

RECEIVED

2026R00079/BDB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

JUL 22 2026
AT 8:30 _____ M
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT - DNJ

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : Hon. Michael A. Shipp, U.S.D.J.
:
v. : Crim. No. 26-331-01 (MAS)
:
ANDREW HOOPER : 18 U.S.C. § 1349

I N F O R M A T I O N

The defendant having waived in open court prosecution by Indictment, the
United States charges:

COUNT ONE
(Conspiracy to Commit Bank Fraud)

1. At all times relevant to this Information:
 - a. Defendant Andrew Hooper ("HOOPER") was a resident of Middlesex County, New Jersey.
 - b. Co-Conspirator Wayne Bessant ("Bessant") was a resident of Mercer County, New Jersey.
 - c. Payee-1 was a realtor operating in Carolina, Puerto Rico.
 - d. Financial Institution-1 was a bank headquartered in McLean, Virginia, and was a federally insured financial institution, as the term is defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 20.

The Conspiracy

2. From in or around March 2023 through in or around June 2025, in Union, Middlesex, Mercer, and Burlington Counties in the District of New Jersey, and elsewhere, the defendant,

ANDREW HOOPER,

knowingly and intentionally conspired and agreed with Bessant and others to execute and attempt to execute a scheme and artifice to defraud financial institutions, as defined in Title 18, United States Code, Section 20, whose deposits were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and to obtain moneys, funds, credits, assets, securities, and other property owned by and under the custody and control of those financial institutions, by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, contrary to Title 18 United States Code, Sections 1344(1) and (2).

Goal of the Conspiracy

3. It was the goal of the conspiracy for HOOPER, Bessant, and others (the “Co-Conspirators”) to enrich themselves by depositing stolen checks into fraudulent bank accounts using false or altered documentation and false misrepresentations.

Manner and Means of the Conspiracy

4. The manner and means by which the Co-Conspirators sought to accomplish the goal of the conspiracy included, among other things, the following:

a. First, Bessant received stolen commercial checks and stolen checks issued by the United States Department of the Treasury to payee businesses (the “Stolen Treasury Checks”).

b. Second, the Co-Conspirators opened shell companies and acquired business-related documents in the names of the payees that were listed on the stolen checks, or in names similar to the payees’ names, using a combination of three methods:

i. the *Hijack Method*: where the Co-Conspirators changed the registered agent on the payee’s official records to one of the Co-Conspirators;

ii. the *Spoofing Method*: where the Co-Conspirators applied for business formation documents on behalf of fictitious companies using a name that was the same as, or similar to, that of the payee; and/or

iii. the *Alteration Method*: where the Co-Conspirators altered documents from the payee business, changing the payee’s company name, registered agent, and/or addresses.

c. Third, fraudulent bank accounts in the names of the payees or in names similar to the payees’ names were opened by the Co-Conspirators using those documents. For example, on or about July 18, 2024, HOOPER opened a bank account ending in 0612 at Financial Institution-1 (“Account 0612”).

d. Fourth, after the fraudulent bank accounts were opened, the Co-Conspirators deposited the stolen checks in the fraudulent bank accounts and withdrew and/or transferred the proceeds to distribute them among the Co-Conspirators. For example, days after HOOPER opened Account 0612, he deposited

one Stolen Treasury Check in the amount of approximately \$168,078.21 payable to Payee-1 into the account.

e. As a result, the Co-Conspirators obtained and attempted to obtain millions of dollars from the victim financial institutions.

f. During the conspiracy, HOOPER facilitated the fraudulent deposit of one Stolen Treasury Check, totaling approximately \$168,078.21.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

1. Upon conviction of the conspiracy to commit bank fraud charged in this Information, the defendant,

ANDREW HOOPER,

shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A), any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds the defendant obtained directly or indirectly as a result of such offense.

SUBSTITUTE ASSETS PROVISION

2. If any of the property described above, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant, any of the above-described forfeitable property:

- a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- b. has been transferred to, or deposited with, a third party;
- c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
- d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
- e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty,


it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(b), to seek forfeiture of any other property of the defendant up to the value of the above-described forfeitable property.

ROBERT FRAZER
United States Attorney

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "B. Bleiberg", written over a horizontal line.

Benjamin D. Bleiberg
Assistant U.S. Attorney

Approved by:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "E. Lou", written over a horizontal line.

Elaine K. Lou
Chief, Criminal Division

CASE NUMBER: 26-331-01 (MAS)

**United States District Court
District of New Jersey**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

ANDREW HOOPER

INFORMATION FOR

18 U.S.C. § 1349

**ROBERT FRAZER
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY**

**BENJAMIN D. BLEIBERG
ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY
NEWARK, NEW JERSEY
973-645-2700**
