

### **U.S. Department of Justice**

# United States Attorney Southern District of New York

The Silvio J. Mollo Building One Saint Andrew's Plaza New York, New York 10007

February 1, 2024

Alan S. Futerfas, Esq. The Law Offices of Alan S. Futerfas 565 Fifth Ave., 7th Floor New York, NY 10017

Re: United States v. Gray Stiles, 23 Cr. 098 (RA)

Dear Mr. Futerfas:

On the understandings specified below, the Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York ("this Office") will accept a guilty plea from Gray Stiles ("the defendant") to Count Five of the above-referenced Indictment.

Count Five charges the defendant with securities fraud, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j(b) and 78ff, Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5, and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2. Count Five carries a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, a maximum fine of the greatest of \$5 million, twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the office, or twice the gross pecuniary loss to persons other than the defendant resulting from the offense, and a \$100 mandatory special assessment.

In addition, by accepting the terms of this agreement, the defendant agrees and stipulates that the conduct set forth in Counts Six and Seven of the Indictment constitute relevant conduct to the offense of conviction pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 1B1.3.

In consideration of the defendant's plea to the above offenses, the defendant will not be further prosecuted criminally by this Office (except for criminal tax violations, if any, as to which this Office cannot, and does not, make any agreement) for committing securities fraud by: (a) conspiring to commit insider trading in the securities of Eastman Kodak Company ("Kodak"), as charged in Count One of the Indictment; and (b) using material, non-public information misappropriated from Kodak to trade securities of Kodak, based on that material non-public information, as charged in Counts Six and Seven, it being understood that this agreement does not bar the use of such conduct as a predicate act or as the basis for a sentencing enhancement in a subsequent prosecution including, but not limited to, a prosecution pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961 et seq. In addition, at the time of sentencing, the Government will move to dismiss any open Count(s) against the defendant. The defendant agrees that with respect to any and all dismissed charges the defendant is not a "prevailing party" within the meaning of the "Hyde Amendment," Section 617, P.L. 105-119 (Nov. 26, 1997), and will not file any claim under that law.

The defendant hereby admits the forfeiture allegation with respect to Count Five of the Indictment and agrees to forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,

Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28 United States Code, Section 2461(c), a sum of money equal to \$724,956 in United States currency, representing proceeds traceable to the commission of said offense (the "Money Judgment"). It is further understood that any forfeiture of the defendant's assets shall not be treated as satisfaction of any fine, restitution, cost of imprisonment, or any other penalty the Court may impose upon him in addition to forfeiture. The defendant consents to the entry of the Consent Order of Forfeiture annexed hereto as Exhibit A and agrees that the Consent Order of Forfeiture shall be final as to the defendant at the time it is ordered by the Court. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663(a)(3), the defendant further agrees to make restitution in an amount ordered by the Court pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3663, 3663A, and 3664.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Guidelines") Section 6B1.4, the parties hereby stipulate to the following:

## A. Offense Level

- 1. The November 2023 edition of the Guidelines Manual applies to the offense charged in Count Five of the Indictment.
- 2. The Guideline applicable to the offense charged in Count Five is U.S.S.G. § 2B1.4. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2B1.4(a), the base offense level is 8.
- 3. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. §§ 2B1.4(b)(1) and 2B1.1(B)(1)(F), because the gain derived from the offense conduct is more than \$150,000 but less than \$250,000, the offense level is increased by 10 levels.
- 4. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 4C1.1(a), because the defendant qualifies for the adjustment for certain zero-point offenders, the offense level is reduced by 2 levels.
- 5. Assuming the defendant clearly demonstrates acceptance of responsibility, to the satisfaction of the Government, through his allocution and subsequent conduct prior to the imposition of sentence, a two-level reduction will be warranted, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(a). Furthermore, assuming the defendant has accepted responsibility as described in the previous sentence, the Government will move at sentencing for an additional one-level reduction, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b), because the defendant gave timely notice of the defendant's intention to enter a plea of guilty, thereby permitting the Government to avoid preparing for trial and permitting the Court to allocate its resources efficiently.

In accordance with the above, the applicable Guidelines offense level is 13.

#### **B.** Criminal History Category

Based upon the information now available to this Office (including representations by the defense), the defendant has no criminal history points.

In accordance with the above, the defendant's Criminal History Category is I.

#### C. Sentencing Range

Based upon the calculations set forth above, the defendant's stipulated Guidelines range is 12 to 18 months' imprisonment (the "Stipulated Guidelines Range"). In addition, after determining the defendant's ability to pay, the Court may impose a fine pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5E1.2. At Guidelines level 13, the applicable fine range is \$5,500 to \$5,000,000.

Because, however, a global plea by the defendant and co-defendant Andrew Stiles (together, the "Defendants") at this early stage of the proceedings would conserve substantial resources for this Office and the Court, the parties agree that, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a), a downward variance equivalent to a one-level decrease in the offense level is appropriate. With this one-level decrease, the defendant's offense level would be 12, and the Guidelines range would be 10 to 16 months' imprisonment, and the applicable fine range would be \$5,500 to \$5,000,000. It is understood that this Office agrees to this downward variance in consideration for the pleas of both Defendants under the agreements extended to them. The defendant understands and acknowledges that the parties will agree not to seek this downward variance if any of the Defendants does not enter a plea under the agreement extended to them. The parties further understand and acknowledge that each agreement extended to the Defendants expires on February 23, 2024, subject to this Office extending this deadline, in its sole discretion, in light of the Court's availability or lack thereof.

The parties agree that neither a downward nor an upward departure from the Stipulated Guidelines Range set forth above is warranted. Accordingly, neither party will seek any departure or adjustment pursuant to the Guidelines that is not set forth herein. Nor will either party in any way suggest that the Probation Office or the Court consider such a departure or adjustment under the Guidelines.

The parties agree that either party may seek a sentence outside of the Stipulated Guidelines Range based upon the factors to be considered in imposing a sentence pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a).

Except as provided in any written Proffer Agreement(s) that may have been entered into between this Office and the defendant, nothing in this Agreement limits the right of the parties (i) to present to the Probation Office or the Court any facts relevant to sentencing; (ii) to make any arguments regarding where within the Stipulated Guidelines Range (or such other range as the Court may determine) the defendant should be sentenced and regarding the factors to be considered in imposing a sentence pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a); (iii) to seek an appropriately adjusted Guidelines range if it is determined based upon new information that the defendant's criminal history category is different from that set forth above; and (iv) to seek an appropriately adjusted Guidelines range or mandatory minimum term of imprisonment if it is subsequently determined that the defendant qualifies as a career offender under U.S.S.G. § 4B1.1. Nothing in this Agreement limits the right of the Government to seek denial of the adjustment for acceptance of responsibility, see U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, regardless of any stipulation set forth above, if the defendant fails clearly to demonstrate acceptance of responsibility, to the satisfaction of the Government, through the defendant's allocution and subsequent conduct prior to the imposition of sentence. Similarly, nothing in this Agreement limits the right of the Government to seek an enhancement for obstruction of justice, see U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, regardless of any stipulation set forth

above, should it be determined that the defendant has either (i) engaged in conduct, unknown to the Government at the time of the signing of this Agreement, that constitutes obstruction of justice or (ii) committed another crime after signing this Agreement.

It is understood that pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 6B1.4(d), neither the Probation Office nor the Court is bound by the above Guidelines stipulation, either as to questions of fact or as to the determination of the proper Guidelines to apply to the facts. In the event that the Probation Office or the Court contemplates any Guidelines adjustments, departures, or calculations different from those stipulated to above, or contemplates any sentence outside of the stipulated Guidelines range, the parties reserve the right to answer any inquiries and to make all appropriate arguments concerning the same.

It is understood that the sentence to be imposed upon the defendant is determined solely by the Court. It is further understood that the Guidelines are not binding on the Court. The defendant acknowledges that the defendant's entry of a guilty plea to the charged offenses authorizes the sentencing court to impose any sentence, up to and including the statutory maximum sentence. This Office cannot, and does not, make any promise or representation as to what sentence the defendant will receive. Moreover, it is understood that the defendant will have no right to withdraw the defendant's plea of guilty should the sentence imposed by the Court be outside the Guidelines range set forth above.

It is agreed (i) that the defendant will not file a direct appeal, nor bring a collateral challenge, including but not limited to an application under Title 28, United States Code, Section 2255 and/or Section 2241, of any sentence within or below the Stipulated Guidelines Range of 12 to 18 months' imprisonment, and (ii) that the Government will not appeal any sentence within or above the Stipulated Guidelines Range. This provision is binding on the parties even if the Court employs a Guidelines analysis different from that stipulated to herein. Furthermore, it is agreed that any appeal as to the defendant's sentence that is not foreclosed by this provision will be limited to that portion of the sentencing calculation that is inconsistent with (or not addressed by) the above stipulation. The parties agree that this waiver applies regardless of whether the term of imprisonment is imposed to run consecutively to or concurrently with the undischarged portion of any other sentence of imprisonment that has been imposed on the defendant at the time of sentencing in this case. The defendant further agrees not to appeal or bring a collateral challenge of any term of supervised release that is less than or equal to the statutory maximum. The defendant also agrees not to appeal or bring a collateral challenge of any fine that is less than or equal to \$5,000,000 or any forfeiture amount that is less than or equal to \$724,956. Government agrees not to appeal any fine that is greater than or equal to \$5,500 or any forfeiture amount that is more than or equal to \$724,956. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to be a waiver of whatever rights the defendant may have to assert claims of ineffective assistance of counsel, whether on direct appeal, collateral review, or otherwise. Rather, it is expressly agreed that the defendant reserves those rights.

The defendant hereby acknowledges that the defendant has accepted this Agreement and decided to plead guilty because the defendant is in fact guilty. By entering this plea of guilty, the defendant waives any and all right to withdraw the defendant's plea or to attack the defendant's conviction or sentence, either on direct appeal or collaterally, on the ground that the Government has failed to produce any discovery material (other than information establishing the factual

innocence of the defendant), including *Jencks* Act material, material pursuant to *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and impeachment material pursuant to *Giglio v. United States*, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), that has not already been produced as of the date of the signing of this Agreement.

It is further agreed that should the conviction following the defendant's plea of guilty pursuant to this Agreement be vacated for any reason, then any prosecution that is not time-barred by the applicable statute of limitations on the date of the signing of this agreement (including any counts that the Government has agreed to dismiss at sentencing pursuant to this Agreement) may be commenced or reinstated against the defendant, notwithstanding the expiration of the statute of limitations between the signing of this Agreement and the commencement or reinstatement of such prosecution. It is the intent of this Agreement to waive all defenses based on the statute of limitations with respect to any prosecution that is not time-barred on the date that this Agreement is signed.

It is further understood that this Agreement does not bind any federal, state, or local prosecuting authority other than this Office.

The parties understand that this Agreement reflects the special facts of this case and is not intended as precedent for other cases.

Apart from any written Proffer Agreement(s) that may have been entered into between this Office and defendant, this Agreement supersedes any prior understandings, promises, or conditions between this Office and the defendant. No additional understandings, promises, or

[THIS AREA INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

conditions have been entered into other than those set forth in this Agreement, and none will be entered into unless in writing and signed by all parties.

		Very truly yours,
	By:	DAMIAN WILLIAMS United States Attorney  Alex Rossmiller Allison Nichols Nicolas Roos Assistant United States Attorneys (212) 637-2415  APPROVED:  Matthew Podolsky Co-Chief, Securities and Commodities Fraud Unit
AGREED AND CONSENTED TO:		
Gray Stiles		DATE
APPROVED:		22
ALL ROVED.		
Alan S. Futerfas, Esq. Attorney for Gray Stiles		DATE

conditions have been entered into other than those set forth in this Agreement, and none will be entered into unless in writing and signed by all parties.

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Very truly yours,
By:	DAMIAN WILLIAMS United States Attorney  Alex Rossmiller Allison Nichols Nicolas Roos Assistant United States Attorneys (212) 637-2415
	APPROVED:  Matthew Podolsky Co-Chief, Securities and Commodities Fraud Unit
ACREED AND CONSENTED TO:  Gray Stiles	2/25/24 DATE
APPROVED:	
Alan S. Futerfas, Esq. Attorney for Gray Stiles	DATE