

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF KENTUCKY
AT LOUISVILLE

FILED
VANESSA L. ARMSTRONG, CLERK
MAR 14 2016
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WEST'N. DIST. KENTUCKY
PLAINTIFF

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

CRIMINAL NO. 3:16-cr-26-M

DAVID CARY FORD

DEFENDANT

PLEA AGREEMENT

Pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the United States of America, by John E. Kuhn, Jr., United States Attorney for the Western District of Kentucky, and defendant, David Cary Ford, and his attorney, Pat Renn, have agreed upon the following:

1. Defendant agrees to waive Indictment by the grand jury and to plead guilty to a felony Information which will be filed against defendant by the United States Attorney for the Western District of Kentucky. That information will charge defendant with violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1343 (wire fraud) and 18 U.S.C. § 1956 (money laundering).

2. Defendant has read the charges against him contained in the Information, and those charges have been fully explained to him by his attorney. Defendant fully understands the nature and elements of the crimes with which he has been charged.

3. Defendant will enter a voluntary plea of guilty to all charges in this case. Defendant will plead guilty because he is in fact guilty of the charges. The parties agree to the following factual basis for this plea:

From November 6, 2008, through February 11, 2015, in the Western District of Kentucky, Jefferson County, Kentucky, David Cary Ford was an attorney who served as the executor of the following estates: the Estate of Sandra A. Benzinger, the Estate of Kenneth L.

Keith, the Estate of William T. Lawson, the Estate of Mary Helen Pfeffer, the Estate of Elinor E. Starr, the Estate of Mary Augustine Starr, and the Estate of Richard Steinmetz. Ford took funds from those estates, in part by causing interstate wire communications including those listed in the indictment, and spent those funds on his own personal use and enjoyment instead of as designated by the decedents of those estates, or for the benefit of the beneficiaries of those estates, in the following amounts:

\$ 737,981.00	Estate of Sandra Benzinger
\$ 492,862.18	Estate of Elinor Starr
\$ 290,315.00	Estate of Mary Starr
\$ 62,343.00	Estate of Kenneth Keith
\$ 26,300.00	Estate of Richard Steinmetz
\$ 17,025.00	Estate of Mary Helen Pfeffer
<u>\$ 8,200.00</u>	Estate of William Lawson
\$1,666,671.18	total

On July 24, 2014, after depleting the funds of the Estate of Kenneth L. Keith as part of the scheme described in Count 1, Ford took \$35,960.18 that he knew to be proceeds from the estate of Elinor E. Starr, moved those funds to his escrow account, and on July 28, 2014 used \$25,000.00 of those funds to pay L. A., a beneficiary of the Estate of Kenneth L. Keith, with the intent to promote the carrying on of his wire fraud scheme and to conceal or disguise the source, origin, nature, ownership or control of those proceeds.

4. Defendant understands that the charges to which he will plead guilty carry a combined maximum term of imprisonment of forty (40) years, a combined maximum fine of \$750,000, and a three (3) year term of supervised release. Defendant understands that an additional term of imprisonment may be ordered if the terms of the supervised release are violated, as explained in 18 U.S.C. § 3583. Defendant understands that as a result of the charges to which he will plead guilty he may be ordered to forfeit any property, real or personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, as the result of the offense alleged in Count 1; and any property, real or personal, that was the subject of each transaction, transportation, transmission or transfer in violation of Section 1956 alleged in Count 2, and all property constituting proceeds obtained as a result of those violations; and all property used in any manner or part to commit or to facilitate the commission of those violations.

5. Defendant recognizes that pleading guilty may have consequences with respect to his immigration status if he is not a citizen of the United States. Under federal law, a broad range of crimes are removable offenses, which may include the offense to which Defendant is pleading guilty. Because removal and other immigration consequences are handled in separate proceedings, Defendant understands that no one, including his attorney or the U.S. District Court, can predict with certainty how his conviction may affect his immigration status. Defendant agrees to plead guilty with a full understanding that this guilty plea may lead to adverse immigration consequences, including possible automatic removal from the United States.

6. Defendant understands that if a term of imprisonment of more than one year is imposed, the Sentencing Guidelines require a term of supervised release and that he will then be subject to certain conditions of release. §§5D1.1, 5D1.2, 5D1.3.

7. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, he surrenders certain rights set forth below. Defendant's attorney has explained those rights to him and the consequences of his waiver of those rights, including the following:

A. If defendant persists in a plea of not guilty to the charges against him, he has the right to a public and speedy trial. The trial could either be a jury trial or a trial by the judge sitting without a jury. If there is a jury trial, the jury would have to agree unanimously before it could return a verdict of either guilty or not guilty. The jury would be instructed that defendant is presumed innocent and that it could not convict him unless, after hearing all the evidence, it was persuaded of defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

B. At a trial, whether by a jury or a judge, the United States would be required to present its witnesses and other evidence against defendant. Defendant would be able to confront those government witnesses and his attorney would be able to cross-examine them. In turn, defendant could present witnesses and other evidence in his own behalf. If the witnesses for defendant would not appear voluntarily, he could require their attendance through the subpoena power of the Court.

C. At a trial, defendant would have a privilege against self-incrimination and he could decline to testify, without any inference of guilt being drawn from his refusal to testify. If defendant desired to do so, he could testify in his own behalf.

8. Defendant understands that the United States Attorney's Office has an obligation to fully apprise the District Court and the United States Probation Office of all facts pertinent to the sentencing process, and to respond to all legal or factual inquiries that might arise either before, during, or after sentencing. Defendant admits all acts and essential elements of the indictment counts to which he pleads guilty.

9. Defendant agrees that the amount of restitution ordered by the Court shall include Defendant's total offense conduct, and is not limited to the counts of conviction. The parties agree and stipulate that Defendant shall pay restitution in the amount of \$1,602,327.14. The parties agree that the restitution shall be ordered due and payable on the date of sentencing. Defendant agrees that any payment schedule imposed by the Court is without prejudice to the United States to take all actions available to it to collect the full amount of the restitution at any time. Restitution payments shall be made payable to the U.S. District Court Clerk at Gene

Snyder Courthouse, 601 W. Broadway, Louisville, KY 40202. The restitution shall be paid to or on behalf of the following victims:

Victim	Amount
St. Mary's Church	\$ 268,459.06
Passionist Community	\$ 245,993.67
Passionist Nuns	\$ 245,993.67
WHAS Crusade For Children	\$ 245,993.67
National Shrine of St. Elizabeth Ann Seton	\$ 134,229.53
Franciscan Sisters of Allegany, Inc.	\$ 134,229.53
Archdiocese of Louisville	\$ 89,486.35
St. Francis of Assisi Church	\$ 44,743.18
Catholic Foreign Mission Society of America, Inc.	\$ 44,743.18
Sisters of Charity of St. Joseph's	\$ 44,743.18
Nazareth Literary and Benevolent Institution, Inc.	\$ 44,743.18
Louis Steinmetz	\$ 24,300.50
Charles Pfeffer	\$ 17,025.00
Little Sisters of the Poor	\$ 5,598.59
Lonnie Aebersold	\$ 3,099.48
Clarence Biegert	\$ 3,099.48
Holy Family Catholic Church	\$ 2,799.30
Our Mother of Sorrows Catholic Church	\$ 2,799.30
Ron Steinmetz	\$ 82.44
Virginia Steinmetz	\$ 82.44
Paul Steinmetz	\$ 82.44
Total	\$1,602,327.14

Restitution is less than the amount of the fraud proceeds because cash was subsequently redeposited to the Lawson estate account to cover some of the theft, and Starr estate assets were used to pay obligations of the Keith estate.

Defendant agrees that not later than 45 days from entry of the Plea Agreement, Defendant shall provide to the United States, under penalty of perjury, a financial disclosure form listing all Defendant's assets/financial interests. Defendant authorizes the United States to run credit bureau reports prior to sentencing, and Defendant will sign releases authorizing the United States to obtain Defendant's financial records. Defendant understands that these assets and financial interests include all assets and financial interests in which Defendant has an interest, direct or

indirect, whether held in Defendant's own name or in the name of another, in any property, real or personal. Defendant shall also identify all assets valued at more than \$5,000 which have been transferred to third parties since the date of the first offense, including the location of the assets and the identity of the third parties. Defendant agrees that the United States may share the contents of the reports and financial disclosures with the Court and U.S. Probation.

Defendant agrees to submit to a deposition in aid of collection at times and places that the United States directs. If the Defendant has a financial advisor or accountant, Defendant agrees, at his expense, to make them available to aid the United States in determining Defendant's net worth. Defendant authorizes the United States to file notice of Lis Pendens prior to judgment on any real property Defendant owns either individually or jointly. Defendant agrees to his name and debt being added to the Treasury Offset Program.

Upon execution of the Plea Agreement, Defendant agrees not to transfer, sell, or secrete any of Defendant's property, real or personal, held jointly, individually or by nominee/third party, valued at \$5,000 or more without first advising the United States not less than 10 days before the proposed sale or transfer. Defendant agrees that failure to comply with any of the provisions of this Agreement constitutes a material breach of the Plea Agreement and Defendant agrees that the United States is relieved of its obligations under this Agreement and/or may not move the Court pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b) to reduce the offense level by one additional level, and may in its discretion argue to the Court that the Defendant should not receive a two-level reduction for acceptance of responsibility pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(a). However, the Defendant may not withdraw his guilty plea because of his breach. The defendant further understands that he may be responsible for a fine, costs of prosecution, costs of incarceration and supervision which may be required.

10. Defendant acknowledges liability for the special assessment mandated by 18 U.S.C. § 3013 and will pay the assessment in the amount, \$100 per count for felony offenses involving individuals, of \$200 to the United States District Court Clerk's Office by the date of sentencing.

11. At the time of sentencing, the United States will

-recommend a sentence of imprisonment at the lowest end of the applicable Guideline Range, but not less than any mandatory minimum term of imprisonment required by law.

-recommend a fine at the lowest end of the applicable Guideline Range, to be due and payable on the date of sentencing.¹

-recommend a reduction of 3 levels below the otherwise applicable Guideline for "acceptance of responsibility" as provided by §3E1.1(a) and (b), provided the defendant does not engage in future conduct which violates any federal or state law, violates a condition of bond, constitutes obstruction of justice, or otherwise demonstrates a lack of acceptance of responsibility. Should such conduct occur and the United States, therefore, opposes the reduction for acceptance, this plea agreement remains binding and the defendant will not be allowed to withdraw his plea.

-stipulate that the amount of loss involved in this case is \$1,666,671.18.

12. Both parties have independently reviewed the Sentencing Guidelines applicable in this case, and in their best judgment and belief, conclude as follows:

A. The Applicable Offense Level should be determined as follows:

The parties agree that the following apply:

7	2B1.1(a)(1), base offense level
+16	2B1.1(b)(1)(I), loss amount of \$1,500,000 to \$3,500,000
+ 2	3B1.3, abuse of position of trust
+ 2	2S1(b)(2)(B), conviction under 18 U.S.C. § 1956
- 3	3E1.1, acceptance of responsibility

¹ The defendant acknowledges that he has read the Notice and Penalty Pages attached to the Information, and that he understands the interest and penalty provisions applicable to the fine imposed and included in the Judgment entered by the Court, said Notice and Penalty Pages are incorporated herein by reference.

The United States maintains that the following should apply, making the Applicable Offense Level 26 (63-78 months), while the Defendant maintains that it should not apply, making the Applicable Offense Level 24 (51-63 months):

+ 2 2B1.1(b)(2)(A), 10 or more victims

B. The Criminal History of defendant shall be determined upon completion of the presentence investigation, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(c).

Both parties reserve the right to object to the USSG §4A1.1 calculation of defendant's criminal history. The parties agree to not seek a departure from the Criminal History Category pursuant to §4A1.3.

C. The foregoing statements of applicability of sections of the Sentencing Guidelines and the statement of facts are not binding upon the Court. The defendant understands the Court will independently calculate the Guidelines at sentencing and defendant may not withdraw the plea of guilty solely because the Court does not agree with either the statement of facts or Sentencing Guideline application.

13. Defendant is aware of his right to appeal his conviction and that 18 U.S.C. § 3742 affords a defendant the right to appeal the sentence imposed. Unless based on claims of ineffective assistance of counsel or prosecutorial misconduct, the Defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives the right (a) to directly appeal his conviction and the resulting sentence pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 4(b) and 18 U.S.C. § 3742, and (b) to contest or collaterally attack his conviction and the resulting sentence under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 or otherwise.

CRIMINAL FORFEITURE 14-17

14. This Agreement is entered into by the United States on the basis of the express representation of defendant that he is making a full and complete disclosure of all assets over

which he exercises control. Conditioned upon such full disclosure, the United States agrees not to seek the seizure/forfeiture of any of defendant's assets other than those specifically delineated in this Agreement. This Agreement does not bind the Internal Revenue Service from the collection of taxes.

15. Defendant agrees to a pre-plea investigation by the United States Marshal's Service for the purpose of assessing the value of each and every asset he owns. Defendant agrees to undergo a full debriefing in order to accomplish this end.

16. Defendant agrees to waive any double jeopardy challenges that defendant may have to any administrative or civil forfeiture actions arising out of the course of conduct that provide the factual basis for this Indictment. Defendant further agrees to waive any double jeopardy challenges that defendant may have to the charges in this Indictment based upon any pending or completed administrative or civil forfeiture actions.

17. Defendant waives and agrees to waive any rights under the Speedy Trial Act and understands and agrees that sentencing may be delayed until the cooperation phase has been completed and title to all assets has fully vested in the United States. The reason for such waiver is so that at sentencing the Court will have the benefit of all relevant information.

18. Defendant agrees not to pursue or initiate any civil claims or suits against the United States of America, its agencies or employees, whether or not presently known to defendant, arising out of the investigation or prosecution of the offenses covered by this Agreement.

19. The defendant hereby waives all rights, whether asserted directly or by a representative, to request or receive from any department or agency of the United States any records pertaining to the investigation or prosecution of this case, including without limitation

any records that may be sought under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, or the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. § 552a.

20. Defendant agrees to interpose no objection to the United States transferring evidence or providing information concerning defendant and this offense, to other state and federal agencies or other organizations, including, but not limited to the Internal Revenue Service, other law enforcement agencies, and any licensing and regulatory bodies, or to the entry of an order under Fed. R. Crim. P. 6(e) authorizing transfer to the Examination Division of the Internal Revenue Service of defendant's documents, or documents of third persons, in possession of the Grand Jury, the United States Attorney, or the Criminal Investigation Division of the Internal Revenue Service. Defendant further agrees to the entry of an order under Fed. R. Crim. P. 6(e) authorizing the use of documents in possession of the Grand Jury to be used during the defendant's deposition as contemplated in paragraph 9 of this Agreement.

21. It is understood that pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(B), the recommendations of the United States are not binding on the Court. In other words, the Court is not bound by the sentencing recommendation and defendant will have no right to withdraw his guilty plea if the Court decides not to accept the sentencing recommendation set forth in this Agreement.

22. Defendant agrees that the disposition provided for within this Agreement is fair, taking into account all aggravating and mitigating factors. Defendant states that he has informed the United States Attorney's Office and the Probation Officer, either directly or through his attorney, of all mitigating factors. Defendant will not oppose imposition of a sentence incorporating the disposition provided for within this Agreement, nor argue for any other sentence. If Defendant argues for any sentence other than the one to which he has agreed, he is


in breach of this Agreement. Defendant agrees that the remedy for this breach is that the United States is relieved of its obligations under this Agreement, but Defendant may not withdraw his guilty plea because of his breach.

23. This document and the supplemental plea agreement state the complete and only Plea Agreements between the United States Attorney for the Western District of Kentucky and defendant in this case, and are binding only on the parties to this Agreement, supersedes all prior understandings, if any, whether written or oral, and cannot be modified other than in writing that are signed by all parties or on the record in Court. No other promises or inducements have been or will be made to defendant in connection with this case, nor have any predictions or threats been made in connection with this plea.

AGREED:

JOHN E. KUHN, JR.
United States Attorney


By:



Jason Snyder
Assistant United States Attorney

14 March 2016
Date


I have read this Agreement and carefully reviewed every part of it with my attorney. I fully understand it and I voluntarily agree to it.



David Cary Ford
Defendant

3/14/2016
Date

I am the defendant's counsel. I have carefully reviewed every part of this Agreement with the defendant. To my knowledge my client's decision to enter into this Agreement is an informed and voluntary one.



Pat Renn
Counsel for Defendant

3/14/2016

Date

JEK:JS