

ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

- - - - - x  
:  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :  
:  
:  
- v. - :  
:  
GARY HIRST, :  
JARED GALANIS, :  
DEREK GALANIS, and :  
YMER SHAHINI, :  
:  
Defendants. :  
:  
- - - - - x

SUPERSEDING  
INDICTMENT

S1 15 Cr. 643 (PKC)

USDC SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #:  
DATE FILED: 8/10/16

COUNT ONE

(Conspiracy to Commit Securities Fraud)

The Grand Jury charges:

Relevant Individuals and Entities

1. At all times relevant to this Indictment, Gerova Financial Group, Ltd. ("Gerova"), formerly known as Asia Special Situation Acquisition Corporation ("ASSAC"), purported to be an international reinsurance company incorporated in the Cayman Islands in March 2007 and later redomiciled in Bermuda. Gerova's officers and employees worked out of offices located in, among other places, New York, New York. Until in or about 2011, Gerova, a foreign private issuer, traded publicly in the United States on the New York Stock Exchange's ("NYSE") Amex Equities exchange (the "Amex Exchange"), and later on the NYSE, under the

ticker symbol "GFC." At certain times relevant to this Indictment, trades on the Amex Exchange and the NYSE were executed in New York, New York. In or about July 2012, Gerova commenced liquidation proceedings and filed for bankruptcy protection.

The GALANIS Family

2. At all times relevant to this Indictment, Jason Galanis was an investment banker, venture investor and consultant to a variety of publicly-traded and privately held companies, including Gerova. Jason Galanis owned equity, either directly or indirectly, in many of these companies, including Gerova. At certain points relevant to the Indictment, Jason Galanis owned or controlled, directly or indirectly, approximately 50% of Gerova's free trading shares. In or about April 2007, as a result of an action commenced by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), Jason Galanis was judicially barred from serving as an officer or director of a publicly-traded company for a period of five years.

3. At all times relevant to this Indictment, John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," was a venture investor who owned or invested in, directly or indirectly, a number of publicly-traded and privately held companies. John Galanis is the father to, among others, JARED GALANIS and DEREK GALANIS, the defendants, and to Jason Galanis. Due to prior convictions and regulatory proceedings

involving securities fraud, John Galanis often conducted his business through his sons, associates and/or nominees. In addition, as a result of an SEC action, John Galanis was permanently barred from, among other things, trading securities through any brokerage account other than in his own name or the names of his wife or children.

4. At all times relevant to this Indictment, JARED GALANIS, the defendant, a lawyer by training, participated in transactions at the direction of Jason Galanis, his brother, John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," his father, and others, in connection with, among others, the public and private companies controlled by Jason and John Galanis.

5. At all times relevant to this Indictment, DEREK GALANIS, the defendant, assisted Jason Galanis, John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," and JARED GALANIS, the defendant, in engaging in certain transactions related to, among other companies, Gerova.

GALANIS Family Co-Conspirators

6. At certain times relevant to this Indictment, GARY HIRST, the defendant, was the President of Gerova and the Chairman of Gerova's Board of Directors. As well, HIRST served as an adviser to other companies affiliated with Jason Galanis.

7. At all times relevant to this Indictment, YMER SHAHINI, the defendant, was a national of both Kosovo and Canada, and had an additional residence in the Czech Republic. SHAHINI and DEREK

GALANIS, the defendant, were long-time friends; SHAHINI had prior business dealings with members of the GALANIS family.

Investment Advisory Firms and Their Principals

8. At all times relevant to this Indictment, Gavin Hamels provided investment advisory services to clients through an investment advisory firm ("Investment Firm-1"), which was acquired by a bank ("Bank-1") in or about January 2010. Hamels served as the portfolio manager for Investment Firm-1 clients, while his partner, a co-conspirator not named as a defendant herein ("CC-1"), managed the client accounts. At all times relevant to this Indictment, Investment Firm-1 (and Bank-1) was a registered investment adviser with the SEC.

9. At all times relevant to this Indictment, a co-conspirator not named as a defendant herein ("CC-2") provided investment advisory services to clients through an investment advisory firm based in the U.S. Virgin Islands ("Investment Firm-2"). At all times relevant to this Indictment, CC-2 was the President of Investment Firm-2, which was a registered investment adviser with the SEC.

10. At all times relevant to this Indictment, a co-conspirator not named as a defendant herein ("CC-3"), provided investment advisory services to clients through an investment advisory firm ("Investment Firm-3"), which was registered with

the SEC and based in Colorado Springs, Colorado. At all relevant times, CC-3 was one of two principals of Investment Firm-3.

11. At all times relevant to this Indictment, Weston Capital Asset Management ("Weston Capital") was a registered investment adviser with the SEC and had places of business in, among other places, New York, New York. Weston Capital managed over a dozen funds, including a fund it called the Wimbledon Financing Fund ("WFF"), which focused on asset-backed lending investments.

12. At all times relevant to this Indictment, Stillwater Capital Partners ("Stillwater") was a registered investment adviser that managed funds of other hedge funds, asset-backed funds, and real estate funds (collectively the "Stillwater Funds") for its clients. At all times relevant to this Indictment, Stillwater's place of business was New York, New York.

#### Overview of the Scheme to Defraud

13. From in or about 2009 up to and including in or about 2011, GARY HIRST, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and YMER SHAHINI, the defendants, as well as Jason Galanis, John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," and others, conspired to engage in a scheme to defraud Gerova shareholders and the investing public by effecting securities transactions in Gerova stock for the purpose of conferring millions of dollars of undisclosed remuneration on

themselves and on their co-conspirators, without adequate disclosure of Jason Galanis's role in directing the transactions or the benefits received by Jason Galanis and his co-conspirators.

14. As a part of the scheme to defraud, GARY HIRST, the defendant, assisted Jason Galanis in obtaining sufficient control over Gerova to be able to cause Gerova to enter into transactions of Jason Galanis's design, and for the benefit of Jason Galanis, HIRST and other members of the scheme, including the issuance of Gerova stock, which often had no legitimate business purpose and which harmed other Gerova shareholders. Jason Galanis obtained this control without causing himself to be identified as an officer or director of Gerova so as to purport to abide by the SEC-imposed bar which forbade him from holding such positions at publicly traded companies.

15. As a further part of the scheme to defraud, after causing Gerova to, among other things, issue shares of Gerova stock at the time and in the manner and the quantity of his choosing, Jason Galanis with the assistance of John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," and of GARY HIRST, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and YMER SHAHINI, the defendants, then gained and maintained control over a significant percentage of the free-trading shares of Gerova without publicly disclosing Jason Galanis's control over those shares. Among other means and methods, Jason Galanis



and GARY HIRST, the defendant, caused Gerova stock, which was intended for Jason Galanis's ultimate benefit, to be issued to and held in the name of SHAHINI, who knowingly served as a foreign nominee for Jason Galanis. HIRST, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and SHAHINI understood that the purpose of the stock grant to SHAHINI was to disguise Jason Galanis's ownership interest in the stock, and to evade the SEC's regulations for issuing unregistered shares of stock.

16. At the same time, and as a further part of the scheme to defraud, JARED GALANIS and DEREK GALANIS, the defendants, and John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," among others, opened and managed brokerage accounts in the name of YMER SHAHINI, the defendant (the "SHAHINI Accounts"), effected the sale of Gerova stock from the SHAHINI Accounts, and received and concealed the proceeds derived therefrom, knowing that this activity was designed to conceal from the investing public Jason Galanis's ownership of and control over the Gerova stock.

17. As a further part of the scheme to defraud, Jason Galanis, with the assistance of John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," among others, induced investment advisers, including Gavin Hamels, CC-1, CC-2 and CC-3, to purchase shares of Gerova stock in the investment advisers' client accounts by offering compensation and/or other benefits to the respective investment adviser. By causing the purchase of Gerova stock at the time,

quantity and/or price of their choosing, Jason and John Galanis were able to, among other things, effectuate the sale of large quantities of Gerova stock from the SHAHINI Accounts that Jason Galanis controlled while artificially maintaining the price of Gerova stock through the coordinated match trading described herein. Such coordinated trading served to manipulate the market for Gerova stock and deceive the investing public. As a result, Jason Galanis and his co-conspirators, GARY HIRST, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and YMER SHAHINI, the defendants, reaped tens of millions of dollars in profits while defrauding the investing public.

#### The Formation of Gerova

18. On or about January 19, 2010, Gerova was formed when ASSAC, its predecessor company, announced that it was changing its name to Gerova and that its shareholders had approved several acquisitions. At that time, ASSAC, which traded on the Amex Exchange, acquired, among other assets, (i) certain illiquid assets held by the Stillwater Funds and (ii) all of the assets of Weston Capital's Wimbledon Financing Fund. ASSAC funded these acquisitions with the issuance of stock.

19. In connection with Gerova's acquisition of certain assets held by the Stillwater Funds, CC-3, the investment adviser, played a role in introducing Stillwater to Jason Galanis and Gerova. Likewise, in connection with Gerova's acquisition of



Weston Capital's Wimbledon Financing Fund, one of Gerova's officers caused Weston Capital to be introduced to Jason Galanis and Gerova.

**The Fraudulent Issuance and Sale of Gerova Stock for  
the Benefit of the Co-Conspirators**

**The Fraudulent Consulting Agreement**

20. Sometime after Gerova secured its Amex Exchange listing, in or about 2010, GARY HIRST, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and YMER SHAHINI, the defendants, together with Jason Galanis and John Galanis a/k/a "Yanni," schemed to cause Gerova to issue millions of free-trading shares of stock, for no legitimate business purpose, to benefit themselves and their co-conspirators.

21. Because Gerova had not complied with SEC regulations regarding the issuance of new shares of stock, its stock could not be issued to U.S. persons without restriction from resale for a period of at least six months, unless an exemption applied, as the conspirators well knew.

22. To effectuate the issuance of shares that could be sold in the short term, which suited the scheme's purposes, GARY HIRST, JARED GALANIS, and DEREK GALANIS, the defendants, conspired with Jason Galanis and John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," to cause Gerova to issue stock to a foreign individual who, as these defendants well knew, could receive unrestricted shares of Gerova stock under relevant SEC rules, so long as the foreign national

obliged himself, among other things, not to resell the shares in the United States.

23. Accordingly, in furtherance of the scheme, in or about May 2010, DEREK GALANIS, the defendant, at the direction of and with the knowledge and approval of JARED GALANIS, the defendant, and of Jason Galanis and John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," recruited his friend, YMER SHAHINI, the defendant, a citizen of Kosovo and Canada who was living in the Czech Republic at the time, to participate in the co-conspirators' scheme by receiving Gerova stock in SHAHINI's name, which stock was in fact controlled by and for the benefit of Jason Galanis and his co-conspirators, in exchange for a portion of the scheme's proceeds. As DEREK GALANIS explained to SHAHINI in a May 22, 2010 email, "All we need is a foreign national we trust which is where you come in my friend. Call me ASAP . . . Anytime day or night."

24. In order to make the issuance of the Gerova shares appear legitimate, GARY HIRST, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and YMER SHAHINI, the defendants, together with Jason Galanis and John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," then caused Gerova to enter into a fraudulent consulting agreement with SHAHINI that falsely claimed that SHAHINI was owed compensation for introducing Weston Capital to Gerova in connection with Gerova's acquisition of certain of Weston Capital's assets in January 2010 (the "SHAHINI Consulting Agreement"). The purported execution date of the SHAHINI

Consulting Agreement was January 22, 2010. In truth and in fact, and as the co-conspirators well knew, SHAHINI played no role in introducing Weston Capital to Jason Galanis and/or Gerova and was not entitled to any compensation related thereto.

The Fraudulent Warrant Agreement and Opinion Letter

25. In addition to causing the issuance of the fraudulent SHAHINI Consulting Agreement, GARY HIRST, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and YMER SHAHINI, the defendants, together with Jason Galanis and John Galanis a/k/a "Yanni," caused Gerova to enter into a warrant agreement with SHAHINI, with a purported execution date of March 29, 2010 (the "SHAHINI Warrant Agreement"), which provided for the issuance of 11,000,000 warrants to SHAHINI in lieu of any cash payment called for by the SHAHINI Consulting Agreement, thereby enabling SHAHINI to acquire ordinary shares of Gerova at \$7.50 per share.

26. In or about May 2010, GARY HIRST, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and YMER SHAHINI, the defendants, together with Jason Galanis and John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," fraudulently obtained an attorney opinion letter, transmitted to and from Westchester County, New York, which authorized the removal of restrictions on the Gerova shares to be issued to SHAHINI following his exercise of certain warrants pursuant to the SHAHINI Warrant Agreement. The co-conspirators, including GARY HIRST, DEREK GALANIS, and YMER SHAHINI, procured the opinion letter based on the

misrepresentation, among others, that SHAHINI intended to dispose of his securities to persons outside the United States, thereby complying with applicable SEC regulations, when in truth and in fact, the co-conspirators planned to sell the securities to persons in the United States and to personally profit therefrom.

27. Having secured this opinion letter through fraudulent means, GARY HIRST, the defendant, with the knowledge of his co-conspirators, authorized the issuance of approximately 5.3 million ordinary shares of Gerova stock to YMER SHAHINI, the defendant, with the knowledge and understanding that the granting of stock to SHAHINI served to obscure the true ownership and control of the stock by Jason Galanis, and the other co-conspirators. HIRST effected this stock grant despite language in Gerova's by-laws, of which HIRST was aware, that Gerova securities, including options and warrants, could only be issued or conferred by the full Board of Directors or a designated committee thereof.

28. On or about May 27, 2010, the date of the receipt of the shares by YMER SHAHINI, the defendant, the stock price of Gerova closed at \$13.56 per share, rendering the 5.3 million shares worth approximately \$72 million. Because there were approximately 5.6 million unrestricted public shares in the marketplace prior to May 27, 2010, the issuance of these 5.3

million shares almost doubled the public float of Gerova, thereby diluting the value of the shares owned by Gerova investors.

29. The issuance of approximately 5.3 million shares to YMER SHAHINI, the defendant, had no legitimate business purpose, as GARY HIRST, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and SHAHINI, the defendants, as well as Jason Galanis and John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," well knew. For example, on May 27, 2010, the date the shares were transferred to SHAHINI, SHAHINI sent an e-mail to DEREK GALANIS acknowledging as much: "I forgot to mention accorting [sic] to this I'm rich!" DEREK GALANIS replied: "If we do this just right, my friend, we all may be!"

30. Just days later, on June 8, 2010, John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," emailed YMER SHAHINI, the defendant, certain basic information about Weston Capital - information SHAHINI surely would have known had he actually introduced Weston to Gerova and deserved the equivalent of \$72 million in connection with the deal.

The Intentional Non-Disclosure of the  
Fraudulent Documents and the Stock Issuance

31. As a further part of the fraudulent scheme, GARY HIRST, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and YMER SHAHINI, the defendants, deliberately failed to disclose the existence of either the SHAHINI Consulting Agreement or the SHAHINI Warrant Agreement to



Gerova's Board of Directors, Gerova's shareholders, the NYSE, or to the investing public.

32. For example, an April 23, 2010 document submitted by GARY HIRST, the defendant, to the Amex Exchange, which purported to disclose, among other things, all consulting agreements entered into by Gerova as of that date, omitted any mention of the SHAHINI Consulting Agreement, despite the fact that the Agreement had purportedly been executed in January 2010 and clearly fell within the Amex Exchange's request.

33. In addition, in or about June 2010, when specifically asked by Gerova's Chief Financial Officer (the "CFO") about the SHAHINI Consulting Agreement in connection with the CFO's preparation of the company's annual financial disclosures, GARY HIRST, the defendant, deliberately misled the CFO about the fraudulent nature of the SHAHINI Consulting Agreement and omitted entirely any mention of the SHAHINI Warrant Agreement or the issuance of 5.3 million shares of Gerova stock, despite the obvious relevance and connection of the two Agreements to each other and to the company's financial disclosures. By his false and misleading statements and omissions, HIRST caused certain of Gerova's publicly reported results to be false and misleading, including the company's reported stock grants related to the costs of its business combinations.

34. Moreover, while the SHAHINI Warrant Agreement was signed by GARY HIRST, the defendant, on behalf of Gerova, it was not approved by Gerova's Board of Directors at the time of its purported execution in or about March 2010, or otherwise made known to any other officer or director of Gerova, until September 2010, when Gerova's CFO discovered the shares granted to YMER SHAHINI, the defendant, only while reviewing a shareholder list provided by a third party. Only upon the insistence of Gerova's CFO did HIRST present the SHAHINI Warrant Agreement to Gerova's Board of Directors in or about October 2010, for after-the-fact ratification.

35. As a further example, in or about January 2011, GARY HIRST, the defendant, caused Gerova to issue a letter to the NYSE containing material misrepresentations concerning, among other things, the true ownership and control of the 5.3 million shares of Gerova stock issued pursuant to the SHAHINI Warrant Agreement.

Deception in Connection With the SHAHINI Accounts

36. In furtherance of the conspiracy and the scheme to defraud, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and YMER SHAHINI, the defendants, together with Jason Galanis and John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," caused the 5.3 million shares of Gerova stock issued in May 2010 to be deposited into the SHAHINI Accounts, which were held at four different U.S. brokerages, and then later to be sold in the U.S. for the benefit of the co-conspirators.

37. In order to facilitate this aspect of the scheme, DEREK GALANIS and JARED GALANIS, the defendants, together with John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," directed YMER SHAHINI, the defendant, to open each of the SHAHINI Accounts, and, in correspondence with SHAHINI, provided him with instructions for communicating with representatives of the respective brokerage firms. In certain of these communications, SHAHINI made materially false statements to the brokerage firms where the SHAHINI Accounts were held, including on or about June 21, 2010, when SHAHINI represented to one firm that he had received five million shares of Gerova as a "fee" for helping to facilitate the Gerova/Stillwater transaction, when in truth and in fact, CC-3 had previously received compensation for making that same introduction and SHAHINI had played no role in it, as he knew.

38. In addition, YMER SHAHINI, the defendant, gave JARED GALANIS and DEREK GALANIS, the defendants, as well as an employee ("Associate-1") of an entity associated with John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," power to execute trades and disburse proceeds from the SHAHINI Accounts on his behalf. When SHAHINI did engage in transactions in the SHAHINI Accounts, he did so at the direction of JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, Jason Galanis and John Galanis.

39. For example, on or about November 15, 2010, John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," emailed Associate-1 copying the law firm email address of JARED GALANIS, the defendant (the "JMG

Account"), with the subject "tasks for the 16th as I will be traveling." In the email, John Galanis instructed Associate-1 to, among other things, transfer almost three million shares of Gerova among the SHAHINI Accounts and to "sell 40,000 shares of [Gerova] from the [SHAHINI] [A]ccount." Regarding the Gerova sales, John Galanis wrote: "Most important you put orders 10,000 shares at a time every hour on the [hour] starting at 10:00PST with the last one 10 minutes before the close."

40. In engaging in this aspect of the scheme, John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," acted in direct violation of his judicially-imposed lifetime bar on executing securities trades in brokerages accounts in the names of those other than his own or his family members'. In order to conceal his role in directing the stock trading in the SHAHINI Accounts, John Galanis took steps to disguise his communications by, for example, (i) using an email account in the name of an attorney who worked for the GALANIS family, rather than in his own name; (ii) using the JMG Account, which was also used by JARED GALANIS, the defendant; and (iii) identifying himself as JARED GALANIS on certain telephone calls.

41. YMER SHAHINI, the defendant, well understood his role in the scheme, describing himself in an April 2012 letter to John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," as a "foreign nominee" who had allowed the GALANIS family to "maintain [a] grip upon [his] identity for

[its] own fraudulent use" including "operating bank accounts under [SHAHINI's] name" "as well as sending fraudulent emails."

Manipulating the Market for Gerova Stock  
With Investment Firm-1

42. On or about June 14, 2010, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and YMER SHAHINI, the defendants, together with Jason Galanis and John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," began to cause the sale of Gerova stock from the SHAHINI Accounts. From their trading in the last two weeks of June 2010, the defendants realized a net profit of approximately \$6.4 million. During many of those trading days, sales from the SHAHINI Accounts constituted a significant portion of the daily volume of Gerova shares traded.

43. By the end of June 2010, however, Gerova's stock price had declined dramatically from its closing price on June 14, 2010, which was \$17.25 per share, to \$5.41 per share -- a nearly 69 percent decline. The drop in Gerova's stock price hurt the conspirators' ability to profit from their scheme.

44. In order to artificially stabilize Gerova's stock price in the face of the selling pressure from the SHAHINI Accounts, and to maximize the profits for the illicit scheme, Jason Galanis sought out investment advisers who would be willing to corruptly coordinate purchases of Gerova stock in client accounts with sales from the SHAHINI Accounts, thereby propping up the stock



price and manipulating the market, in exchange for compensation or some other benefit.

45. On or about June 23, 2010, Jason Galanis attended a meeting at a hotel in Los Angeles, California with Gavin Hamels and CC-1 from Investment Firm-1. At the time of the meeting, Investment Firm-1 was suffering from significant trading losses incurred in certain client accounts, as Jason Galanis knew. At the meeting, Jason Galanis proposed that Hamels and CC-1 buy \$10 million worth of Gerova stock in their clients' accounts in exchange for stock and cash for the benefit of Investment Firm-1. Specifically, Jason Galanis offered to compensate Hamels and CC-1 for purchasing Gerova stock by transferring to Investment Firm-1 clients, at no cost, shares of two companies that traded on the Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board and which Jason Galanis controlled (the "OTC Stocks") as well as up to \$2 million for Investment Firm-1 clients who had suffered losses. Further, Jason Galanis requested that Hamels and CC-1 commit to holding Gerova stock in their client accounts for at least one year.

46. Gavin Hamels and CC-1 agreed to participate in the fraudulent scheme, with the knowledge and understanding that their purchases would be used to manipulate the market for Gerova stock, although they determined that they could not purchase more than approximately \$5 million of stock in client accounts. On or about June 30, 2010, Jason Galanis emailed the JMG Account used

by both JARED GALANIS, the defendant, and John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," and said that he had reached a deal with Hamels and CC-1, and instructed JARED GALANIS to make one of what was to be several wire transfers of money to Investment Firm-1 clients.

47. Between approximately July 6, 2010 and September 10, 2010, Gavin Hamels, with CC-1's knowledge, purchased approximately 900,000 shares of Gerova for approximately 60 Investment Firm-1 clients, at a total cost of approximately \$5.3 million in clients' funds.

48. At or about the same time, Jason Galanis and John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," caused Investment Firm-1 clients to receive cash and hundreds of thousands of shares of the OTC Stocks which appeared to have some value on their face but because both were thinly traded could not readily be sold by Investment Firm-1 clients.

49. Between at least in or about July 2010, up to and including at least in or about September 2010, a cellular telephone with a call number ending in 7047 (the "7047 Phone") was registered to JARED GALANIS, the defendant, and was used at times by John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni." During those same months, Gavin Hamels executed purchases of Gerova stock based on explicit instructions Hamels received from an individual using the 7047 Phone. In these calls, Hamels was provided with instruction as to the time, price and quantity of Gerova stock to be bought.

Jason Galanis and John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," caused these instructions to be relayed to Hamels, and thereby ensured that sales from the SHAHINI Accounts were matched by purchases from Investment Firm-1's clients at prices they set.

50. To match their trades, Gavin Hamels and the user of the 7047 Phone spoke on the phone throughout the relevant trading day. For example, on or about August 10, 2010 and August 11, 2010, Hamels and the user of the 7047 Phone knowingly coordinated their trades as follows:

<u>Date/Time</u>	<u>Event</u>
8/10/2010 11:03am PDT	PHONE CALL: 7047 Phone to Hamels
8/10/2010 11:13am PDT	SELL ORDER: sell order executed from a SHAHINI Account for 7,000 shares of Gerova at \$6.22/share
	BUY ORDER: Investment Firm-1 executes buy order for 7,000 shares of Gerova at \$6.22/share
8/10/2010 12:17pm PDT	PHONE CALL: 7047 Phone to Hamels
8/10/2010 12:17pm PDT	SELL ORDER: sell order executed from a SHAHINI Account for 9,000 shares of Gerova at \$6.20/share
	BUY ORDER: Investment Firm-1 executes buy order for 9,000 shares of Gerova at \$6.20/share
8/11/2010 9:43am PDT	PHONE CALL: 7047 Phone to Hamels
8/11/2010 9:49am PDT	SELL ORDER: sell order executed from a SHAHINI Account for 10,000 shares of Gerova at \$5.94/share
	BUY ORDER: Investment Firm-1 executes buy order for 10,000 shares of Gerova at \$5.94/share

51. As another example, on or about September 9, 2010, Gavin Hamels and the user of the 7047 Phone knowingly coordinated the following trades:

<u>Date/Time</u>	<u>Event</u>
9/9/2010 9:21am PDT	<b>PHONE CALL:</b> 7047 Phone to Hamels
9/9/2010 9:49am PDT	<b>SELL ORDER:</b> sell order executed from a SHAHINI Account for 900 shares of Gerova at \$5.55/share
9/9/2010 9:53am PDT	<b>SELL ORDER:</b> sell order executed from a SHAHINI Account order for 19,100 shares of Gerova at \$5.50/share
9/9/2010 10:00am PDT	<b>BUY ORDER:</b> Investment Firm-1 executes a series of buy orders for 16,800 shares of Gerova at \$5.50/share
9/9/2010 10:00am PDT	<b>SELL ORDER:</b> sell order executed from a SHAHINI Account for 5,000 shares of Gerova at \$5.50/share
9/9/2010 10:04am PDT	<b>SELL ORDER:</b> sell order executed from a SHAHINI Account for 8,600 shares of Gerova at \$5.50/share
	<b>BUY ORDER:</b> Investment Firm-1 executes buy order for 6,000 shares of Gerova at \$5.50/share
9/9/2010 10:09am PDT	<b>BUY ORDER:</b> Investment Firm-1 executes buy order for 2,200 shares of Gerova at \$5.50/share
9/9/2010 10:15am PDT	<b>PHONE CALL:</b> 7047 Phone to Hamels
9/9/2010 10:18am PDT	<b>BUY ORDER:</b> Investment Firm-1 executes buy order for 2,000 shares of Gerova at \$5.49/share
9/9/2010 10:57am PDT	<b>PHONE CALL:</b> Hamels to 7047 Phone
9/9/2010 11:04am PDT	<b>BUY ORDER:</b> Investment Firm-1 executes a series of buy orders for a total of 27,200 shares of Gerova at an average of \$5.35/share
9/9/2010 11:07am PDT	<b>BUY ORDER:</b> Investment Firm-1 executes a series of buy orders for a total of 2,800 shares of Gerova at an average of \$5.35/share
9/9/2010 2:05pm PDT	<b>PHONE CALL:</b> Hamels to 7047 Phone
9/9/2010 2:06pm PDT	<b>PHONE CALL:</b> Hamels to 7047 Phone

52. Gavin Hamels and CC-1 intentionally failed to disclose to either their clients, or their employer, Bank-1, that their receipt of the shares of the OTC Stocks and cash was in exchange for their agreement to cause their clients to purchase Gerova stock.

53. On or about September 24, 2010, Gavin Hamels and CC-1 were fired by Bank-1 after Bank-1 discovered the corrupt arrangement that Hamels and CC-1 had entered into with Jason Galanis. Bank-1 then reimbursed the clients for whom Hamels had purchased Gerova shares, and liquidated the firm's Gerova holdings.

Manipulating the Market for Gerova Stock  
With Investment Firm-2

54. Shortly after Gavin Hamels and CC-1 were fired by Bank-1, the conspirators sought a new investment adviser who would be willing to purchase the Gerova shares they were selling from the SHAHINI Accounts in order to continue the scheme to manipulate the market. In or about September 2010, CC-2 agreed to begin purchasing Gerova shares for his clients at Investment Firm-2, in close coordination with sales of Gerova stock from the SHAHINI Accounts.

55. From on or about September 28, 2010 through on or about February 22, 2011, CC-2 purchased, on a net basis, over 1.5 million shares of Gerova for his clients' accounts for a total of \$24 million. During that same time period, over 900,000 shares of Gerova were sold from the SHAHINI Accounts.

56. Many of the purchases of Gerova by CC-2 in his clients' accounts closely matched sales of Gerova from the SHAHINI accounts. The following chart shows the approximate quantities



of Gerova shares purchased by CC-2, on behalf of his clients, and sold from the SHAHINI Accounts on selected dates:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Shares of Gerova Purchased by CC-2</u>	<u>Shares of Gerova Sold from the SHAHINI Accounts</u>
10/11/2010	25,000	32,600
10/12/2010	25,000	50,000
10/13/2010	41,600	49,000
10/14/2010	10,000	12,183
10/15/2010	16,000	28,638
10/18/2010	27,000	24,900
10/20/2010	40,000	39,000
10/21/2010	36,200	32,305
10/28/2010	48,000	48,806
10/29/2010	42,600	36,000
11/3/2010	27,400	25,800
11/16/2010	10,000	10,000

57. Correspondence between the JMG Account and CC-2 further demonstrates that the trades were coordinated. For example:

a. On or about October 19, 2010, an email was sent from the JMG Account to CC-2 asking CC-2 to do "5k in here," and told CC-2 that he needed the bid "above \$5, very important." On that same day, CC-2 purchased 24,000 shares of Gerova at \$5.01 per share.

b. In a December 13, 2010 e-mail, the JMG Account emailed CC-2 asking CC-2 to put in a "bid pre-opening for 3500 shares at 26," and on the following day, CC-2 confirmed that he complied with the request.

c. Also on or about December 13, 2010, the JMG Account sent an email to CC-2. That email to CC-2 quoted the text of an email that Jason Galanis sent to GARY HIRST, the

defendant. Specifically, the email quoted Jason Galanis as stating the following in his email to HIRST regarding CC-2's purchases of Gerova shares: "it is worth noting that [CC-2] has acquired 975,000 POST SPLIT shares in the market thus far. he is the sole reason [Gerova] isn't in the toilet."

58. Jason Galanis provided CC-2 with benefits in exchange for CC-2's coordinated trading activity in Gerova stock. For example, on or about August 28, 2010, an entity associated with the GALANIS family transferred approximately 1.6 million shares of Gerova to an account that Jason Galanis maintained at Investment Firm-2. These shares, which were in addition to the approximately 1.5 million shares that CC-2 purchased on the open market, were later distributed to Investment Firm-2 clients by CC-2 at no cost. CC-2 described these shares to clients as a "2-for-1," a "buy one get one free," or a special dividend from Gerova.

The GALANIS Family and their Co-Conspirators Profit  
From the Sales of Gerova Stock

59. From in or about June 2010 through in or about May 2011, the SHAHINI Accounts realized approximately \$20 million in profits from the sales of Gerova stock. During that time period, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and YMER SHAHINI, the defendants, along with Associate-1, Jason Galanis, and John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," caused to be made numerous wire transfers from the

SHAHINI Accounts, in order to distribute the proceeds of the sales of Gerova stock. Virtually all of these transfers, which totaled approximately \$19 million, were to entities and individuals affiliated, either directly or indirectly, with Jason Galanis, John Galanis, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and GARY HIRST, the defendants.

60. For example, the following wire transfers occurred in furtherance of the scheme described above:

a. On or about June 17, 2010, \$450,000 of the proceeds from the sale of Gerova shares in one of the SHAHINI Accounts was transferred by wire to a bank account associated with the law firm of JARED GALANIS, the defendant.

b. On or about June 18, 2010, \$162,000 of the proceeds from the sale of Gerova shares in one of the SHAHINI Accounts was transferred by wire to a bank account associated with an entity, 100 percent of the stock of which was owned by Jason Galanis.

c. On or about June 22, 2010, \$2,620,000 of the proceeds from the sale of Gerova shares in one of the SHAHINI Accounts was transferred by wire to a bank account associated with an entity controlled by GARY HIRST, the defendant.

d. On or about July 28, 2010, \$1,747,000 of the proceeds from the sale of Gerova shares in one of the SHAHINI Accounts was transferred by wire to a bank account ("Bank

Account-1") associated with the attorney who provided the fraudulently procured opinion letter discussed above in paragraph 26 of this Indictment. Bank Account-1 was opened at a bank branch located in New York, New York. This wire transfer occurred after John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," sent an email to YMER SHAHINI, the defendant, on or about July 26, 2010, which directed SHAHINI to wire \$1,750,000 to "my [John Galanis's] attorney."

61. On or about February 23, 2011, following the publication of articles which questioned whether Gerova had engaged in wrongdoing, the NYSE halted trading of Gerova's stock. In April 2011, Gerova asked the New York Stock Exchange to delist its securities. Gerova's stock price bottomed out at \$0.00 per share on or about November 2, 2011.

62. Many of the investors on whose behalf Gerova stock was purchased as a result of the match trading described herein lost substantial amounts of money following the decline in the price of Gerova stock. A single investor at Investment Firm-2, on whose behalf CC-2 had purchased Gerova stock as part of the scheme, for instance, lost over \$11 million.

#### **Statutory Allegation**

63. From at least in or about 2009 through in or about 2011, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, GARY HIRST, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and YMER SHAHINI, the defendants, and others known and unknown, willfully and knowingly

did combine, conspire, confederate, and agree together and with each other to commit offenses against the United States, to wit, securities fraud, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j(b) and 78ff, and Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5.

64. It was a part and object of the conspiracy that GARY HIRST, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and YMER SHAHINI, the defendants, and others known and unknown, willfully and knowingly, directly and indirectly, by use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce and of the mails, and of the facilities of national securities exchanges, would and did use and employ manipulative and deceptive devices and contrivances in connection with the purchase and sale of securities, in violation of Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5, by (a) employing devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud; (b) making untrue statements of material fact and omitting to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and (c) engaging in acts, practices, and courses of business which operated and would operate as a fraud and deceit upon persons, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j(b) and 78ff.



Overt Acts

65. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect its illegal object, the following overt acts, among others, were committed in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere:

a. In or about 2010, Jason Galanis caused the fraudulent SHAHINI Consulting Agreement to be issued to YMER SHAHINI, the defendant.

b. In or about May 2010, DEREK GALANIS, the defendant, recruited SHAHINI to receive Gerova shares in SHAHINI's name but which were to be controlled by the co-conspirators.

c. On or about May 26, 2010, GARY HIRST, the defendant, procured an opinion letter from an attorney in Westchester County, New York.

d. On or about June 23, 2010, Jason Galanis met with Gavin Hamels and CC-1 at a hotel in Los Angeles, at which they agreed to a quid pro quo arrangement concerning Gerova stock.

e. On or about July 28, 2010, John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," caused \$1,747,000 of the proceeds from the sale of Gerova shares in one of the SHAHINI Accounts to be transferred by wire to Bank Account-1, which was opened at a bank branch located in New York, New York.

f. On or about September 9, 2010, Gavin Hamels engaged in manipulative trading of Gerova stock.

g. On or about November 15, 2010, John Galanis, a/k/a

"Yanni" sent an email containing instructions for the sale of Gerova stock from the SHAHINI Accounts.

h. In or about January 2011, GARY HIRST, the defendant, sent a letter to the NYSE in New York, New York containing false and misleading statements concerning the true ownership and control of the approximately 5.3 million shares issued pursuant to the SHAHINI Warrant Agreement.

(Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.)

COUNT TWO  
(Securities Fraud)

The Grand Jury further charges:

66. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 62 of this Indictment are repeated and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

67. From at least in or about 2009 through in or about 2011, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, GARY HIRST, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and YMER SHAHINI, the defendants, and others known and unknown, willfully and knowingly, directly and indirectly, by use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce and of the mails, and of the facilities of national securities exchanges, used and employed manipulative and deceptive devices and contrivances in connection with the purchase and sale of securities in violation of Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5, by (a) employing devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud; (b)

making untrue statements of material fact and omitting to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and (c) engaging in acts, practices, and courses of business which operated and would operate as a fraud and deceit upon persons, to wit, the defendants engaged in a scheme to defraud Gerova shareholders and the investing public by effecting securities transactions in Gerova stock for the purpose of conferring undisclosed remuneration on Jason Galanis and his co-conspirators, without adequate disclosure of Jason Galanis's role in the transactions or the benefits received by Jason Galanis and his co-conspirators.

(Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j(b) & 78ff;  
Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5;  
and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.)

**COUNT THREE**

(Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud)

The Grand Jury further charges:

68. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 62 of this Indictment are repeated and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

69. From at least in or about 2009 through in or about 2011 in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, GARY HIRST, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and YMER SHAHINI, the defendants, and others known and unknown, willfully and knowingly did

combine, conspire, confederate, and agree together and with each other to commit wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

70. It was a part and an object of the conspiracy that GARY HIRST, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and YMER SHAHINI, the defendants, willfully and knowingly, having devised and intending to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud, and for obtaining money and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, would and did transmit and cause to be transmitted by means of wire, radio, and television communication in interstate and foreign commerce, writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

(Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.)

**COUNT FOUR**  
(Wire Fraud)

The Grand Jury further charges:

71. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 62 of this Indictment are repeated and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

72. From at least in or about 2009 through in or about 2011 in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, GARY HIRST, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and YMER SHAHINI, the defendants,

willfully and knowingly, having devised and intending to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud and for obtaining money and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, transmitted and caused to be transmitted by means of wire, radio, and television communication in interstate and foreign commerce, writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice, to wit, the defendants engaged in a scheme to defraud Gerova shareholders and the investing public, including through the use of e-mails and wire transfers, by effecting securities transactions in Gerova stock for the purpose of conferring undisclosed remuneration on Jason Galanis and his co-conspirators, without adequate disclosure of Jason Galanis's role in the transactions or the benefits received by Jason Galanis and his co-conspirators.

(Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1343 and 2.)

**COUNT FIVE**

(Investment Adviser Fraud)

The Grand Jury further charges:

73. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 62 of this Indictment are repeated and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

74. From in or about 2007 through in or about 2011, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, as part of his



scheme to enrich himself, his family members and his associates, Jason Galanis, with the assistance of JARED GALANIS, the defendant, and John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," induced investment advisers, including CC-2, to make investments on CC-2's clients' behalf in GALANIS-related entities by providing compensation or other benefits to those investment advisers that were not disclosed to the investment advisers' clients. Jason Galanis, JARED GALANIS, and John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," arranged these quid pro quo transactions with the knowledge and understanding that CC-2 would not fully disclose the quid pro quo arrangements to CC-2's clients.

**Background on Registered Investment Advisory Firms**

75. Investment Firm-2, like most investment advisory firms registered with the SEC, managed portfolios of securities and provided advice on investments for clients. Compensation took different forms but typically included a fee based on total assets under management and additional performance-based returns. Pursuant to investment advisory agreements, clients empowered these Investment Firms and their principals to make investment decisions on their behalf, with the understanding that the investment advisers would make such decisions based on the best interests of their clients.

**The Schemes to Defraud**

76. As described herein, Jason Galanis, JARED GALANIS, the defendant, and John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," aided and abetted fraud on investment advisory clients by causing millions of dollars in payments to be made to CC-2 in exchange for CC-2 investing over \$100 million of Investment Firm-2's clients' funds in various entities associated with Jason Galanis and JARED GALANIS, including by purchasing shares of Gerova. Some of the purchases of Gerova stock that CC-2 made on his clients' behalf were executed in New York, New York. CC-2 concealed from his clients his receipt of compensation in exchange for the investment of his clients' funds in entities associated with Jason Galanis and JARED GALANIS.

**Statutory Allegation**

77. Between in and about 2007 and in or about 2011, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, JARED GALANIS, the defendant, willfully and knowingly used the mails and other means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, directly and indirectly, (a) to employ a device, scheme, and artifice to defraud clients and prospective clients; (b) to engage in a transaction, practice, and course of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon clients and prospective clients; and (c) to engage in an act, practice, and course of business which was fraudulent, deceptive, and manipulative, to wit, Jason Galanis

and JARED GALANIS aided and abetted fraud by CC-2, in which CC-2 intentionally withheld material information regarding transactions, including CC-2's receipt of benefits in exchange for purchasing certain securities, from CC-2's investment advisory clients.

(Title 15, United States Code, Sections 80b-6 and 80b-17;  
and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.)

**COUNT SIX**

(Conspiracy to Commit Securities Fraud)

The Grand Jury further charges:

**The Scheme to Defraud**

78. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 62, 65, and 74 through 76 of this Indictment are repeated and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

79. From in or about November 2007 up to and including in or about April 2010, JARED GALANIS, the defendant, along with Jason Galanis, John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," and CC-2, participated in a scheme with others to defraud the clients of Investment Firm-2. As set forth above, oftentimes in exchange for compensation from Jason Galanis, JARED GALANIS, John Galanis, and CC-2 caused Investment Firm-2 clients to invest in notes issued by entities associated with Jason Galanis and JARED GALANIS, i.e., to make loans to entities associated with Jason Galanis and JARED GALANIS. When these obligations to Investment Firm-2 clients became due, CC-2 directed a complex series of securities trades among and

between client accounts that CC-2 controlled. These trades allowed CC-2 to use funds in Investment Firm-2 client accounts for the benefit of Jason Galanis and JARED GALANIS.

80. When obligations owed by entities associated with Jason Galanis and JARED GALANIS, the defendant, became due, CC-2 used client funds to either purchase notes issued by other entities associated with Jason Galanis and JARED GALANIS, or publicly-traded shares held by such entities. The funds generated were then used to pay the original obligations owed to other Investment Firm-2 clients. Through these securities trades, funds in client accounts of one set of Investment Firm-2 investors were used to pay obligations owed to a different set of Investment Firm-2 investors by entities associated with Jason Galanis and JARED GALANIS.

81. At certain times relevant to this Indictment, the custodian of Investment Firm-2's client accounts was located in New York, New York, and communications regarding the placement and disposition of assets in Investment Firm-2 client accounts were directed to the custodian's office in New York, New York.

82. Jason Galanis, JARED GALANIS, the defendant, and John Galanis, a/k/a "Yanni," were made aware through emails that Investment Firm-2 client funds were being used to pay off obligations owed to other Investment Firm-2 clients by entities associated with Jason Galanis and JARED GALANIS as part of the

scheme. For example, on or about April 3, 2010, in an email to the JMG Account, CC-2 wrote, "On my own, I'm trying to help you. [Certain] shares you transferred are being sold to clients. With those proceeds, you're buying back your own notes." Similarly, on or about April 4, 2010, in an email to Jason Galanis, CC-2 again stated that he had used Investment Firm-2 client funds generated by the sale of certain shares from an account held in the name of a company controlled by Jason Galanis to make payments to other Investment Firm-2 clients who held notes in entities associated with Jason Galanis and JARED GALANIS and who were demanding repayment.

83. Through this scheme, the entities associated with Jason Galanis and JARED GALANIS, the defendant, obtained assistance in paying off their debts to Investment Firm-2 clients. In exchange, CC-2 was able to satisfy client redemption requests. CC-2 indicated in an email to the JMG Account that he needed \$5-10 million "to take away the urgency of those [clients] clamoring for their money" and then an additional \$20-30 million to "clear up all your paper [referring to notes that Investment Firm-2 clients held in companies related to Jason Galanis and JARED GALANIS]."

#### **Statutory Allegation**

84. From in or about November 2007 up to and including in or about April 2010, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, JARED GALANIS, the defendant, and others known and



unknown, willfully and knowingly did combine, conspire, confederate, and agree together and with each other to commit offenses against the United States, to wit, securities fraud, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j(b) and 78ff, and Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5.

85. It was a part and object of the conspiracy that JARED GALANIS, the defendant, and others known and unknown, willfully and knowingly, directly and indirectly, by use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce and of the mails, and of the facilities of national securities exchanges, would and did use and employ manipulative and deceptive devices and contrivances in connection with the purchase and sale of securities, in violation of Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5, by (a) employing devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud; (b) making untrue statements of material fact and omitting to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and (c) engaging in acts, practices, and courses of business which operated and would operate as a fraud and deceit upon persons, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j(b) and 78ff.

Overt Acts

86. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect its illegal object, the following overt acts, among others, were committed in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere:

a. On or about January 25, 2010, Jason Galanis caused 9,000,000 shares of a company with which he was associated to be deposited into an account held in the name of a different company controlled by Jason Galanis.

b. On or about February 27, 2008, CC-2 caused \$575,000 of Investment Firm-2 client funds to be wired to Bank Account-1, which had been opened at a bank branch in New York, New York, from which funds were later wired to an account associated with the law firm of JARED GALANIS, the defendant, and to an account associated with CC-2.

c. On or about March 11, 2010, CC-2 caused several Investment Firm-2 clients to purchase shares of a company associated with Jason Galanis for a total of over \$700,000.

d. On or about March 12, 2010, CC-2 used the proceeds from that sale to make payments to a number of clients pursuant to promissory notes issued by various companies controlled by Jason Galanis.

(Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.)

COUNT SEVEN  
(Securities Fraud)

The Grand Jury further charges:

87. The allegations contained in 1 through 62, 65, 74 through 76, and 79 through 83 of this Indictment are repeated and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

88. From at least in or about 2007 through and including in or about 2010, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, JARED GALANIS, the defendant, willfully and knowingly, directly and indirectly, by the use of a means and instrumentality of interstate commerce and of the mails, and of a facility of a national securities exchange, used and employed manipulative and deceptive devices and contrivances in connection with the purchase and sale of securities, in violation of Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5, by: (a) employing devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud; (b) making untrue statements of material facts and omitting to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and (c) engaging in acts, practices, and courses of business which operated and would operate as a fraud and deceit upon other persons, to wit, JARED GALANIS and others caused CC-2 to purchase securities for Investment Firm-2 clients not for legitimate investment reasons, but instead to generate proceeds to be used by companies

affiliated with Jason Galanis and JARED GALANIS to extinguish various debts owed to other Investment Firm-2 clients.

(Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j(b) & 78ff; Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5; and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.)

COUNT EIGHT  
(Misprision of a Felony)

The Grand Jury further charges:

89. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 62, 65, 74 through 76, 79 through 83, and 86 of this Indictment are repeated and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

90. From at least in or about 2009, up to and including in or about 2011, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, JARED GALANIS, the defendant, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, willfully and knowingly concealed and did not as soon as possible make known the same to a judge and other person in civil and military authority under the United States, to wit, JARED GALANIS was aware of John Galanis's participation in a conspiracy to defraud Gerova shareholders in the manner described in Count One and concealed the fraudulent scheme, and did not report the same to law enforcement authorities.

(Title 18, United States Code, Section 4.)

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION AS TO COUNTS ONE THROUGH SEVEN

91. As a result of committing one or more of the offenses alleged in Counts One through Seven, GARY HIRST, JARED GALANIS, DEREK GALANIS, and YMER SHAHINI, the defendants, shall forfeit to the United States pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code Section 2461, any property, real or personal, that constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the commission of the offenses alleged in Counts One through Seven of this Indictment.

Substitute Assets Provision

92. If any of the above-described forfeitable property, as a result of any act or omission any of the defendants:


- a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
- c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
- d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
- e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), and Title 28, United States Code Section 2461, to seek forfeiture of any other property of




the defendants up to the value of the forfeitable property described above.

(Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C);  
Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p);  
Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461.)

  
GRAND JURY FOREPERSON

*August 10, 2016*

  
PREET BHARARA  
United States Attorney

Form No. USA-33s-274 (Ed. 9-25-58)

---

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

- v. -

GARY HIRST,  
JARED GALANIS,  
DEREK GALANIS, and  
YMER SHAHINI,

Defendants.

---

INDICTMENT

S1 15 Cr. 643 (PKC)

(Title 15, United States Code, Sections  
78j(b), 78ff, 80b-6 and 80b-17; Title  
17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section  
240.10b-5;

Title 18, United States Code, Sections  
371, 1343, 1349, 4 and 2.)

  
Foreperson

PREET BHARARA  
U.S. Attorney.

---

8/10/16. Filed Superseding Indictment  
re  
J. P. [Signature]