Fraud)
	Maximum Term of Imprisonment:	20 Years
	Maximum Fine:	\$250,000
	(or twice the gross gain or lo	ss, whichever is greater)
	Maximum Term of Supervised Release:	3 Years
	Mandatory Special Assessment:	\$100
·	Restitution and Forfeiture as Determined by	the Court
COUNTS SIX	TEEN AND SEVENTEEN: (18 U.S.C. § 1	001(a) – False Statements)
	Maximum Term of Imprisonment:	5 Years
	Maximum Fine:	\$250,000
	Maximum Term of Supervised Release:	3 Years
	Mandatory Special Assessment:	\$100

	'E TO A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
OFFENSE CHARGED	Discription NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION OAKLAND DIVISION
18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy; Image: Conspiracy in the second secon	Minor DEFENDANT - U.S
8 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 18 U.S.C. § 2461(c) – Forfeiture Allegation	Misde- meanor Kexing Hu, aka Peter Hu
ENALTY: See attachment.	Felony DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any) U.S. State Department, Diplomatic Security Service	DEFENDANT IS NOT IN CUSTODY Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceedin 1) X If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Cour give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive 3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another distription per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	ict $\begin{array}{c c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of: U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTR CASE N	
prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	ARREST
ne and Office of Person nishing Information on this form DAVID L. ANDERSO	
me of Assistant U.S. Dorney (if assigned)	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
ADDITIONAL	INFORMATION OR COMMENTS
PROCESS:	Bail Amount: No Bail
If Summons, complete following:	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Defendant Address:	
	Date/Time: Before Judge:

ATTACHMENT TO AO 257 PENALTY SHEET United States v. Kexing Hu (a/k/a Peter Hu)

COUNT ONE:

: (18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy) Maximum Term of Imprisonment: 5 Years Maximum Fine: \$250,000

(or twice the gross gain or loss, whichever is greater) Maximum Term of Supervised Release: 3 Years Mandatory Special Assessment: \$100 Restitution and Forfeiture as Determined by the Court

COUNT TWO: (18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud) Maximum Term of Imprisonment: 20 Years Maximum Fine: \$250,000 (or twice the gross gain or loss, whichever is greater) Maximum Term of Supervised Release: 3 Years Mandatory Special Assessment: \$100 Particular of Supervised Release 100

Restitution and Forfeiture as Determined by the Court

COUNTS THREE THROUGH FIFTEEN: (18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud)

Maximum Term of Imprisonment:

Maximum Fine:

(or twice the gross gain or loss, whichever is greater) Maximum Term of Supervised Release: 3 Years Mandatory Special Assessment: \$100 Restitution and Forfeiture as Determined by the Court

20 Years

\$250,000

) AO 257 (Rev. 6/78)

-

OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDING 19 USC 6 374 - Conspiracy, 18 USC 6 1349 - Comparison to Commit Wire Fraud; 18 USC 5 1349 - Comparison to Commit Wire Fraud; 18 USC 5 9340 (I/C) and 28 USC 5 934	DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE T	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
COPEENSE CHARGED OPEENSE CONTRUCTION OR COMMENTS OPEENSE OPEENSE Control Wire Frank OAKLAND DIVISION OAKLAND D		
ISUS.C 8 371-Complexy: □ ISUS.C 8 171-Complexy: □ ISUS.C 8 174:	OFFENSE CHARGED	
E Felony DISTRICT COURT NUMBER CR 19 376 / √SM DISTRICT COURT NUMBER CR 19 376 / √SM Mame of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any) U.S. State Department, Diplomatic Security Service person is awaiting thin another Federal or State Court, If not detained give date any prior Summons was served on above charges 2) It is a representing is transferred from another district If an other rederation of It is a represention of On another conviction It is a represention of SHOW Office one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District Is in CUSTODY It is presending case involving this same definition were dismissed on motion of of op roceedings or appearance(s) SHOW prior proceedings or appearance(s) MAGISTRATE CASE NO. beford U.S. Magistrate regarding this same definition of the charges Month/Day/Year or it arresting Agency & Warrant were not DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year DUS. Attorney Other	18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud; 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) – Forfeiture Allegation	DEFENDANT - U.S
PROCEEDING Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any) U.S. State Department, Diplomatic Security Service person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court this person/proceeding is transferred from another district person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court U.S. State Department, Diplomatic Security Service person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court this person/proceeding is transferred from another district person is awaiting trial on other charges this person/proceeding is transferred from another district this person/proceeding is transferred from another district this person/proceeding is transferred from another district this prosecution relates to a perioding case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE CASE NO. prior proceedings or eppearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant me and Office of Person musishing Information on this form DAVID L ANDERSON SULS. Attorney (f assigned) Loyd Farnham		ny DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Porson (& Title, if any) U.S. State Department, Diplomatic Security Service person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of charges previously dismissed meand Office of Person prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this care and Office of Person mare of Assistant U.S. ttomey (if assigned) Lloyd Farnham PROCESS: SUMMONS _ NO PROCESS* X WARRANT Bail Amount: No Bail *Where diefendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment		
Name of Computing Agency, of Person (& Time, If any) U.S. State Department, Diplomatic Security Service person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court this person/proceeding is transferred from another district person is a represention of circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District this is a represention of circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District this is a represention of circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District SHOW of: U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE CASE NO. prior proceedings or appearance(s) perior proceedings or appearance(s) defindant Machine of Case No. Month/Day/Year ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS PROCESS: SUMMONS No PROCESS* SUMMONS No PROCESS* SUMMONS No PROCESS* SUMMARNS No PROCESS* Winet were definited following: *Where defendant previously approhended on completint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment *Where defendant previously approhended on completint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment	PROCEEDING	
U.S. State Department, Diplomatic Security Service □ person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, □ pisson is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, □ pisson is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, □ pisson is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, □ pisson is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, □ pisson is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, □ pisson is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, □ pisson is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, □ pisson is awaiting trial or Release from (show District) 1 □ On this charge 1 □ On this charge 0 □ Asignate release to a 0 pending case involving this same 0 defendant 0 pending case involving this same 0 defendant 0 DATE OF 0 Month/Day/Year 0 Crass NO. 0 DATE OF Person 0 DATE OF Month/Day/Year 0 Crass NO. 0 DATE OF Month/Day/Year 0 Crass NO. 0 DATE OF Month/Day/Year 0 Crass NO. 0 DATE OF Month/Day/Year 0 This report amends AO 257 previously submitted 0 This report amends AO	Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	1) 🔀 If not detained give date any prior
give name of court give diate give diate<	U.S. State Department, Diplomatic Security Service	
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District is in CUSTODY this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of. U.S. ATTORNEY □ DEFENSE } U.S. ATTORNEY □ DEFENSE } U.S. ATTORNEY □ DEFENSE } Defining case involving this same defendant moving this same defendant were recorded under ame and Office of Person urnishing Information on this form □ DAVID L ANDERSON [U.S. Attorney □ Other U.S. Agency ame of Assistant U.S. torrey (if assigned) Licyd Farnham ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS PROCESS: SUMMONS □ NO PROCESS* [X] WARRANT MAGISTRATE CASE NO. Summons, complete following: Arraignment □ Initial Appearance Defendant Address: SUMMONS □ NO PROCESS* [X Summons, complete following: Arraignment □ Initial Appearance Defendant Address: SUMMONS □ NO PROCESS* [X Summons, complete following: Arraignment □ Initial Appearance Defendant Address: Summons, complete following: Arraignment □ Initial Appearance Defendant Address: Summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment Schedule arraignment Summons, complete following: Arraignment □ Initial Appearance Summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment Summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment Summons or warrant needed, since Magist		2) 🔲 Is a Fugitive
□ per (drole one) FRCm 20, 21, or 40. Show District □ per (drole one) FRCm 20, 21, or 40. Show District □ Is IN CUSTODY □ per (drole one) FRCm 20, 21, or 40. Show District □ file □ per (drole one) FRCm 20, 21, or 40. Show District □ file □ per (drole one) FRCm 20, 21, or 40. Show District □ file □ per (drole one) FRCm 20, 21, or 40. Show District □ file □ DCKET NO. □ DEFENSE ↓ On this charge □ Dending case involving this same defendant □ perior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under □ DATE OF Amounth/Day/Year or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year or U.S. Attorney □ Other U.S. Agency □ This report amends AO 257 previously submitted tormey (if assigned) Lloyd Farnham □ ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS PROCESS: □ □ SUMMONS □		3) 🔲 Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
□ pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE CASE NO. □ prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under MAGISTRATE CASE NO. □ before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under MAGISTRATE CASE NO. ame and Office of Person urnishing Information on this form DAVID L. ANDERSON [\$\overline\$] U.S. Attorney [] Other U.S. Agency Month/Day/Year ame of Assistant U.S. ttorney [] Other U.S. Agency MADITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS PROCESS:	 per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of: 	4) On this charge 5) On another conviction 6) Awaiting trial on other charges
ame and Office of Person urnishing Information on this form DAVID L. ANDERSON Image: David Link Image: David Link <td> pending case involving this same defendant prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this </td> <td>Bas detainer in 100 give date been filed? No filed DATE OF ARREST Month/Day/Year</td>	 pending case involving this same defendant prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this 	Bas detainer in 100 give date been filed? No filed DATE OF ARREST Month/Day/Year
Import and only of relation To U.S. CUSTODY Import and only of relation This report amends AO 257 previously submitted This report amends AO 257 previously submitted This report amends AO 257 previously submitted PROCESS: Import and only of relation Import and only of relation PROCESS: Import and only of relation Import and only of relation PROCESS: Import and only of relation Import and only of relation PROCESS: Import and only of relation Import and only of relation PROCESS: Import and only of relation Import and only of relation PROCESS: Import and only of relation Import and only of relation PROCESS: Import and only of relation Import and only of rel	lame and Office of Person	
ame of Assistant U.S. ttorney (if assigned) Lloyd Farnham ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS PROCESS: SUMMONS NO PROCESS* SUMMONS NO PROCESS* No Process* <td></td> <td></td>		
ttorney (if assigned) Lloyd Farnham ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS PROCESS: SUMMONS NO PROCESS* SUMMONS NO PROCESS* If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance Defendant Address: * Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment	🗙 U.S. Attorney 📋 Other U.S. Agency	
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS PROCESS: SUMMONS NO PROCESS* SUMMONS NO PROCESS* If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance * Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment Defendant Address: * Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment	lame of Assistant U.S. ttornev (if assigned) Lloyd Farnham	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
PROCESS: SUMMONS NO PROCESS* If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance Defendant Address:		
If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance Defendant Address: * Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment	PROCESS:	
Arraignment Initial Appearance * Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment	📋 SUMMONS 📋 NO PROCESS* 🔀 WARRANT	Bail Amount: No Bail
	Arraignment Initial Appearance	
		Date/Time: Before Judge:

ATTACHMENT TO AO 257 PENALTY SHEET United States v. Cooper Lee

COUNT ONE: (18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy) Maximum Term of Imprisonment: 5 Years Maximum Fine: \$250,000 (or twice the gross gain or loss, whichever is greater) Maximum Term of Supervised Release: 3 Years Mandatory Special Assessment: \$100 Restitution and Forfeiture as Determined by the Court

COUNT TWO: (18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud) Maximum Term of Imprisonment: 20 Years Maximum Fine: \$250,000 (or twice the gross gain or loss, whichever is greater) Maximum Term of Supervised Release: 3 Years Mandatory Special Assessment: \$100

Restitution and Forfeiture as Determined by the Court

COUNTS NINE THROUGH FIFTEEN: (18 U.S.C. § 1343 – Wire Fraud) Maximum Term of Imprisonment: 20 Years Maximum Fine: \$250,000 (or twice the gross gain or loss, whichever is greater) Maximum Term of Supervised Release: 3 Years Mandatory Special Assessment: \$100 Restitution and Forfeiture as Determined by the Court