Case 3:22-mj-05111-DWC Document 1 Filed 06/30/22 Page 1 of 26

	FILEDLODGED					
1	Jun 30 2022					
2	CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	The Honorable Theresa L. Fricke				
3	BY DEPUTY					
4						
5						
6						
7	UNITED STATES DISTR					
8	WESTERN DISTRICT					
9						
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	NO. 3:22-mj-05111				
11	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION				
12	V.	Title 18 U.S.C. § 1344				
13	BRIAN J. DAVIE,					
14	Defendant.	Title 18, U.S.C. §1028A(a)(1)				
15						
16	David. W. Christel					
17	Before Theresa L. Frieke, United State	s Magistrate Judge, U.S. Courthouse,				
18	Tacoma, Washington.					
19	The undersigned complainant being duly sworn states:					
20	COUNT	<u> </u>				
21	Bank Fraud					
22	1. Beginning at a time unknown, a	nd continuing through on or about May				
23	2019, in Clark County within the Western District of Washington, and elsewhere,					
24	BRIAN DAVIE ("DAVIE"), knowingly devised and executed a scheme and artifice to					
25	defraud a financial institution as defined in Title 18 U.S.C. § 1344, to obtain monies,					
26	funds, and credits under the custody and control of the financial institution by means of					
27	materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, as further and					
28	more particularly set forth below.UNITED STATES ATTORNEYComplaint - 1UNITED STATES ATTORNEYUnited States v. Brian Davie1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 7002019R01062TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98402(253) 428-3800(253) 428-3800					

2 3

4

1

A. The Scheme and Artifice to Defraud

2. The essence of the scheme and artifice to defraud was for DAVIE to use his position as a Wells Fargo branch manager to gain access to victim accounts and to enrich himself through fraudulent withdrawals from those customer accounts.

5 3. Through this scheme and artifice, DAVIE improperly accessed and used
accounts of at least eight Wells Fargo accounts to perpetuate the fraud and enrich himself
through unauthorized cash withdrawals, money transfers, and cashier's checks resulting
in at least \$1,048,966.08 in losses to Wells Fargo.

9 4. At all times relevant to the allegations in this indictment, Wells Fargo met
10 the definition of a financial institution set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section
11 20 because their depository amounts were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance
12 Company.

13

14

15

16

17

B. The Manner and Means of the Scheme and Artifice

5. It was part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that DAVIE performed fraudulent financial transactions using his ID and password while acting in the capacity of a bank teller. Wells Fargo branch managers are not permitted to perform teller transactions without permission, which DAVIE was not granted.

18 6. It was further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud for DAVIE to
19 instruct other tellers to conduct fraudulent transactions on his behalf. It was common for
20 tellers to conduct transactions on behalf of DAVIE, so they were unaware that they were
21 assisting with conducting fraudulent transactions.

7. It was further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud for DAVIE to
conduct fraudulent transactions using teller window computer terminals that a teller was
already logged into with their teller ID and password so that it would appear that those
transactions were not conducted by DAVIE.

8. It was further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud for DAVIE to
provide prefilled out customer transaction documents to tellers in order to fraudulently
withdraw funds from customer accounts without that teller knowing.

Complaint - 2 United States v. Brian Davie 2019R01062 9. It was further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud for DAVIE to use a
cash teller drawer that he opened to conduct fraudulent transactions.

3 10. It was further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud for DAVIE to target
4 vulnerable customers who were elderly and/or suffering from illnesses such as
5 Alzheimer's or dementia because they were less likely to notice or report his fraud.

6 11. It was further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud for DAVIE to use
7 the known signatures of his customers to forge customer signatures on banking
8 documents such as cashier's checks, withdrawal forms, and account closure forms.

9 12. It was further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud for DAVIE to
10 transfer money out of customer accounts using cash withdrawals and cashier's checks
11 made payable to either Wells Fargo or businesses of other known customers.

13. It was further part of the scheme and artifice to defraud for DAVIE to exchange cashier's checks for other smaller cashier's checks or cash withdrawals of \$10,000 or less to avoid bank reporting requirements.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

12

13

14

C. Execution of the Scheme and Artifice to Defraud

14. On or about the dates identified below, in Clark County, within the Western District of Washington, and elsewhere, for the purpose of executing and attempting to execute this scheme and artifice to defraud a financial institution *and/or* for the purpose of carrying out and attempting to carry out this scheme and artifice to obtain monies, funds, and credits under the custody and control of the financial institution by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations and promises, DAVIE knowingly caused to be conducted the following transactions, with each transaction constituting a separate Count of this Complaint:

Complaint - 3 United States v. Brian Davie 2019R01062

1 2	COUNT	DATE	FINANCIAL INSTITUTION	VICTIM	TRANSACTION
3	1	04/11/2019	Wells Fargo	K.C.	\$8,000 cash withdrawal
	2	04/27/2019	Wells Fargo	K.C.	\$9,000 cash withdrawal
4	3	08/16/2017	Wells Fargo	P.T.	\$9,000 cash withdrawal
5	4	06/22/2018	Wells Fargo	F.H.	\$10,000 cash withdrawal and creation of a \$40,000
					cashier's check
6	5	12/21/2018	Wells Fargo	K.S.	\$8,379.96 cash withdrawal & creation of a \$20,000 cashier's check
7	6	03/22/2019	Wells Fargo	A.N.	\$8,000 cash withdrawal and creation of a \$2,169.89 cashier's check

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344.

COUNTS 7-9

(Aggravated Identity Theft)

15. On or about the below dates, within the Western District of Washington, DAVIE did knowingly transfer, possess, and use, without lawful authority, the means of identification of another person specified below—all of whom were real persons—during and in relation to the violations of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 charged in Counts 1- 6 and specified below:

9				
0	COUNT	DATE	RELATED COUNT	MEANS OF IDENTIFICATION
21	7	04/11/2019	1	K.C.'s account number, her Wells Fargo customer
				number, and a forged signature on a withdrawal slip
2				from another customer with the initials N.S.
	8	12/21/2018	5	J.F.'s account number, his Wells Fargo customer
23				number, and J.F.'s forged signature to endorse a
				cashier's check.
24	9	03/22/2019	6	A.N.'s account number, her Wells Fargo customer
25				number, and J.F.'s forged signature to endorse a
.s				cashier's check.
ر: اا د:				cashier's check.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1028A(a)(1).

Complaint - 4 United States v. Brian Davie 2019R01062

1 And the complainant states that this Complaint is based on the following
2 information:

I, Michael D. Rollins, Special Agent with the FBI, being first duly sworn on oath, depose and say:

I. AGENT BACKGROUND

I am a Special Agent with Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI"), and
have been so employed since October 1999. I am currently assigned to the FBI's Seattle
Field Office's Vancouver Resident Agency. My duties and responsibilities include the
investigation of possible criminal violations of Title 18, United States Code, Section 656,
(Theft, embezzlement, or misapplication by bank officer or employee); Title 18 United
States Code, Section 1005 (Bank entries, reports, and transactions); Title 18 United States
Code, Section 1344 (Bank Fraud); and other related offenses.

13 2. In the course of my employment with the FBI, I have conducted or been
involved in investigations of alleged criminal violations including: conspiring to defraud
the United States (18 U.S.C. §371); theft of trade Secrets (Title 18 U.S.C. § 1832); wire
and mail fraud (18 U.S.C. §1343 and §1341), theft or bribery concerning programs
receiving federal funds (Title 18 U.S.C. § 666), embezzlement and theft from Indian
tribal organizations (Title 18 U.S.C. § 1163), securities and commodities fraud (Title 18
U.S.C. § 1348), and others.

3. I am familiar with the circumstances of the offenses described in this
affidavit through a combination of personal knowledge of the facts, discussion with other
law enforcement officials, investigative activities, and investigative materials obtained
during the investigation.

24

3

4

5

II. PURPOSE OF AFFIDAVIT

25 4. I submit that probable cause exists to show that BRIAN DAVIE, while 26 serving as a Wells Fargo branch manager, committed the following offenses: bank fraud, 27 in violation of Title 18 U.S.C. § 1344, and aggravated identity theft, in violation of Title 28 18 U.S.C. § 1028A (the "Subject Offenses"). This affidavit does not include all the facts UNITED STATES ATTORNEY Complaint - 5 1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 700 United States v. Brian Davie TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98402 2019R01062 (253) 428-3800

1 known to me, but rather contains facts sufficient to support the issuance of an arrest
2 warrant.

III. SUMMARY OF PROBABLE CAUSE

Overview of Investigation

5. On July 19, 2019, Wells Fargo reported that DAVIE, a bank manager, stole over \$120,000 from one of their customers from their branch in Battle Ground, Washington. Wells Fargo initiated an internal investigation into DAVIE in April 2019 based on a report that he may have conducted an inquiry of a vulnerable elderly customer's account without an apparent business reason. Wells Fargo's internal investigation determined that DAVIE stole money from the bank accounts of six elderly customers. My investigation subsequently identified two additional elderly customers whom DAVIE victimized. Collectively, DAVIE stole over \$1,000,000 from the accounts of these eight victims.

6. According to Wells Fargo's internal investigation, DAVIE used his authority and access to conduct transactions that were not authorized by customers while serving as the Branch Manager at the Wells Fargo branch in Battle Ground, Washington. According to one of DAVIE's employees, it would have been easy for DAVIE to embezzle money from customers due to the high approval levels and access he had within the banking system. His crimes went undetected for such an extended period because he targeted accounts of elderly customers who were unlikely to track their account balances. Based on DAVIE's role at the bank, the only way another employee would have known that DAVIE was embezzling money was if a customer came into the bank and said they were missing money.

7. According to Wells Fargo's internal forensic review, DAVIE frequently made withdrawals on the victim accounts using "known" as the form of the customer's

Complaint - 6 United States v. Brian Davie 2019R01062 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 700 Tacoma, Washington 98402 (253) 428-3800

3

Case 3:22-mj-05111-DWC Document 1 Filed 06/30/22 Page 7 of 26

identification.¹ He hid his crimes, in part, by purchasing cashier's checks that were drawn
 against the victims' accounts. He then conducted a series of transactions by exchanging
 cashier's checks worth more than \$10,000 for a combination of smaller cashier's checks
 and cash withdrawals to avoid the reporting requirements under the Bank Secrecy Act.

8. As a bank manager, DAVIE had access to the signatures of Wells Fargo
customers. Many of the cashier's checks that he authorized had signatures that resembled
the known signature of the victim, but interviews with the victims revealed that they had
never signed the check nor authorized the transaction. The checks would often be made
out to Wells Fargo or to individuals that the victim account holder did not know.

9. DAVIE also made checks payable to his wife's stepfather, with the initials
J.M., his company, or a company that resembled his company's name. J.M. did not
recognize any of the check's that had been made payable to him or his business. He
stated that he did not endorse those checks and that the signature on the checks must be
forged.

15 10. During DAVIE's interview with a Wells Fargo investigator, DAVIE admitted that he had opened J.M.'s business accounts. He said that he had not seen him in 16 17 a while. DAVIE denied having a personal relationship with J.M. even though J.M. was 18 his wife's stepfather. The bank investigator asked DAVIE if J.M. was a known bank 19 customer and DAVIE replied that he probably processed transactions for him as known. 20 DAVIE was asked if there was a relationship with J.M. and Wells Fargo customer with 21 the initials K.C. DAVIE said he was not sure. DAVIE could not provide an explanation 22 as to why checks that were drawn against K.C.'s account were made payable to J.M.'s 23 business, Mammoth Properties LLC ("Mammoth").

25

26

24

 ¹ From training and experience, I know that bank employees conducting services that require confirmation will record how they
 confirmed the customer's identification. For example, if using the customer's driver's license, the employee will include the
 license number in bank records. When a bank employee records 'known' as the form of identification, the employee is
 representing that the customer did not provide any form of identification because the particular customer is known to the

1 11. When I interviewed DAVIE on January 12, 2022, he said that he was the
 2 Battle Ground Wells Fargo Branch Manager from approximately March 2014 until he
 3 was terminated for unexplained reasons. When I asked him why he gave J.M. a personal
 4 loan totaling over \$50,000, he responded that he did not know what I was talking about.
 5 He said that he never gave anyone a personal loan. This is contradicted by J.M. who
 6 previously told me that DAVIE personally loaned him approximately \$60,000. When I
 7 asked DAVIE if he ever conducted transactions against customers' accounts without their
 8 approval, he replied that he did not know what I was talking about.

12. A Wells Fargo investigator reported reviewing several hours of closed
circuit television ("CCTV") video footage from the Wells Fargo branch in Battle Ground,
Washington. The footage revealed DAVIE completing transactions from his office
computer while customer K.C. was not present in the bank. During the investigator's
interview, DAVIE stated that customers always had to be present to conduct transactions
and that he could only think of an exception being made for a disabled customer who was
unable to come to the branch. DAVIE also mentioned a case where a well-known
customer was able to conduct a wire transaction by phone, but this required the district
manager's approval.

13. According to the Wells Fargo investigator, when DAVIE performed the
unauthorized transactions, he used his user ID and password while acting in the capacity
of a bank teller. Bank managers are not permitted to perform such transactions without
obtaining the permission of the district manager. Wells Fargo terminated DAVIE, in part,
for operating a teller drawer without approval.

14. Through interviews of various Wells Fargo employees who worked at the
branch for DAVIE, I learned the following that demonstrate DAVIE's access and
opportunity to conduct the fraudulent transactions:

a. DAVIE frequently asked tellers to conduct teller withdrawal
 transactions against customer's accounts without the customer being present at the teller
 counter. In his interview with the bank investigator, DAVIE admitted that he occasionally
 Complaint - 8
 United States v. Brian Davie
 2019R01062

processed teller transactions, but he said it was rare for a manager to run transactions and
that it was done only when absolutely necessary.

3 Whenever a branch employee conducted a transaction on behalf of a b. 4 customer for DAVIE, they had no reason to believe that the customer was not in his 5 office. Some former employees told me that they conducted such transactions for him 6 when he said the customer was in his office and sometimes when he said the customer 7 was not at the branch, but that they were going to come back to retrieve the transaction. 8 J.W.² told me that if his office door was open, you could see him but you could not see if 9 there was a customer in his office. Therefore, they would not know if he asked them to 10 conduct a fraudulent transaction when a customer was not present in his office.

J.S.³ and H.C.⁴ told me that DAVIE used teller window computer 11 c. 12 terminals that a teller was already logged into with the teller's credentials to conduct 13 transactions. H.C. said that DAVIE asked to use her 'logged-in' teller station a couple of 14 times. H.C. related that DAVIE usually mentioned that he had to approve the transaction 15 either way; therefore, he would just take care of conducting the transaction himself. At times, DAVIE asked H.C. to assist him with completing the transaction that he was 16 17 conducting at her station by counting out the cash withdrawal amount or to do whatever 18 else he needed to complete the transaction. H.C. said that if it was a smaller withdrawal 19 amount DAVIE personally withdrew the money from her teller cash drawer. For larger 20 transactions, each teller had a "cashbox" in the back safe area. Whenever DAVIE 21 conducted a cash transaction above the amount that H.C. had in her drawer, she retrieved 22 the money from her cashbox to complete the transaction. H.C. said DAVIE also asked 23 other tellers to use their logged in teller computer station. Based on my training and

24 25

2019R01062

 $^{26 ||^2}$ J.W. worked as both a teller and lead teller at the Wells Fargo branch in Battle Ground Bank from approximately January 2017 to March 2018.

 ³ J.S. was employed as a teller, personal banker, and eventually as a service manager at the Wells Fargo branch in Battle Ground from January 31, 2017, to June 2021. DAVIE was Sharp's supervisor the entire time.

⁴ H.C. was a teller and personal banker at the Battle Ground Bank branch from June 2015 to August 2016. DAVIE was the

²⁸ branch manager and her immediate supervisor. On or about August 2016, H.C. was terminated by Wells Fargo for embezzling \$415.41. She confessed to stealing the money from another employee's cash drawer.

1 experience, I believe DAVIE did this to hide that he was conducting the transactions
2 against a customer's account.

d. DAVIE provided completed withdrawal slips to tellers to withdraw
cash and issue cashier's checks from customer accounts. DAVIE instructed tellers to
enter "known" or "per DAVIE" as the customer's presented form of identification to
complete the transactions. When the transaction was concluded, DAVIE took the
proceeds of the transaction back to his office.

8 e. According to Wells Fargo, DAVIE had his own cash drawer and ran
9 teller transactions for multiple customers.

f. 10 Cashier's checks cannot be issued without a teller entering their 11 personal password. Wells Fargo charges a fee for the issuance of a cashier's check. 12 DAVIE often waived that charge for customers. Once a cashier's check was issued, the 13 funds are withdrawn from the customer's account and transferred into a specific Wells 14 Fargo owned account. The teller transaction system required the entry of an account 15 number whenever a transaction was conducted for a customer. An existing customer 16 might request the cashier's check be payable to Wells Fargo if the customer was making a 17 payment to the bank for things like a credit card or loan payment. According to J.C.⁵, 18 however, it would be a huge red flag if a teller disbursed cash for a cashier's check that is 19 payable to Wells Fargo. According to a Wells Fargo investigator, cashier's checks 20 payable to the bank should never be transacted for cash because the bank is the payee, but 21 Wells Fargo's system would not block such a transaction. It is incumbent upon the teller 22 to appropriately conduct transactions.

15. On June 7, 2019, bank investigators interviewed DAVIE and he denied any involvement in conducting the unauthorized transactions. He claimed he must have made

23

24

²⁵ 26

<sup>27
&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> J.C. advised me that she was employed as a Lead Teller at the Wells Fargo branch in Battle Ground from approximately October 2014 to May 2016. J.C. previously worked as a teller at another branch in the district for two years. J.C. transferred out of the Battle Ground branch after being promoted. J.C. has not worked in a branch since approximately 2018. J.C. said that in approximately July 2018, she was terminated by the Bank for an unspecified performance related matter.

a mistake in processing the transactions. Wells Fargo subsequently terminated DAVIE's
 employment. Wells Fargo deemed DAVIE violated the following bank policies:
 conducted customer transactions without their presence and identification; accessed
 customer accounts with no business reason; and operated a cash drawer without
 authority.

A. <u>Victim K.C.</u>

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16. K.C. was unaware that Davie had fraudulently stolen money from her retirement accounts until she was interviewed by law enforcement. In September 2019, a Battle Ground Police Officer and a Washington State Department of Social and Health Service/Adult Protective Service ("APS") caseworker interviewed K.C. about potentially fraudulent activity on her accounts. K.C. told the interviewers that she walked or rode the bus to Wells Fargo in Battle Ground, Washington, to withdraw money from her account once a week. K.C.'s daughter, T.L., managed her accounts. According to T.L, K.C. never withdrew more than \$400 out of her account at any given time. K.C. used the money to buy food and other household items. T.L. set-up "auto pay" to pay all of her bills because K.C. did not know how to take care of her finances. K.C. was born in Japan in 1934. She does not know how to use a computer and she could not recall how much money she had in her bank accounts nor how many accounts she owned.

17. T.L. told law enforcement that she believed her mother suffered from dementia, but K.C. had not been formally diagnosed because she refused to go to the doctor.⁶ According to T.L., K.C. walked to various banks to close and re-open her bank accounts several times in 2016 because she thought T.L. was stealing her money. At that

⁶ Prior to the interview, the APS caseworker administered a "mini mental status test" on K.C. who got only 19 of the 30 questions correct indicating possible cognitive impairment. Based on this test, however, the case worker was unable to determine whether K.C. suffers from memory loss or the onset of dementia. The interviewing officer asked K.C. several questions to determine her cognitive abilities, such as: Who is the president of the United States? What day is it? and What month is it? K.C. was unable to provide the correct answer to any of the questions.

1 point, T.L. had K.C. make her a co-signer on K.C.'s various accounts. During that time, 2 T.L. set-up an "auto pay" at Chase Bank to pay K.C.'s bills.

3 According to bank records, on August 2, 2016, T.L. and K.C. went to the 18. 4 Wells Fargo branch in Battle Ground and met with the branch manager DAVIE. DAVIE 5 assisted them with setting T.L. up as a co-signer on K.C.'s accounts, including a high 6 yield savings account ending in account number 7968 and a prime checking account 7 ending in account number 7451.

8 Two days later, DAVIE closed the two accounts that had included T.L. as a 19. 9 co-signer. The combined closing account balances totaled approximately \$518,647.62. 10 He then opened the following sole owner accounts for K.C. without authorization: 11 K.C.'s portfolio checking account ending in 6981, a platinum savings account ending in 12 2470, and a \$300,000 certificate of deposit ("CD") account ending in 8839. The last 13 withdrawal from the CD account was conducted on November 29, 2018. The original 14 maturity date for the account was June 4, 2021. According to the bank's internal review, 15 the signature on the closure forms do not match K.C.'s known signature. Even though 16 T.L. had been made a co-signer to K.C.'s original accounts, she did not know that any of 17 these changes were made to the account. T.L. later learned that DAVIE never processed 18 the paperwork to add T.L. as a co-signer to K.C.'s accounts.

19 On or about September 13, 2016, DAVIE processed the opening of K.C.'s 20. 20 preferred checking account ending in 8676. K.C. was the sole owner of the account. The account application listed K.C.'s address as the Wells Fargo Battle Ground branch 22 location. Again, T.L. was unaware of this account being opened.

23 21. On June 7, 2019, bank investigators telephonically interviewed DAVIE. 24 DAVIE admitted that using a branch address as a customer address was allowed only 25 under exceptional circumstances. He claimed that he had never authorized the use of a 26 bank address. According to bank records, DAVIE opened K.C.'s portfolio checking and 27 platinum checking accounts listed above and later changed the address to the Wells 28 Fargo's Battle Ground branch location.

Complaint - 12 United States v. Brian Davie 2019R01062

21

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 700 TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98402 (253) 428-3800

Counts 1 & 7 - Unauthorized \$8,000 cash withdrawal on April 11, 2019

22. On April 11, 2019, at approximately 2:29 PM, DAVIE accessed K.C.'s account; however, he did not conduct an \$8,000 cash withdrawal from her savings account ending in 2470, until approximately 4:19 PM. The transaction was conducted via withdrawal slip 4165. K.C.'s name was handwritten on the slip; however, the signature appeared to be Wells Fargo customer N.S.'s signature. The bank reported that earlier that afternoon DAVIE assisted with issuing a cashier's check from N.S.'s personal account and making a deposit into his business account.

23. According to DAVIE's teller journal report, he used K.C.'s account number ending in 2470, her Wells Fargo customer number, and "known" as the customer's provided form of identification to conduct this transaction. Wells Fargo reported the signature on file for N.S. "appears to be a favorable match" to the signature on the withdrawal slip. The bank reported that there was no apparent reason for DAVIE to conduct teller transactions.

24. N.S. told me that he does not know K.C. He said that the signature on the slip resembled his signature; however, he did not sign it. N.S. said that the signature must be forged because the withdrawal amount is a lot of money, and the account does not belong to him.

25. A Wells Fargo investigator reviewed the CCTV footage⁷ from that date, and K.C. was never seen in any of the CCTV footage in the time period where DAVIE accessed and withdrew the cash from her account.

⁷ According to a bank investigator, the CCTV footage provides a view of the customer lobby waiting area, DAVIE's office door, several of the banker's cubicles, and an overview of the teller line. The footage did not capture the drive thru, but according to T.L., K.C. stopped driving 11 years ago when she moved to Washington. She only commutes by bus or walking. In DAVIE's

⁸ interview, he confirmed that K.C. would usually come to the bank by bus.

1

Count 2 - Unauthorized \$9,000 cash withdrawal on April 27, 2019

26. According to bank records, DAVIE accessed K.C.'s account on April 27, 2019, at approximately 11:45 AM; however, he did not complete a \$9,000 cash withdrawal from her savings account ending in 2470 until approximately 12:24 PM. The transaction was conducted via withdrawal slip 9084. K.C.'s name was handwritten on the slip; however, the signature appeared to be N.S.'s signature.

27. CCTV footage from that date shows DAVIE walking to the teller line carrying what appeared to be a folder. DAVIE then goes to a teller station with no customer present. According to DAVIE's teller journal report, DAVIE processed this cash withdrawal from K.C.'s savings account using her account number ending in 2470, her customer number, and known as the customer's provided form of identification.

28. After withdrawing the funds from K.C.'s account, DAVIE returned to his office. According to a Wells Fargo investigator, the signature on file for N.S. "appears to be a favorable match" to the signature on the withdrawal slip. When I questioned N.S. about this slip, he reiterated that he does not know K.C. After showing N.S. a copy of the withdrawal slip, he stated that the signature on the slip resembled his signature, but he did not sign it. N.S. stated that someone must have forged his signature or placed his digital signature onto the slip without his authorization. As the bank branch manager, DAVIE had access to various records that contained customer's signatures, including N.S.

29. During an interview conducted by a Wells Fargo investigator, DAVIE said he normally assisted K.C. when she came in the branch and she waited for him. DAVIE said he was not surprised if he helped K.C. with teller transactions because they were short staffed. K.C. was a regular customer; therefore, DAVIE said he would not have gotten her identification. DAVIE said that K.C. was always present at the bank when he conducted transactions on her behalf. DAVIE did not know why K.C. was not captured on the bank branch's CCTV footage.

Complaint - 14 United States v. Brian Davie 2019R01062 30. When the Wells Fargo investigator asked DAVIE about the April 27, 2019,
 transaction in which N.S. signed K.C.'s withdrawal slip, DAVIE related the following:
 N.S was a well-known customer. He came in the bank almost daily and DAVIE helped
 him all the time. DAVIE conducted all of his transactions, including loans, credit cards,
 and financial accounts. N.S. was in his 80's and DAVIE knew his whole life story.

6 31. DAVIE claimed that N.S. signing K.C.'s withdrawal slip was an error.
7 DAVIE admitted that such errors don't happen very often. When the Wells Fargo
8 investigator asked DAVIE how this happened more than once with the same customers in
9 the same month, he responded that he did not like the questions he was being asked.

32. The bank identified an unspecified number of unauthorized transactions
from K.C.'s accounts. They subsequently paid her \$546,757.62 for the losses she
incurred. All of the unauthorized transactions were either conducted by or authorized by
DAVIE.

14

15

B. Victim P.T.

33. P.T. moved to Battle Ground, Washington in approximately 2014 or 2015.
She was nearly 70 years old, and her ex-husband had handled all of their finances before
she moved. DAVIE helped her open financial accounts when she first came to the bank.
Since then, she always went to DAVIE for help with her bank accounts and she relied on
him for financial guidance. She said that she did not review her accounts because she felt
like she had enough to sustain her lifestyle, but she began to wonder where all of her
money went. She said that the money "just disappeared."

Count 3 - Unauthorized \$9,000 cash withdrawal on August 16, 2017

34. According to bank records, on August 16, 2017, \$9,000 in cash was
withdrawn from P.T.'s checking account ending in 6631. The transaction was conducted
via withdrawal slip 4230. DAVIE conducted the transaction using P.T's account number
ending in 6631 and her Wells Fargo customer number. DAVIE used "known" as the
Complaint - 15
United States v. Brian Davie
2019R01062

customer's provided form of identification. After I showed P.T. a copy of the slip, she
said the handwriting on the slip is not her handwriting and that the signature does not
resemble her signature. She said that she would not have withdrawn this much money in
cash.

5 35. Wells Fargo reported that they received a complaint from P.T. that multiple 6 transactions were conducted on her accounts that were not conducted by her and that she 7 did not authorize. A review of their records indicated DAVIE processed an unspecified 8 number of unauthorized withdrawals from her account resulting in Wells Fargo incurring 9 a loss of \$154,973.84. P.T. told me that she received a \$154,973.84 settlement payment 1 from Wells Fargo. All of the unauthorized transactions were either conducted by or 1 authorized by DAVIE.

C. Victim F.H.

36. According to F.H.'s niece, D.S., F.H. suffers from dementia. F.H. was born in 1931. In April 2018, F.H. scored only 14 out of 30 points on a cognitive test indicating that he suffers from dementia.

37. D.S. told me that sometime in early 2017, F.H. appointed D.S. as his general power of attorney-in-fact. Later that year, S.H., assumed the role of F.H.'s attorney-in-fact. F.H. lives in Battle Ground, Washington, with his niece C.B. D.S., C.B., and S.H. advised me that F.H. was unable to be interviewed due to his cognitive decline. S.H. provided me a copy of a doctor's order from September 2017 stating that F.H. was no longer legally able to operate a vehicle or live independently, due to memory loss.

38. M.A. advised me that she was a teller at the Battle Ground branch from approximately September 2016 to May 2019. F.H. was a branch customer that DAVIE primarily assisted. DAVIE knew that F.H. suffered from dementia and told M.A. that he needed to lookout for F.H.

Complaint - 16 United States v. Brian Davie 2019R01062

<u>Count 4 - Unauthorized \$10,000 cash withdrawal and issuance of a \$40,000 cashier's check on June 22, 2018</u>

39. According to bank records, DAVIE withdrew \$50,000 from F.H.'s checking account ending in 4782 and issued a cashier's check ending in 2186 for the same amount on May 29, 2018. DAVIE created the following banker note about the transaction: "F.H.⁸ mailed withdrawal ticket to the branch requesting a cashier's check mailed to him for 50k I called customer to verify this and he did approve known customer." The remitter⁹ on the check was listed as "NONE." The payee was listed as "WELLS FARGO BANK." DAVIE's teller journal report noted that he conducted the transactions using "known" as the customer's provided form of identification. The check was endorsed with a signature that J.M. told me resembled his signature, but he did not sign it. Written below the signature is the note: "not used purpose [sic] intended."¹⁰

40. According to bank records, on June 22, 2018, DAVIE exchanged this check for \$10,000 in cash and issued a \$40,000 cashier's check ending in 2201. As previously mentioned, cashier's checks payable to the bank should never be transacted for cash because the bank is the payee. On the \$40,000 check (2201), DAVIE changed the payee from Wells Fargo to Mammoth. Despite the funds originating from F.H.'s account, Mammoth was also listed as the remitter of the check.

41. DAVIE's teller journal report indicated that he conducted these transactions using Mammoth's business account number ending in 1369.¹¹ DAVIE used "known" as the customer's provided form of identification, and a Wells Fargo customer number assigned to Mammoth.

Complaint - 17 United States v. Brian Davie

2019R01062

⁸ The note only included the last name, which was changed to initials here to protect the victim's identity. ⁹ The remitter is the person who paid for the cashier's check.

^{7 &}lt;sup>10</sup> From training and experience, I know that when a cashier's check is not used as planned and the purchaser would like to receive the funds back, the cashier's check is endorsed as not used for purposes intended, followed by the purchaser's/remitter's signature. Banks then credit the funds back to the purchaser's/remitter's account.

²⁸ DAVIE opened this account on June 22, 2018. J.M. and his wife were listed as signers on the account. J.M. said he authorized the opening of this account.

1 42. I showed J.M. a copy of the \$50,000 check (2186). J.M. stated that the 2 endorsement signature on the check resembled his signature, but he did not sign the 3 check. J.M. said that he does not know F.H. and has no business affiliations with him. 4 43. According to bank records, the remaining outstanding funds were disbursed

5 as follows:

6 On July 3, 2018, M.A. exchanged the \$40,000 cashier's check a. 7 (2201) for \$10,000 in cash and a \$30,000 cashier's check ending in 2216 payable to 8 Mammoth. Despite the funds originating from F.H.'s account, the remitter on the new 9 \$30,000 check (2216) was Mammoth. DAVIE approved the issuance of the new check. 10 The \$40,000 check (2201) was endorsed with Mammoth Property Solutions LLC 11 handwritten in the endorsement section followed by a signature. J.M stated that though 12 the signature on the check resembled his signature, he did not sign the check. M.A said 13 she could not recall cashing and exchanging the \$40,000 cashier's check (2201), but she 14 believes DAVIE asked her to conduct the transaction on behalf of a customer. According 15 to M.A., the handwritten endorsement on the check looked like DAVIE's handwriting.

16 b. On July 11, 2018, DAVIE exchanged the \$30,000 check (2216) for 17 \$5,000 in cash and a \$25,000 cashier's check ending in 2228 payable to Mammoth. 18 Mammoth remained the remitter. The new check was issued and approved by DAVIE. 19 DAVIE conducted the transaction using Mammoth's account number and "known" as the 20 customer's provided form of identification. The check was endorsed with Mammoth 21 Property Solutions LLC handwritten in the endorsement section followed by a signature. 22 J.M. stated that the signature on the check resembled his signature, but he did not sign the 23 check.

24 On July 18, 2018, M.A. exchanged the \$25,000 check (2228) for c. 25 \$10,000 in cash and a \$15,000 cashier's check ending in 2231 payable to Mammoth. 26 Mammoth remained the remitter on the new check. DAVIE approved the issuance of the 27 new check. The \$25,000 (2228) check was endorsed with Mammoth Property Solutions 28 LLC handwritten in the endorsement section followed by a signature. J.M. stated that the UNITED STATES ATTORNEY Complaint - 18 1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 700 United States v. Brian Davie TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98402 (253) 428-3800

Case 3:22-mj-05111-DWC Document 1 Filed 06/30/22 Page 19 of 26

signature on the check resembled his signature, but he did not sign the check. M.A. did
not recall cashing and exchanging the \$25,000 cashier's check (2228), but she believed
DAVIE asked her to conduct the transaction because it would have required them to get
the \$10,000 in cash from the bank's vault, and she would have needed his approval to
issue the \$15,000 check (2231). According to M.A., the handwritten endorsement on the
check resembled DAVIE's handwriting.

d. On July 26, 2018, Battle Ground Wells Fargo branch Service
Manager A.S. deposited the \$15,000 cashier's check (2231) into J.M.'s business account
ending in 1369. The check was endorsed with a bank deposit stamp. J.M. stated that he
did not authorize nor conduct the deposit transaction into his account.

44. The bank reported that from approximately May 9, 2017, to May 29, 2018,
it appeared that DAVIE conducted several unauthorized withdrawals from F.H.'s
accounts totaling \$157,463.81. DAVIE's pattern of activity included withdrawals that
were followed by cashier's checks that were exchanged multiple times.

45. S.H. told me that Wells Fargo only allowed him to file a claim for losses on
F.H.'s behalf for the period when he became F.H.'s attorney in fact. On October 8, 2020,
the bank credited F.H.'s account \$85,000 for the losses he incurred. All of the
unauthorized transactions were either conducted by or authorized by DAVIE.

20 **D.** Victim K.S.

46. K.S was born in Korea in 1944 and moved to the United States in 1970.
She has difficulty understanding English. K.S. stated that she does not review her bank
statements because she does not understand them. She does not use personal checks
because she does not know how to issue them. She pays for any expenses with either cash
or a credit card. She pays her Wells Fargo credit card bill by going to the Battle Ground
bank branch to transfer money from her Wells Fargo account to pay her credit card
balance.

28

19

Complaint - 19 United States v. Brian Davie 2019R01062 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 700 TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98402 (253) 428-3800

<u>Counts 5 & 8 - Unauthorized \$8,379.96 cash withdrawal and issuance of a</u> <u>\$20,000 cashier's check on December 21, 2018</u>

47. K.S. told me that she used to have three Wells Fargo certificate of deposit ("CD") accounts. She was the sole account owner of the accounts. In 2018 or 2019, K.S. went to the Battle Ground bank branch to move the outstanding balance from her smallest CD account into a larger existing CD account. Her smallest CD account had a balance of approximately \$20,000 to \$30,000. A female employee that usually assisted her was on vacation at the time. An unknown male employee offered to assist her with transferring the balance into her other existing CD account.

48. I showed K.S. a nondescript photograph of DAVIE's Washington State 10 driver's license picture. K.S. told me that the individual depicted in the picture looked like 11 the male that assisted her. This was the only time that he conducted a transaction for her. 12 K.S. assumed he moved the balance of her CD account as she requested. In 13 approximately June 2019, K.S. inquired at the Battle Ground bank branch where that 14 male was and an employee informed her that he no longer worked there. According to 15 bank records, Davie closed a CD account ending in 8243 owned by K.S. on December 16 17 10, 2018. K.S. stated that she signed the CD withdrawal slip that indicated the \$28,379.96 in the account were to be disbursed to "Checking or Savings," but she does not know 18 19 what happened to the funds.

49. The withdrawal resulted in the issuance of a \$28,379.96 cashier's check ending in 2364 payable to Wells Fargo. K.S. was listed as the remitter on the check. According to the operator identification numbers on the check, J.S. issued and approved the cashier's check, but the authorized bank employee signature resembled DAVIE's signature.

50. According to J.S., DAVIE asked her to issue the check. At that time, J.S.'s
monetary transactional approval authority limit was \$750,000. Therefore, she would have
been able to sign the check as the authorized signer and would not have needed DAVIE's

28 signature. J.S. told me that she did whatever DAVIE asked her and that she did not Complaint - 20
UNITED STATES ATTO 1201 PACIEIC AVENUE SU

United States v. Brian Davie 2019R01062

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

22

23

24

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 700 TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98402 (253) 428-3800 question his authority. She related that she does not know how this check could have
 been subsequently cashed out with the depicted endorsement signature; since, the check
 was payable to Wells Fargo. The check cannot be endorsed by anyone but the designated
 payee or the remitter. As previously mentioned, cashier's checks payable to the bank
 should never be transacted for cash because the bank is the payee.

6 51. After I showed K.S. a copy of the \$28,379.96 check (2364), she stated that
7 she had never seen this check. She does not know why the check was made out to Wells
8 Fargo. She did not provide this check to anyone and she did not recognize the
9 endorsement signature.

10 52. According to Wells Fargo bank records, on December 21, 2018, DAVIE
11 cashed out this check (2364) by withdrawing \$8,379.96 in cash and issuing a \$20,000
12 cashier's check ending in 2380 payable to Farmer Construction. The remitter was
13 changed to Wells Fargo customer J.F. DAVIE conducted these transactions using J.F.'s
14 account number ending in 0735, his Wells Fargo customer ID number, and "known" as
15 the customer's provided form of identification.

16 53. According to J.F., the endorsement signature on the check closely
17 resembled his signature, but he did not sign it. J.F. said he had never seen this cashier's
18 check before and he does not know K.S.

19

20

21

22

23

24

54. J.F. said that he knew DAVIE via a mutual friend and that he had a social relationship with DAVIE. J.F. identified DAVIE through his Washington State driver's license photo. J.F. said that he went to the Wells Fargo branch in Battle Ground multiple times to sign paperwork that DAVIE assisted him with completing to obtain a business license, amongst other associated paperwork. J.F. stated that DAVIE had documents that contained his signature; therefore, DAVIE knew what his signature looked like.

25 According to bank records, on December 26, 2018, DAVIE exchanged the 55. 26 \$20,000 check (2380) by withdrawing \$8,000 in cash and issuing and approving a new 27 cashier's check ending in 2382 for \$12,000 payable to Farmer Construction. The \$20,000 28 check (2380) was endorsed with a signature with "not used purpose" written below it. Complaint - 21 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 700 United States v. Brian Davie TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98402 (253) 428-3800 2019R01062

K.S. told me that she did not recognize the endorsement signature, and the handwriting
below the endorsement signature was not her handwriting. J.F. told me that he did not
remit nor sign the check. The handwriting below the endorsement did not resemble his
handwriting. J.F. added that he had no affiliation with nor had he ever heard of Farmer
Construction. DAVIE conducted these transactions using J.F.'s account number ending in
0735, J.F.'s Wells Fargo customer number, and "known" as the customer's provided
form of identification.

8 56. According to bank records, the remaining outstanding funds were disbursed
9 as follows:

10 On January 2, 2019, M.A. exchanged the \$12,000 check (2382) for a. 11 \$8,000 in cash and a \$4,000 cashier's check ending in 2675. The check was endorsed 12 with a signature and handwritten below the signature was, "Not used Purpose." K.S. told 13 me that she did not recognize the endorsement signature and that the handwriting below 14 the endorsement signature was not her handwriting. J.F. told me that he did not authorize 15 the remittance nor cashing of this check (2382). He also said that neither the signature nor 16 the handwriting below the signature was his handwriting. J.F. told me that he had never 17 seen this check before. DAVIE approved the issuance of the new check. M.A. said that 18 she believes that she conducted this transaction at DAVIE's request.

b. On January 11, 2019, DAVIE cashed out the \$4,000 check (2675) with no associated account number, no customer number, and known as the customer's provided form of identification. The check was endorsed with a signature. K.S. told me that she did not recognize the signature. J.F. told me that the endorsement signature resembled his signature style, but he did not sign the check. He neither authorized the remittance nor cashing of this check.

57. Wells Fargo reported that K.S. filed a claim for the unauthorized
withdrawal that DAVIE conducted from her CD account. Wells Fargo reimbursed K.S.
the total amount of the withdrawal, plus interest of \$11.55, bringing the total to

\$28,391.51. Complaint - 22 *United States v. Brian Davie* 2019R01062

19

20

21

22

23

24

28

E. Victim A.N.

1

58. A.N. was born in 1943 and she resides in Clark County. A.N. told me that she primarily banked at the Wells Fargo branch in Battle Ground, Washington. Whenever she went to the bank, DAVIE made a point to talk to her regardless of where she was standing in the teller line. A.N. always went to DAVIE whenever she needed to conduct a large transaction or to transfer money between her accounts. A.N. waited in DAVIE's cubicle whenever he conducted large transactions on her behalf. DAVIE initially made entries on his computer and then left to go to the teller area. There were times that he was gone for an extended period of time. When DAVIE returned, A.N. would ask him to provide her the transaction slip. DAVIE told her not to worry about it and that he cannot print it out but that she will see it on her account statement. She described their relationship as "buddies."

Counts 6 & 9 - Unauthorized \$8,000 cash withdrawal and issuance of a \$2,169.89 cashier's check on March 22, 2019

59. According to bank records, on March 19, 2019, M.A. issued a \$10,169.89 cashier's check ending in 2443 from A.N.'s account. DAVIE approved the issuance of the check. The payee was listed as "WELLS FARGO BANK." A.N. was listed as the remitter. The check was endorsed with a signature and handwritten above the signature was, "Not Used For Purpose Intended." The transaction was conducted using A.N.'s account ending in 4001, her Wells Fargo customer number, and "known" was used as the customer's provided form of identification.

60. M.A. told me that she could not recall issuing this cashier's check (2443). She believes that she completed this transaction at DAVIE's request or he did it himself from her logged in teller station. M.A. said the handwritten endorsement on the check is "definitely" DAVIE's handwriting.

Complaint - 23 United States v. Brian Davie 2019R01062

A.N. told me that she did not authorize the issuance of the cashier's check
 (2443). She never obtained a cashier's check made payable to Wells Fargo. She does not
 know why a cashier's check would be endorsed as not used for purpose intended. A.N.
 did not recognize the endorsement signature nor was she able to make out the
 handwriting.

6 62. J.F. told me that the endorsement signature on the check (2443) kind of
7 resembled his signature but the handwriting above the signature did not look like his
8 handwriting. J.F. did not endorse, nor has he ever seen this check (2443) before.

9 63. On March 22, 2019, DAVIE exchanged the \$10,169.89 cashier's check
10 (2443) for \$8,000 in cash and issued a \$2,169.89 cashier's check ending in 1874. The
11 check (1874) was made payable to "WELLS FARGO BANK." A.N. was listed as the
12 remitter of the check (1874). DAVIE used A.N.'s account number ending in 4001, her
13 Wells Fargo customer number, and "known" as the customer's form of provided
14 identification to conduct this transaction.

M.A. told me that the \$10,169.89 cashier's check (2443) check should not
have been cashed because the endorsement signature did not resemble the first letter of
the remitter's name. The funds should have been re-deposited into the remitter's account.
She said this check could not have been further transacted because the handwritten
endorsement verbiage voided the check.

65. A.N. told me that she did not exchange check 2443 for \$8,000 in cash and for a \$2,169.89 cashier's check (1874).

66. On March 28, 2019, DAVIE cashed out the \$2,169.89 cashier's check (1874) without it being endorsed. The transaction was conducted using no associated account number, no customer number, and "known" as the customer's provided form of identification. A.N. told me that she did not authorize this cashier's check (1874). She reiterated that she never obtained a cashier's check made payable to Wells Fargo.

 7
 67. Wells Fargo reported that they incurred a loss of \$107,170.08 due to the

 8
 unauthorized withdrawals DAVIE conducted or approved from A.N.'s accounts. The

 Complaint - 24
 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

 United States v. Brian Davie
 1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 700

 2019R01062
 (253) 428-3800

transactions were conducted between November 7, 2014, and July 19, 2017. The bank
 reported DAVIE conducted most of the transactions, which included purchased cashier's
 checks payable to Wells Fargo that were later exchanged and cashed. Two other Wells
 Fargo customers' profiles were used to disguise the transactions.

68. On June 15, 2022, A.N. told me Wells Fargo credited her account with \$103,551.95 because of the unauthorized transactions that were conducted from her accounts.

Defendant's Interview and Response to the Allegations

69. On January 12, 2022, I confronted DAVIE at his residence about the unauthorized transactions that he conducted from various Wells Fargo customers' accounts. He said he had no idea what I was talking about. When I asked him whether he conducted such transactions against K.C.'s account he denied knowing K.C. Later in the interview, upon showing him a \$300,000 cashier's check, dated August 4, 2016, he said, that the check was used to open a CD account for K.C.

70. Later that evening and the following day, DAVIE exchanged text messages with his teenage daughter. In part, he texted her: "They [FBI] got nothing out of me…" He also confronted her about how the FBI knew where he lived because "nothing goes here not even my work knows only people are you and grandpa and I know grandpa didn't say [expletive]!"

71. On April 8, 2022, I emailed DAVIE a target letter from the United States Attorney's Office. That same day, DAVIE told his daughter that he needed to talk to her about something and that he was planning on fleeing the country. On April 25, 2022, DAVIE's ex-wife told me that the weekend of April 23 and 24, 2022, DAVIE sent multiple text messages to his daughter in which he claimed that he was going to leave the country on April 29, 2022. He told her that if she wanted to go with him, this was her last chance. The target letter had a deadline of April 28, 2022.

Complaint - 25 United States v. Brian Davie 2019R01062

72. Based on my training and experience, DAVIE's communications with his
 daughter are an indicator of his guilty conscience and that he took steps to attempt to
 allude law enforcement from locating him. His communications also indicate that he will
 attempt to avoid being apprehended by fleeing the country.

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the above facts, I respectfully submit that there is probable cause to
believe that BRIAN DAVIE did knowingly and intentionally commit the crimes of Bank
Fraud in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 and Aggravated Identity
Theft in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1028A(a)(1).

2 Kollin Machael

Michael D. Rollins, Complainant Special Agent, FBI

Based on the Complaint and Affidavit presented by reliable electronic means and
sworn to me, the Court hereby finds that there is probable cause to believe the Defendant
committed the offenses set forth in the Complaint.

Dated June 30, 2022

5

6

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Theresa L. Fricke David W. Christel United States Magistrate Judge

Complaint - 26 United States v. Brian Davie 2019R01062 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 700 TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98402 (253) 428-3800