

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

RODNEY BUSH-ROWLAND

Crim. No.

26 U.S.C. § 7206(1)

INFORMATION

The defendant, having waived in open court prosecution by indictment, the United States Attorney for the District of New Jersey charges:

At all times relevant to this Information:

1. DEFENDANT RODNEY BUSH-ROWLAND was a resident of Camden, New Jersey. BUSH-ROWLAND owned and operated To & Fro Transportation, Inc. ("To & Fro"), an S-corporation located in Camden, New Jersey, that provided medical transportation services.

2. During 2014 and 2015, defendant RODNEY BUSH-ROWLAND used a commercial check casher to negotiate over \$2.7 million of To & Fro revenue checks. BUSH-ROWLAND failed to report a large portion of the cashed checks as gross receipts on To & Fro's annual corporate income tax returns. As a result, BUSH-ROWLAND underreported To & Fro's business income that flowed through to his annual Forms 1040, U.S. Individual Income Tax Returns, causing a total individual income tax loss of approximately \$25,725.

3. During the 12 calendar quarters spanning 2014, 2015, and 2016, defendant RODNEY BUSH-ROWLAND filed Forms 941, Employer's Quarterly Federal Tax Return, that falsely represented that To & Fro paid all of the employment taxes for its employees when, in fact, defendant BUSH-ROWLAND failed to timely pay over to the Internal Revenue Service approximately \$147,566 of the employment taxes that were due and owing for these quarters.

4. On or about July 22, 2016, in Camden County, in the District of New Jersey and elsewhere, defendant

RODNEY BUSH-ROWLAND

did willfully make and subscribe a 2015 United States Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, which was verified by a written declaration that it was made under penalties of perjury and which was filed with the Internal Revenue Service, which BUSH-ROWLAND did not believe to be true and correct as to every material matter, in that the return reported total S-Corporation income of \$62,506, whereas, as BUSH-ROWLAND then and there well knew and believed, his total S-Corporation income was substantially more than reported:

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(1).



---

CRAIG CARPENITO  
United States Attorney