

USAO#2018R00088/SPB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

JAMIL BADER

: HON. William H. Walls
:
: Criminal No. 18-569
:
:
: 7 U.S.C. § 2024(b) and
: 18 U.S.C. § 2
:

INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury in and for the District of New Jersey, sitting at Newark,
charges:

COUNT ONE

(SNAP Benefits Fraud)

From on or about September 25, 2013 through on or about September
30, 2016, in the District of New Jersey, and elsewhere, the defendant,

JAMIL BADER,

did knowingly and intentionally use, transfer, acquire and possess, and aided
and abetted the use, transfer, acquisition and possession of Supplemental
Nutrition Assistance Program ("SNAP") benefits having a value greater than
\$5,000 in a manner contrary to the provisions of Chapter 51, of Title 7, United
States Code, Section 2024(b), that is, defendant knowingly and unlawfully
exchanged SNAP benefits for cash.

In violation of Title 7, United States Code, Section 2024(b) and Title 18,
United States Code, Section 2.

RECEIVED

SEP 19 2018

AT 8:30 _____ M
WILLIAM T. WALSH, CLERK

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

The allegations contained in this Indictment are incorporated by reference as set forth in full herein for the purpose of noticing forfeiture pursuant to Title 7, United States Code, Section 2024(f), Title 18 United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C), and Title 28 United States Code, Section 2461(c).

As the result of committing the offense alleged in the Indictment, defendant Jamil Bader shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 7, United States Code, Section 2024(f), Title 18 United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C), and Title 28 United States Code, Section 2461(c), which require any person convicted of such offense to forfeit any and all property real and personal, used in a transaction or attempted transaction, to commit, or to facilitate the commission, of a violation of such offense as well or any and all property that constitute or is derived from proceeds obtained directly or indirectly as a result of such offense.

Substitute Assets Provision

If any of the above-described forfeitable property, as a result of any act or omission of Defendant Jamil Bader:

- (a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- (b) has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
- (c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
- (d) has been substantially diminished in value; or

(e) has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided
without difficulty;

It is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code,
Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 28, United States Code, Section
2461(c), to seek forfeiture of any other property of such defendant up to the
value of the forfeitable property.

A TRUE BILL

FOREPERSON


CRAIG CARPENITO
United States Attorney

CASE NUMBER: 18-569 (WHW)

**United States District Court
District of New Jersey**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

JAMIL BADER

INDICTMENT FOR

7 U.S.C. § 2024(b) and 18 U.S.C. § 2

A True Bill,

Foreperson

CRAIG CARPENITO

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

SHAWN P. BARNES

SPECIAL ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY

NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

973-645-2700