

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : Hon.
:
v. : Crim. No. 17-
:
: 18 U.S.C. §§ 371 & 981(a)(1)(C); &
ANDREA FAHRENHOLZ : 28 U.S.C. § 2461

INFORMATION

1. Defendant ANDREA FAHRENHOLZ was a police officer in Jersey City from in or about October 2004 to at least the spring of 2017. As a Jersey City Police Officer, defendant FAHRENHOLZ was available to perform off-duty work as a police officer as long as she followed the Jersey City Police Department rules and regulations governing such off-duty employment.

2. At all times relevant to this Information:

A. The Jersey City Police Department was a department of Jersey City. Jersey City received benefits in excess of \$10,000 in each of the fiscal years 2013 through 2016 under federal programs involving grants, contracts, subsidies, loans guarantees, insurance and other forms of federal assistance, within the meaning of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 666(b) and 666(d)(5).

B. Certain private contractors and utility companies (collectively "vendors") sometimes were required to utilize the

services of off-duty Jersey City police officers. Generally, when vendors needed to perform work in Jersey City that could obstruct the flow of vehicular or pedestrian traffic, they had to obtain a traffic permit from the Jersey City - Department of Business Administration, Division of Traffic Engineering. That permit directed the applicant to call the pick coordinator, who would then designate an off-duty police officer for the assignment.

C. Jersey City relied on a voucher system in order to process payments for police officers who performed off-duty assignments. The voucher was entitled, "Jersey City Police Office of Off-Duty Employment Officer Pay Voucher" (hereinafter, the "Jersey City Voucher"). The police officer who performed the off-duty assignment was required to complete the top portion of the voucher and include the following information: the officer's name, rank, social security number, total hours worked, date and times that the off-duty employment was performed, and the officer's signature. A representative of the vendor was required to fill out the middle portion of the voucher and provide the following: the name and location of the worksite and the name and signature of the vendor's foreman or authorized agent.

D. Generally, after filling out the top portion of the voucher and having the vendor complete the middle portion,

the police officer who performed the off-duty work provided the Jersey City Voucher to the pick coordinator or assistant pick coordinator. The pick coordinator or assistant pick coordinator then completed his portion of the voucher and caused the voucher to be delivered to the Office of Off-Duty Employment at the Jersey City Police Department. A completed voucher indicated that the officer who had filled out the top portion of the voucher had performed an off-duty job for the vendor whose representative had filled out and signed the middle portion of the voucher. The City of Jersey City recorded the transaction, collected certain fees for Jersey City, withheld all appropriate taxes, and paid the police officer who performed the off-duty work.

E. Anthony Iannicco was a police officer in Jersey City's West District who also was the "assistant pick coordinator" for the West District. In this capacity, Iannicco's duties and responsibilities included assigning off-duty police officers to projects requiring such officers in the West District.

THE CONSPIRACY

3. From at least in or about March 2014 to in or about May 2016, in Hudson County, in the District of New Jersey and elsewhere, defendant

ANDREA FAHRENHOLZ

did knowingly and intentionally conspire and agree with others, including Iannicco, to embezzle, steal, obtain by fraud, misapply, and without authority knowingly convert to the use of other persons other than the rightful owner, \$5,000 and more in money owned by, and under the care, custody and control of the City of Jersey City and its police department, contrary to Title 18, United States Code, Section 666(a)(1)(A).

Goal of the Conspiracy

4. It was the goal of the conspiracy for defendant FAHRENHOLZ to obtain payments from Jersey City for off-duty jobs that she did not actually perform by making false representations to Jersey City that she did in fact complete such off-duty assignments.

Manner and Means

5. It was part of the conspiracy that:

A. On multiple occasions, Iannicco asked representatives of certain vendors who were performing work in the West District to sign the middle portion of a Jersey City

Voucher, even though no Jersey City police officer had completed an off-duty assignment for those vendors.

B. Iannicco provided these vouchers to defendant FAHRENHOLZ, who then falsely represented on the top portion of the voucher that she had performed an off-duty job for the vendor whose representative had signed the middle portion of the voucher. With defendant FAHRENHOLZ's knowledge and consent, Iannicco then submitted the false and fraudulent vouchers to the Jersey City Office of Off-Duty Employment so that defendant FAHRENHOLZ would be paid. As a result, defendant FAHRENHOLZ was compensated for off-duty work that she did not perform.

Overt Acts

6. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the object thereof, defendant FAHRENHOLZ and others committed and caused to be committed the following overt acts, among others, in the District of New Jersey and elsewhere:

A. On or about March 7, 2016, in Jersey City, defendant FAHRENHOLZ falsely represented on a Jersey City Voucher provided by Iannicco that defendant FAHRENHOLZ completed an off-duty assignment that defendant FAHRENHOLZ did not actually perform.

B. From at least in or about May 2014 to in or about May 2016, defendant FAHRENHOLZ accepted payments from Jersey City for off-duty work that she did not perform, but that

Iannicco fraudulently represented that she did perform,
including the following payments:

Date of Payment to defendant FAHRENHOLZ	Amount Paid as a Result of the Fraud
9/5/14	\$6,675
6/12/15	\$2,390
10/2/15	\$2,680
1/22/16	\$5,500

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

1. The allegations contained in this Information are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of noticing forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(c) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

2. Upon conviction of the offense of conspiracy charged in the Information, contrary to Title 18, United States Code, Section 666(a)(1)(A), in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, as charged in this Information, defendant


ANDREA FAHRENHOLZ

shall forfeit to the United States of America, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), any and all property, real or personal, that constituted and was derived from proceeds traceable to the commission of the above violation, and all property traceable thereto, including, but not limited to, a sum of money equal to \$116,346 in United States currency, representing proceeds of the offense charged in this Information, as agreed to by the parties under the terms of a plea agreement dated April 24, 2017.

3. If by any act or omission of defendant FAHRENHOLZ, any of the property subject to forfeiture described in paragraph 2 herein:

- a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- b) has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
- c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
- d) has been substantially diminished in value; or
- e) has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(p), as incorporated by 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), to seek forfeiture of any other property of defendant FAHRENHOLZ up to the value of the above forfeitable property.

William E. Fitzpatrick, Jr.
WILLIAM E. FITZPATRICK
ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 

CASE NUMBER: 17-

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

ANDREA FAHRENHOLZ

INFORMATION FOR

18 U.S.C. §§ 371 & 981(a)(1)(C);
& 28 U.S.C. § 2461

WILLIAM E. FITZPATRICK
ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

Vikas Khanna
ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY
973-297-2080